Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada

Department of Justice



Affaires étrangères et Commerce international Canada

Ministère de la Justice

125 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0G2

March 20, 2008

Can 7

By Email

Professor Juan Fernández-Armesto General Pardiñas, 102 28006 Madrid, Spain jfa@jfarmesto.com

Professor Jean-Gabriel Castel 833387 4th Line Mono, RR5 Orangeville, Ontario Canada, L9W 2Z2 jgcastel@sympatico.ca

John Christopher Thomas Suite 226-2211 West 4th Avenue Vancouver, British Columbia Canada, V6K 4S2 jcthomas@thomas.ca

Re: Gallo v. Canada

Dear Professor Fernández-Armesto and Members of the Tribunal,

Canada is in receipt of the Claimant's correspondence GAL 8, filed earlier today. Canada's position is that there is no basis for considering this unsolicited submission as no new issues were raised by Canada in CAN 6.

Canada made its proposal concerning section 5 of the Adams Mine Lake Act, 2004 ("AMLA") in response to the Claimant's request at the procedural hearing that Canada offer assurances that it would not rely on this provision to oppose a request for the assistance of an Ontario court with document production or witness attendance. This proposal makes a concession that the Claimant requested and cannot be used to

justify additional argument on the separate issue of whether section 5 of the AMLA could be referred to in a future application to set aside an award.

Canada considers that the remainder of the Claimant's submission simply reiterates argument it has already offered in its written submission or at the procedural hearing and that it should be rejected for this reason.

Sincerely yours,

Meg Kinnear

Senior General Counsel and

Director General

Trade Law Bureau

Chuck Gastle cc. Murdoch Martyn Rizza Andrade