Global Affairs Canada

Department of Justice



Affaires mondiales Canada

Ministère de la Justice

CANADA

125 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2

December 3, 2019

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Cavinder Bull, SC
Drew & Napier LLC
10 Collyer Quay
10th Floor Ocean Financial
Centre
Singapore 049315
cavinder.bull@drewnapier
.com

Mr. Doak Bishop King & Spalding LLP 1100 Louisiana Suite 4000 Houston, Texas 77002 dbishop@kslaw.com Sir Daniel Bethlehem QC 20 Essex Street London, WC2R 3AL DBethlehem@20essexst.c om

Dear Members of the Tribunal:

Re: Tennant Energy LLC v. Government of Canada

We write further to the Claimant's email of December 2, 2019 to the Tribunal requesting yet another opportunity for the Claimant to make a submission on Canada's assertion of confidentiality over two documents containing Confidential Information.

The Tribunal's direction of November 15, 2019 is clear. It provides: "[t]hat paragraphs 16 and 17 and Schedule 1 of the Confidentiality Order dated 24 June 2019 set forth a procedure by which a Party may propose certain Confidentiality or Restricted Access designations, the other Party may object to such designations, and the filing Party may then respond to such objections. The Tribunal considers that each of these steps have been fulfilled by the Parties' submissions dated 9 October, 29 October, 12 November 2019. The Tribunal does not require any further submissions from the Parties." (Emphasis added.)

The Claimant offers no reasoned basis to depart from the Procedural Order, Confidentiality Order, and the Tribunal's direction of November 15. There have been no fundamental changes to the circumstances that would justify a departure from these rules or Tribunal's direction of November 15. We therefore attach as Annex A to this letter the Disputed Designations Schedule that was submitted by the Parties to the Tribunal on November 12. Moreover, the Claimant's unsolicited additional submissions on the substance of its objections and mischaracterizations of events in its email of yesterday should be disregarded by the Tribunal.

For the avoidance of doubt, the PO, the CO, and the direction of the Tribunal ensure that the confidentiality designation procedures in this arbitration follow due process, and that the disputing parties are treated with equality. Yet again, with its latest request, the Claimant seeks to ignore and override these applicable rules in order to make an unreasonable procedural request. The growing number of such requests unnecessarily burdens the arbitral process. As a result, Canada asks the Tribunal take this inappropriate and unduly burdensome conduct into account when awarding costs.

Yours very truly,

Lori Di Pierdomenico Senior Counsel Trade Law Bureau

cc: Barry Appleton, TennantClaimant@appletonlaw.com (Appleton & Associates)
Ed Mullins, Ben Love (Reed Smith LLP)
Christel Tham, Diana Pyrikova (Permanent Court of Arbitration)
Annie Ouellet, Susanna Kam, Mark Klaver, Johannie Dallaire, Maria Cristina Harris (Trade Law Bureau)