IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION BEFORE A TRIBUNAL CONSTITUTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 5 OF THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF SUDAN AND THE SUDAN PEOPLE'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT/ARMY ON DELIMITING ABYEI AREA -and-THE PERMANENT COURT OF ARBITRATION OPTIONAL RULES FOR ARBITRATING DISPUTES BETWEEN TWO PARTIES OF WHICH ONLY ONE IS A STATE Peace Palace, The Hague Procedural Hearing

Monday, 24th November 2008

Before: PROFESSOR PIERRE-MARIE DUPUY JUDGE AWN AL-KHASAWNEH PROFESSOR DR GERHARD HAFNER JUDGE STEPHEN M SCHWEBEL PROFESSOR W MICHAEL REISMAN

BETWEEN:

THE GOVERNMENT OF SUDAN

and

THE SUDAN PEOPLE'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT/ARMY

PROFESSOR JAMES CRAWFORD SC appeared on behalf of the Government of Sudan.

GARY BORN and WENDY MILES, of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP, PROFESSOR PAUL R WILLIAMS and VANESSA JIMÉNEZ, of Public International Law & Policy Group, and DR LUKA BIONG DENG, HON. DENG AROP KUOL, KUOL DUEIM KUOL, MATHEW OTUROMOI MARTINSON appeared on behalf of the SPLM/A.

REGISTRY: JUDITH LEVINE, Registrar and legal counsel, and ALOYSIUS LLAMZON, legal counsel, appeared for the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

> Transcript produced by Trevor McGowan Tel: +33 (0)6 98 26 34 44 info@TMGreporting.com

Procedural hearing

Monday, 24th November 2008

09:30	Monday, 24th November 2008	09:51 1	SPLM/SPLA.
	(9.48 am)	2	MR MARTINSON: Mathew Oturomoi Martinson, SPLM/SPLA.
	THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This	3	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
	is the first procedural meeting in the arbitration	4	Then we turn to our agenda. The first agenda
	under the Arbitration Agreement between the Government	5	item is the signature of the draft terms of appointment
	of Sudan and The Sudan People's Liberation	6	which were circulated to the parties on November 13th.
	Movement/Army on delimiting Abyei Area.	7	I understand that the parties have communicated to
	1.0.	8	the PCA their approval of the draft terms of
		9	appointment. Suggestion was made to insert reference to
1		10	Article 8.6 of the Arbitration Agreement, which has been
1	1	11	done. You will see that at paragraph 15 of the terms of
1		12	appointment, we can comment on that also when dealing
1		13	with publicity later, which is a further point in our
1		14	agenda.
1		15	A suggestion was made by the SPLM/A to insert
1	1 1	16	reference to Article 8.6 of the Arbitration Agreement,
1		17	which has been done, and that's basically what I just
1		18	said. I think once again that it is better to speak
1		19	again about that in connection with point 15.
2	0 0 1	20	So should I understand that such an insertion is
2		21	acceptable to the representatives of the Sudan?
2	2	22	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, sir.
2		23	MR BORN: It of course is also acceptable to the Sudan
2		24	People's Liberation Movement/Army. We may have two
2	able to be here. He's having to travel to Europe in	25	other categories of suggestions for the Tribunal to
	Page 1		Page 3
09:50	J 2 3	09:54 1	consider.
	wasn't possible today.	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right
	wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of 	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. 	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions.
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions.They come in two categories. The first is in
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions.They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation
1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now?MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to.THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do.MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions.They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the
