

Questions by Ms. Valeria Carvajal

1. *For the Russian Federation ("RF"):*

- a. Taking into consideration the documents of April 19 and June 24 of the RF, is it correct to understand that the RF is seeking a finding from this Review Panel that the RF was object of an "unjustifiable discrimination" because its 2010 catches were not taken into consideration in the calculation of the 2013 catch limits per Member (as reflected in Table 2 of the CMM 1.01)?
- b. What is concretely the alternative measure presented by the RF?
- c. Should the alternative measure proposed by the RF imply a reallocation of the catch limits of the other Members, what is the proposal of the RF: A reduction of the catches of the other Members, or an increase of the TAC?

2. *For the Republic of Chile:*

- a. In relation to the transshipment, should the transshipped amount be considered as catches of the Member who fished or of the Member who received the catches to its flag vessel?
- b. How is the Chilean fishery of *Trachurus murphyi* going this year? Has the TAC been reached?

3. *For the SPRFMO and the Republic of Chile:*

The Preamble of the CMM 1.01 recalls Articles 4(2), 20(4) and 21(2) of the Convention. In respect to Article 21, why paragraph (1) of said Article was not considered in the CMM? How should we understand the exercise reflected in Table 2 of the CMM 1.01; on which provision of the Convention was it based?