

Annex 22:
Protocol to meet the Commission's Action Plan of 25 September 2017

PROTOCOL TO MEET COMMISSION`S ACTION PLAN

Date	Action
25 Sept	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Call between Parties and JV to explain Protocol including timing and details for exchange of information in order to meet Action Plan - seek initial response and settle agreed Protocol • On this call, Timor-Leste to run through its motives and plan to be in a position to choose from "two viable options", discuss request for further information on both options and further requests that may follow once industry advisors in place • Discuss timeline in the Commission`s Action Plan and realistic delivery times
25 Sept pm/ Tuesday 26 Sept am	Parties to update Commission concerning Protocol and engagement with JV - PCA on behalf of the Commission may wish to formalise this with a letter to the JV
Date to be agreed	Parties and JV (or CP on behalf of JV) working call/meeting to discuss Protocol and add more detail around deliverables and timing
Date/location to be agreed	More formal meeting between JV and senior members of both Parties (before and/or after Hague?)
October Commission in The Hague	Update Commission concerning Protocol and steps taken to meet Commission`s Action plan. Parties to agree with Commission what information around the 30 August agreement can be disclosed to JV in order to meet Commission`s Action Plan.
Immediately Post October Commission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parties agree a detailed timeline for meetings and engagement through to the end of the year, data room, teams for communications, begin series of engagement on key information exchange; positions with respect to terms of engagement (if required, noting JV has proposed a HoA and Timor has raised confidentiality/indemnity points) • Timor-Leste to provide information to JV as agreed with the Commission in October in the Hague • Timor-Leste to have appointed an expert consultant to review, verify and advise it on both options/JV to provide information in form required by expert
October - 15 Dec	<p>Series of meetings to discuss and agree on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • common assumptions on some key aspects of both options, such as a common cost basis for DLNG and TLNG, reserve capacity [others?] • Continued, regular engagement with JV to negotiate the terms of each option • Meetings between JV members and DLNG in terms of tolling arrangements • Completion of technical / other studies for each option (to be considered further) • Sign off with JV on final terms of the two options (including high level PSC and commercial terms) - in at least sufficient detail to ensure a comparison can be made in economic terms

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued engagement with JV to clarify or negotiate changes to TLNG and DLNG options • fiscal and regulatory terms to apply to Greater Sunrise for both TLNG and DLNG • High-level discussions with JV on necessary changes to PSC terms for both TLNG and DLNG <p>[See Action Plan for more detail]</p>
<p>15 Dec [<i>TL has a concern as to whether this timeline is realistic/achievable. TL view is that it will not allow sufficient time to fully analyse TLNG</i>]</p>	<p>Once both options are in a viable state both Parties to make recommendations to relevant leadership for a decision</p>
<p>Post 15 Dec / 1 February</p>	<p>Noted that, as per Action Plan, if Parties are unable to agree the Development concept, Parties to engage with Commission with a view to facilitating agreement on the Development Concept by no later than 1 February 2018</p>