1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of
1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred
1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words
1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries
1 1 1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin:
1 1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change
	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public International Law & Policy Group, and also co-counsel 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change suggest, in the next line down, changing "its" to
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public International Law & Policy Group, and also co-counsel for the SPLM/A. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change suggest, in the next line down, changing "its" to "their".
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public International Law & Policy Group, and also co-counsel for the SPLM/A. MR KUOL: Kuol Dueim Kuol, Sudan People's Liberation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change suggest, in the next line down, changing "its" to "their". This, if you look in subparagraph (a), which is
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public International Law & Policy Group, and also co-counsel for the SPLM/A. MR KUOL: Kuol Dueim Kuol, Sudan People's Liberation Movement / Sudan People's Liberation Army. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change suggest, in the next line down, changing "its" to "their". This, if you look in subparagraph (a), which is quoted in 2.6 at the bottom of the page, simply tracks
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 wasn't possible today. My name is James Crawford, and I represent the Government of Sudan with, I can assure you, numbers of others. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President. I am Gary Born, I represent the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. I am accompanied by some of the numbers, and I think, given the number of them, I will let them each introduce themselves. Thank you. MS MILES: Wendy Miles, partner at WilmerHale, with Gary Born. DR DENG: Thank you, Mr President, for being here. My name is Luka Biong Deng for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. PROFESSOR WILLIAMS: Good morning. Paul Williams, the executive director of the Public International Law & Policy Group, and co-counsel. MS JIMÉNEZ: Vanessa Jiménez, attorney with the Public International Law & Policy Group, and also co-counsel for the SPLM/A. MR KUOL: Kuol Dueim Kuol, Sudan People's Liberation Movement / Sudan People's Liberation Army. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like us to examine that right now? MR BORN: If now is a good time we would be pleased to. THE CHAIRMAN: Then please do. MR BORN: And apologies for the somewhat last-minute nature of the suggestions. They come in two categories. The first is in Article 2.3, which is in the Tribunal's draft recitation of the dispute. There in the second line, for the purpose of tracking more precisely the language of Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement which is referred to later in the page, we would suggest adding the words "experts of the" just before "Abyei Boundaries Commission". So that the sentence would instead begin: "According to the parties, a dispute has arisen between them regarding whether or not the experts of the Abyei Boundaries Commission", and so forth. We would also then as a consequential change suggest, in the next line down, changing "its" to "their". This, if you look in subparagraph (a), which is quoted in 2.6 at the bottom of the page, simply tracks the language of the Arbitration Agreement, and the

