Annex 25:
Exchange of letters between the Commission and the Parties on the interpretation of treaty provisions relating to the fiscal regime for Greater Sunrise
Dear Mesdames, dear Sirs,

Following the Parties’ exchanges this week regarding the fiscal scheme for Greater Sunrise, the Commission does not believe that there is any dispute between the Parties as to the interpretation of the draft Treaty’s provisions in this respect. Nevertheless, the Commission considers that it would be useful to confirm the Parties’ shared understanding in order to avoid any possible future misunderstandings in the course of implementing transitional arrangements going forward.

As the Parties are well aware, Article 7 of the Treaty establishes a Greater Sunrise Special Regime under which the Parties jointly exercise their rights as coastal States pursuant to Article 77 of the Convention and do not individually exercise such rights until the Greater Sunrise Special Regime ceases to be in force. Title to the resource is not apportioned. The Treaty only apportions the upstream revenue derived directly from the upstream exploitation of Petroleum produced in the Greater Sunrise Fields, which comprises first tranche petroleum, profit petroleum and taxation. This is without prejudice to any arrangements agreed to by the Parties under PSCs for the Greater Sunrise area.

In light of this, it is necessary to define how this affects the “fiscal regime as agreed between the Parties and the Greater Sunrise Contractor” under Article 3(2) of Annex B of the draft Treaty. In the Commission’s view, the “fiscal regime as agreed between the Parties and the Greater Sunrise Contractor” addressed in Article 3(2) of Annex B of the draft treaty means a fiscal regime that will:
1. provide “conditions equivalent” to those under the TST (pursuant to Article 22) and “terms equivalent” to those under the IUA (pursuant to Article 27(3)) to the Greater Sunrise Contractor, and
2. ensure that the upstream revenue can be divided between the Parties in the ratios agreed in Article 2(2) of Annex B.

Further, the Commission understands that the Parties are agreed that “conditions/terms equivalent” does not guarantee the Greater Sunrise Contractor terms and conditions that are identical to those in place under the TST/IUA. In the context of Article 3 of Annex B, it does not guarantee identical fiscal terms as those that applied to Petroleum Activities entered into under the TST/IUA. The overall effect of providing conditions/terms equivalent is to ensure that Petroleum Activities entered into under the terms of the TST/IUA continue under conditions which ensure the Greater Sunrise Contractor is in no worse commercial position than under those agreements.

The Commission trusts that the preceding accords with the Parties’ own understanding. For the sake of certainty and good order, the Commission would however ask that each Party confirm the above in writing at their earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,

Garth Schofield
Senior Legal Counsel

cc: Conciliation Commission:
Ambassador Peter Taksøe-Jensen (by e-mail: pettak@um.dk)
Judge Abdul Koroma (by e-mail: koroma.a.g@gmail.com)
Judge Rudiger Wolfrum (by e-mail: wolfrum@mpil.de)
Dr. Rosalie Balkin (by e-mail: rosaliebalkinl@gmail.com)
Professor Donald McRae (by e-mail: dmcrae@uottawa.ca)

Counsel and Legal Representatives of Timor-Leste:
Professor Vaughan Lowe QC (by e-mail: vlowe@essexcourt.net)
Sir Michael Wood KCMG (by e-mail: mwood@20essexst.com)
Mr. Eran Sthoeger (by e-mail: eran.sthoeger@internationallaw.neomailbox.net)
Ms. Janet Legrand (by e-mail: janet.legrand@dlapiper.com)
Mr. Stephen Webb (by e-mail: stephen.webb@dlapiper.com)
Ms. Gitanjali Bajaj (by e-mail: gitanjali.bajaj@dlapiper.com)

Representatives and Counsel for Australia:
Mr. Gary Quinlan AO (by e-mail: gary.quinlan@dfat.gov.au)
Sir Daniel Bethlehem KCMG QC (by e-mail: dbethlehem@20essexst.com)
Dear Martin and Garth,

I refer to your letter of 16 December 2017, indicating that the Commission considered that it would be useful to confirm the Parties’ shared understanding as to the interpretation of the draft Treaty’s provisions regarding the fiscal scheme for Greater Sunrise.

I am happy to confirm that what is set out in your letter accords with Timor-Leste’s understanding.

Kind regards,

Elizabeth

Elizabeth Exposto
Diretora Executiva / Chief Executive Officer
e.exposto@gfm.tl | +670 7723 0054
http://www.gfm.tl/

Conselho para a Delimitação Definitiva das Fronteiras Marítimas / Council for the Final Delimitation of Maritime Boundaries
Gabinete das Fronteiras Marítimas / Maritime Boundary Office
1° Andar, Ala Ocidental do Edifício / 1st Floor, West Wing Building
Palácio do Governo / Government Palace
Avenida Marginal
Dili, Timor-Leste
Dear Mesdames, dear Sirs,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

Martin Doe
Senior Legal Counsel • Conseiller juridique senior
Permanent Court of Arbitration • Cour permanente d'arbitrage
Peace Palace • Palais de la Paix
Carnegieplein 2
2517 KJ The Hague • La Haye
The Netherlands • Pays-Bas

Tel: +31 70 302-4140 (direct)
Fax: +31 70 302-4167
E-mail: mdoe@pca-cpa.org
Web: http://www.pca-cpa.org/

***
This e-mail may be confidential and privileged and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately, destroy all copies of the message, and do not read, copy, distribute, or use the e-mail or any attachments in any way or for any purposes, nor disclose its contents to any other person.

***
Ce message est établi à l'attention exclusive de son destinataire et est confidentiel. Si vous recevez ce message par erreur, merci d'en avertir immédiatement l'expéditeur. Toute utilisation de ce message non conforme à sa destination, toute diffusion ou reproduction est interdite.

***
9 January 2018

Mr Garth Schofield  
Senior Legal Counsel  
Permanent Court of Arbitration  
Peace Palace, Carnegieplein 2  
2517 KJ The Hague  
The Netherlands

Dear Mr Schofield

Conciliation Proceedings under Article 298 and Annex V of UNCLOS (PCA Case No. 2016 10) Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste and Commonwealth of Australia

Thank you for your letter of 16 December 2017 regarding the interpretation of the draft Treaty’s provisions on the Greater Sunrise Special Regime and its fiscal scheme.

I am pleased to confirm your letter accords with Australia’s understanding of these provisions.

We note the Deputy Agent for Timor-Leste’s confirmation, by email to the Permanent Court of Arbitration on 19 December 2017, that what is set out in the Commission’s letter also accords with Timor-Leste’s understanding.

We appreciate the opportunity to clarify the Parties’ agreement as to the interpretation of these provisions.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

James Larsen  
Co-Agent for Australia