

# IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION BEFORE A TRIBUNAL CONSTITUTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH

THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION AND THE CABINET OF MINISTERS OF UKRAINE ON THE ENCOURAGEMENT AND MUTUAL PROTECTION OF INVESTMENTS DATED NOVEMBER 27, 1998

- and -

# THE ARBITRATION RULES OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW, 1976

**PCA CASE NO. 2015-21** 

- between -

### (1) JSC CB PRIVATBANK (2) FINANCE COMPANY FINILON

**The Claimants** 

- and -

#### THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

The Respondent

### PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 5

#### The Arbitral Tribunal

Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy (Presiding Arbitrator)
Sir Daniel Bethlehem QC
Dr. Václav Mikulka

#### Registry

Permanent Court of Arbitration

3 May 2019

The Respondent has offered no

## 1. Procedural Background

comment on these issues.

1.1	On 29 March 2019, the Tribunal issued Procedural Order No. 4, confirming the change of
	PrivatBank's counsel in the present proceedings.

By letter from the PCA dated 1 April 2019, the Tribunal invited PrivatBank to comment on Comments made by PrivatBank, and invited the Respondent to provide any comments it might have on these issues.  1.6  1.7  The Respondent did not provide any comments on the continuing status or role of Finilon in these proceedings.  2. The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.	1.2	In the covering letter to Procedural Order No. 4, the Tribunal recalled the finding made at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award that "Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction". The Tribunal consequently invited PrivatBank and Finilon to indicate what continuing status or role, if any, Finilon held or was envisaged to have in these proceedings.
1.5 By letter from the PCA dated 1 April 2019, the Tribunal invited PrivatBank to comment on comments made by PrivatBank, and invited the Respondent to provide any comments it might have on these issues.  1.6  1.7  1.8 The Respondent did not provide any comments on the continuing status or role of Finilon in these proceedings.  2. The Tribunal's Directions  2.1 The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  2.2 The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.3	
2.1 The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  2.2 The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  2.2 The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.4	
have on these issues.  1.6  1.7  The Respondent did not provide any comments on the continuing status or role of Finilon in these proceedings.  2. The Tribunal's Directions  2.1 The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  2.2 The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.5	. The Tribunal also invited Finilon to respond to any
The Respondent did not provide any comments on the continuing status or role of Finilon in these proceedings.  The Tribunal's Directions  The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.6	
The Respondent did not provide any comments on the continuing status or role of Finilon in these proceedings.  The Tribunal's Directions  The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.0	
these proceedings.  The Tribunal's Directions  The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.7	
The Tribunal has considered the Parties' comments in relation to the continuing status of Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	1.8	1 1
Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would remain parties in the same interest.  The Tribunal found at paragraph 187 of its Partial Award of 4 February 2019 that Finilon's claims fall outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction.  In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	2.	The Tribunal's Directions
In this regard, the Tribunal notes that it did indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	2.1	Finilon and its counsel in these proceedings as well as whether Finilon and PrivatBank would
indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.	2.2	
indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.		
2.3		
		indeed find that the Respondent has liability to Privatbank in its Partial Award.

2.4

2.5 In light of the Tribunal's finding that Finilon's claim falls outside the Tribunal's jurisdiction, and having regard to the submissions of Claimants' counsel noted above that there is no longer any need to keep Finilon in the case, the Tribunal considers that there is no longer any basis for Finilon to remain as a claimant in these proceedings. Absent any reasoned application by Finilon to continue to participate in the proceedings as a party having a direct interest in the proceedings, or on some other basis, which the Tribunal is required to address, the Tribunal accordingly concludes that Finilon may no longer participate in the proceedings going forward.

Date: 3 May 2019

Place of Arbitration: The Hague, the Netherlands

On behalf of the Tribunal Professor Pierre-Marie Dupuy (Presiding Arbitrator)