09:55	1	to follow what the partias had already arread in the	09:58 1	200
09.55	1	to follow what the parties had already agreed in the Arbitration Agreement, recognising, of course, that in	09:58 1	can PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: It would be helpful with the
	2 3	a sense the Arbitration Agreement stands for what it is	2	remaining changes could be read into the record now.
	3 4	already, and the language that is here doesn't affect	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
	- 5	that.	5	MR BORN: The other changes which will be on the marked
	6	THE CHAIRMAN: May I ask Professor Crawford to react to	6	copy that the PCA distributes involve Articles 6 and
	7	this proposal.	7	7; Article 6.3.2 refers to the costs of things other
	8	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't given notice of these	8	than the hearings rooms being borne by the parties.
	9	changes. I'm not the signatory to the terms of	9	That formulation is then repeated in Article 7.1, 7.2
	10	appointment, the agent is, and arrangements have been	10	and 7.3.
	11	made for him to sign them, as it were, in escrow, so	10	Our suggestion in each of those instances is that
	12	that they could be completed today.	12	rather than referring to the parties there be
	13	It might be helpful for me to know what the	13	a reference to the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan
	14	remaining changes proposed by the other party are, and	14	as per Article 11.1 of the Arbitration Agreement.
	15	then perhaps I could respond to them all.	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Here again I would like to get a copy
	16	THE CHAIRMAN: Could you circulate a copy of the changes	16	circulated to the other party and to the Tribunal.
	17	that you propose?	17	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, in relation to 7.1, it's true
	18	MR BORN: We certainly could. Should I give it to	18	that it is the presidency, but the presidency in this
	19	Professor Crawford?	19	respect is acting on behalf of both parties. In any
	20	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, please.	20	event, I agree that you that we need to see the actual
	21	MR BORN: The only other changes	21	language.
	22	THE CHAIRMAN: And could you give the Tribunal as well	22	THE CHAIRMAN: No further comments?
	23	a copy.	23	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Not for the moment. Until I've seen
	24	MR BORN: It would mean giving up the copy that	24	the language it's difficult to comment, I have had no
	25	Professor Crawford has, but I'm happy to.	25	notice of this.
		Page 5		Page 7
09:57	1	The other changes all relate to the description of	10:00 1	What I can say is that prima facie the changes, with
09:57	1 2	The other changes all relate to the description of who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort	10:00 1 2	
09:57				the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a
09:57	2	who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement.	2	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.
09:57	2 3	who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our	23	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very
09:57	2 3 4	who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement.	2 3 4	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7	who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement.I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice	2 3 4 5	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this 	2 3 4 5 6	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond.
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing.MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond.THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you.
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item.
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed to any move that makes them consistent, but I would need 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and I quote:
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed to any move that makes them consistent, but I would need to get approval. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and I quote:
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed to any move that makes them consistent, but I would need to get approval. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and I quote: " the Tribunal shall endeavour to complete the arbitration proceedings including the issuance of the
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed to any move that makes them consistent, but I would need to get approval. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and I quote: " the Tribunal shall endeavour to complete the arbitration proceedings including the issuance of the
09:57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 who is responsible for the costs, and again the effort is made to track more precisely the Arbitration Agreement. I certainly hope, and I certainly think, on our side, that these are not changes that are anything but of a ministerial character. I too received late notice of them, and I apologise for any inconvenience that this might cause to either Professor Crawford or the Tribunal, but I do think that they are truly in the nature of housekeeping. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I've made arrangements to contact the agent if necessary during this morning. So what I suggest is that we put on the record the changes that are sought and that we then adjourn this item so that I can get clarification. It's obviously an important question. I agree with Mr Born that the dominant instrument is the agreement to arbitrate, but it's desirable that the terms of appointment be consistent with the agreement to arbitrate, and we are certainly not in principle opposed to any move that makes them consistent, but I would need to get approval. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the possible exception of 6.1, don't seem to create a problem but I would need to see them in writing. MR BORN: That's entirely understandable. I would very much hope that these are in the nature of housekeeping changes and they can be worked out, but until Professor Crawford sees them he obviously can't respond. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. So before getting copies of the changes, the first suggestion you made, and if necessary suspending the hearing for a few minutes so that Professor Crawford can get in touch with the agent, we should go on and look to the further points of the draft agenda. Then we move to schedule of proceedings, which is the second item. We appreciate the parties' desire for a speedy resolution of this dispute, and each of us from the Tribunal were aware of the timeframe for resolution of this dispute when we accepted our appointments and committed to serve. In particular we note the terms of Article 4.3 of the agreement which provides, and I quote: " the Tribunal shall endeavour to complete the arbitration proceedings including the issuance of the

