Annex 22:
Protocol to meet the Commission’s Action Plan of 25 September 2017
# PROTOCOL TO MEET COMMISSION’S ACTION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **25 Sept**           | • Call between Parties and JV to explain Protocol including timing and details for exchange of information in order to meet Action Plan - seek initial response and settle agreed Protocol  
• On this call, Timor-Leste to run through its motives and plan to be in a position to choose from “two viable options”, discuss request for further information on both options and further requests that may follow once industry advisors in place  
• Discuss timeline in the Commission’s Action Plan and realistic delivery times  

**25 Sept pm/ Tuesday 26 Sept am** | Parties to update Commission concerning Protocol and engagement with JV - PCA on behalf of the Commission may wish to formalise this with a letter to the JV  

Date to be agreed | Parties and JV (or CP on behalf of JV) working call/meeting to discuss Protocol and add more detail around deliverables and timing  

Date/location to be agreed | More formal meeting between JV and senior members of both Parties (before and/or after Hague?)  

October Commission in The Hague | Update Commission concerning Protocol and steps taken to meet Commission’s Action plan. Parties to agree with Commission what information around the 30 August agreement can be disclosed to JV in order to meet Commission’s Action Plan.  

Immediately Post October Commission | • Parties agree a detailed timeline for meetings and engagement through to the end of the year, data room, teams for communications, begin series of engagement on key information exchange; positions with respect to terms of engagement (if required, noting JV has proposed a HoA and Timor has raised confidentiality/indemnity points)  
• Timor-Leste to provide information to JV as agreed with the Commission in October in the Hague  
• Timor-Leste to have appointed an expert consultant to review, verify and advise it on both options/JV to provide information in form required by expert  

October - 15 Dec | Series of meetings to discuss and agree on:  
• common assumptions on some key aspects of both options, such as a common cost basis for DLNG and TLNG, reserve capacity [others?]  
• Continued, regular engagement with JV to negotiate the terms of each option  
• Meetings between JV members and DLNG in terms of tolling arrangements  
• Completion of technical / other studies for each option (to be considered further)  
• Sign off with JV on final terms of the two options (including high level PSC and commercial terms) - in at least sufficient detail to ensure a comparison can be made in economic terms |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 25 September 2017 | • Continued engagement with JV to clarify or negotiate changes to TLNG and DLNG options  
• Fiscal and regulatory terms to apply to Greater Sunrise for both TLNG and DLNG  
• High-level discussions with JV on necessary changes to PSC terms for both TLNG and DLNG  
[See Action Plan for more detail]                                                                                               |
| 15 Dec       | [TL has a concern as to whether this timeline is realistic/achievable. TL view is that it will not allow sufficient time to fully analyse TLNG]                                                                                     |
|              | Once both options are in a viable state both Parties to make recommendations to relevant leadership for a decision                                                                                                      |
| Post 15 Dec / 1 February | Noted that, as per Action Plan, if Parties are unable to agree the Development concept, Parties to engage with Commission with a view to facilitating agreement on the Development Concept by no later than 1 February 2018 |