IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION UNDER CHAPTER ELEVEN OF
THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT
AND THE UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES

BETWEEN:

WILLIAM RALPH CLAYTON, WILLIAM RICHARD CLAYTON, DOUGLAS
CLAYTON, DANIEL CLAYTON AND BILCON OF DELAWARE INC.

INVESTORS

AND

GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

RESPONDENT

PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 4

July 17, 2009

ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL:

Judge Bruno Simma (President)
Professor Donald McRae
Professor Bryan Schwartz
WHEREAS the Respondent, in its submission dated June 16, 2009, “challenges the Claimants’ June 12, 2009 designation of confidential information in their Notice of Arbitration (‘NOA’) and Statement of Claim (‘SOC’”).

WHEREAS the Investors, in their submission of July 2, 2009, withdrew the designation of confidential information in the Notice of Arbitration and of some confidential information in the Statement of Claim, and the Respondent, in its submission dated July 7, 2009, noted that it no longer sought the intervention of the Tribunal as far as the Notice of Arbitration is concerned.

WHEREAS the Investors, in their submission of July 2, 2009, requested “the Tribunal’s guidance on the effect of paragraph 29 of the Confidentiality Order”.

THE TRIBUNAL ISSUES THE FOLLOWING PROCEDURAL ORDER:

1 Confidential Information in the Statement of Claim

1.1 Information referenced in Paragraph 39(a): The information was appropriately designated as confidential.

1.2 Information referenced in Paragraph 39(b): The information may not be designated as confidential.

1.3 Information referenced in Paragraph 42(a): The information may not be designated as confidential.

1.4 Exhibit 5:

1.4.1 The address of Pamela D. Chisling was appropriately designated as confidential; the same information shall also be redacted in Exhibit 3.

1.4.2 The street address and the extended zip code of Douglas Clayton, William Richard Clayton and Daniel Clayton were appropriately designated as confidential.

1.4.3 The remainder of the address of Douglas Clayton, William Richard Clayton and Daniel Clayton may not be designated as confidential.

2 Interpretation of Procedural Order No. 2

In light of the Investors’ withdrawal of any designation as confidential in the Notice of Arbitration, and the fact that the Respondent has not made the Statement of Claim public,
the Tribunal sees no need to provide further guidance on the interpretation of Procedural Order No. 2 at this stage.

Dated: July 17, 2009

Judge Bruno Simma
President of the Tribunal

on behalf of the Tribunal