		1	
10:02 1	of the commencement of arbitration proceedings subject	10:07 1	that will certainly be the case with us.
10.02 1	to three months extension."	2	In any event, the Tribunal will find it difficult to
3	We further note the relatively tight deadlines set	3	understand the issues of excess of mandate unless it
4	for these steps in the arbitration set out in Article 8	4	understands die issues of excess of mandate unless it understands something about the issues of substance. So
5	of the Arbitration Agreement, and we note that	5	it would be impractical to bifurcate in any event.
6	Article 8.7 provides some scope for flexibility in the	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, thank you. And I turn to Mr Born.
7	schedule in so far as it sets out:	7	MR BORN: Our understanding is precisely the same as the
8	" notwithstanding Article 4.3, the Tribunal shall	8	Tribunal's. The reference to consecutively, I think,
9	be empowered to extend for good cause the periods	9	refers only to the obvious fact that in so far as the
10	established for the arbitration proceedings on its own	10	Tribunal decides that there was no excess of mandate,
10	motion or at the request of either party. The total	10	there's no need to spend further time on the later
11	cumulative extension of the periods granted by the	11	question in the case.
12	Tribunal at the request of either party may not exceed	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, so we take note of this
13	thirty days for each party."	13	interpretation of the terms of the agreement, which of
14	Finally we note that Article 9.1 provides:	14	course confronts everyone in this room to a very heavy
15	"Subject to Article 8.7 of this agreement, the final	15	and difficult task. I'm sure we are all conscious of
10	award should be rendered by the Tribunal within	10	that.
17	a maximum of 90 days from the closure of submissions."	17	Moving on to the nuts and bolts of the schedule
		18	itself, shall we start with the written pleadings and
19 20	Now, there is here a point of clarification that the Tribunal would like to confirm with the parties today.	20	
20 21	Article 2 of the Arbitration Agreement sets the Tribunal	20 21	then move to the oral pleadings? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I may make a suggestion. On
	two tasks; the first task is to determine whether the	21	matters of scheduling the parties would be able to
22		22	
23	Abyei Boundaries Commission exceeded its mandate. The		agree, and the Tribunal I think within limits would be
24 25	second task, which will be reached only if the answer to	24 25	able to agree as well, to a slightly more relaxed scheduling. At present I'm confronted with the
23	the first question is a positive one, is to proceed to	23	scheduning. At present 1 in controlled with the
	Page 9		Page 11
10:05 1	delimit the boundaries of the area of the nine	10:08 1	problem of cancelling my Christmas holiday because of
2	Ngok Dinka chiefdoms, transferred to Kordofan in 1905.	2	the schedule of pleadings. I think the sensible thing
3	Article 8.2 provides that the arbitration	3	to do would be to fix the oral hearing first, and then
4	proceedings shall consist of two phases, one for written	4	we can work out within the flexibility allowed what
5	pleadings, and the other for oral pleadings. The	5	would be an appropriate point for the submission of
6	parties intend the issues articulated in Article 2 to be	6	the written pleadings.
7	considered and pleaded consecutively.	7	I should say I have had some discussion with
8	Article 8.3(i) notes that the memorial shall cover	8	Mr Born, and we both agree that we will submit our
9	all issues of dispute.	9	memorials within the week, and the reference in the
10	It is our current understanding, based on the terms	10	e ·
11	of the agreement, that there will be just one set of	11	question. We can come back to the precise day in that
12	written proceedings and one set of oral proceedings, and	12	
13	the intention is to deal with both issues together. The	13	
14	reference to consecutive treatment of the issues does	14	с ,
15	not imply that the proceeding should be bifurcated. The	15	
16	timeframe also does not appear to allow for bifurcation.	16	· · · ·
17	Is our understanding correct that all issues will be	17	
18	dealt with in one hit? I turn to the representative of the Government of Sudan.	18	
19	the Government of Sudan.	19	counter-memorial. A short reply is quite a good idea,
		20	because that could be forward, but the
20	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as	20	
21	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as well. The word "consecutively" has caused me some	21	counter-memorial both parties need to see how the
21 22	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as well. The word "consecutively" has caused me some puzzlement in the context of the agreement as a whole,	21 22	counter-memorial both parties need to see how the case is pleaded by the other, and I'm afraid this
21 22 23	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as well. The word "consecutively" has caused me some puzzlement in the context of the agreement as a whole, but it's quite obvious from the timetable, and from	21 22 23	counter-memorial both parties need to see how the case is pleaded by the other, and I'm afraid this agreement was drawn up by someone who has never had
21 22 23 24	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as well. The word "consecutively" has caused me some puzzlement in the context of the agreement as a whole, but it's quite obvious from the timetable, and from all other circumstances, that it's intended that each	21 22 23 24	counter-memorial both parties need to see how the case is pleaded by the other, and I'm afraid this agreement was drawn up by someone who has never had responsibility for producing pleadings before.
21 22 23	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, that's our understanding as well. The word "consecutively" has caused me some puzzlement in the context of the agreement as a whole, but it's quite obvious from the timetable, and from	21 22 23	counter-memorial both parties need to see how the case is pleaded by the other, and I'm afraid this agreement was drawn up by someone who has never had responsibility for producing pleadings before.

10:09	1 drawn up, in fact, by the parties, and at the end of	10:13 1	days, in our case, should relate to the
	2 the day all of us in this room, as the Chairman	2	counter-memorial, because that is the period of time,
	3 pointed out, knew what we were getting into when we	3	having regard to the date when it falls, where more time
	4 signed up for it.	4	will inevitably be required.
	5 It is a difficult and ambitious timetable that is	5	I should say, since Mr Born has mentioned the dates,
	6 set forth in the Arbitration Agreement. It is difficult	6	that although I haven't had the opportunity to consult
	7 and ambitious, though not for frivolous reasons or for	7	with co-counsel in relation to this period, we will
	8 reasons of lack of attention to the demands on counsel;	8	comply with the first period of April dates, even if
	9 rather it is ambitious because of the exigences of the	9	that means changing our counsel. And I would not ever
	10 situation. This determination is central to a larger	10	want it to be thought from what has been said, and
	11 peace process, it is essential that it proceed on the	11	I thought we were talking about merely procedural steps,
	12 basis that the parties agreed in the Arbitration	12	that the Government of Sudan is not committed to the
	13 Agreement, and which, as Professor Crawford rightly put	13	expeditious resolution of this issue. That was implicit
	14 it, we all signed up to.	14	in what I said, that we have prepared, and with
	15 We therefore do not think it is appropriate, as one	15	considerable difficulty, a memorial which will be filed
	16 might do in a commercial arbitration or some other	16	in the week of 15th December.
	17 arbitration, to fix a convenient hearing date and work	17	So all I'm suggesting is that the period of 30 days
	18 backward from that. Rather what we all have here is	18	be allowed for the counter-memorial as the agreement
	a timetable fixed in the Arbitration Agreement to which	19 20	provides.
	20 our mandate is to comply.21 We therefore intend to proceed with submission of	20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Perhaps I can ask Ms Levine to give notice of the
	the memorial pursuant to the terms of the Arbitration	21 22	dates that have been proposed for the oral hearings, and
	Agreement in the week of the 15th. I think	22	then we will move back to the dates for the completion
	24 Professor Crawford's suggestion about identifying the	23	of the written phase.
	 exact day, whether it's the 15th or the 17th, if that's 	25	THE REGISTRAR: The dates on which all members of the
	Page 13		Page 15
10:11	1 more convenient for the Government of Sudan, makes	10.14 1	Tribunal would be available for oral hearings in
10:11		10:14 1	Tribunal would be available for oral hearings in The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April
	2 perfect sense and we should do that.	2	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April
	2 perfect sense and we should do that.		The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 	2 3	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April
	 2 perfect sense and we should do that. 3 We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 4 to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have 	2 3 4	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April
	 2 perfect sense and we should do that. 3 We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 4 to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have 5 to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is 	2 3 4 5	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009.
	 2 perfect sense and we should do that. 3 We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 4 to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have 5 to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is 6 something we knew that we were getting into when we 	2 3 4 5 6	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on
	 2 perfect sense and we should do that. 3 We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 4 to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have 5 to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is 6 something we knew that we were getting into when we 7 signed up for this case. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and
	 2 perfect sense and we should do that. 3 We do not see either justification or need, frankly, 4 to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have 5 to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is 6 something we knew that we were getting into when we 7 signed up for this case. 8 I should just be another moment, I hope. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary.
1	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the
1	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing.
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you.
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the timetable that is contained in the Arbitration 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of
	2perfect sense and we should do that.3We do not see either justification or need, frankly,4to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have5to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is6something we knew that we were getting into when we7signed up for this case.8I should just be another moment, I hope. The9Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is10available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will11cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all12knew we were getting into this when we signed up.13I believe that the second set of dates, the April14dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be15doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that16they are doable on his side. If that is the case then17there should be no difficulty proceeding with the18timetable that is contained in the Arbitration19Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing
	2perfect sense and we should do that.3We do not see either justification or need, frankly,4to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have5to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is6something we knew that we were getting into when we7signed up for this case.8I should just be another moment, I hope. The9Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is10available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will11cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all12knew we were getting into this when we signed up.13I believe that the second set of dates, the April14dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be15doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that16they are doable on his side. If that is the case then17there should be no difficulty proceeding with the18timetable that is contained in the Arbitration19Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to20deviate from that.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing would not take longer than six days. I don't think we
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the timetable that is contained in the Arbitration Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to deviate from that. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the Arbitration Agreement allows 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing would not take longer than six days. I don't think we addressed the question of whether all six days would
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the timetable that is contained in the Arbitration Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to deviate from that. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the Arbitration Agreement allows the parties to have an extra 30 days in relation to a 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing would not take longer than six days. I don't think we addressed the question of whether all six days would be required.
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the timetable that is contained in the Arbitration Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to deviate from that. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the Arbitration Agreement allows the parties to have an extra 30 days in relation to a period of exigency, and if Mr Born wants to stick to 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing would not take longer than six days. I don't think we addressed the question of whether all six days would be required. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. So should
	 perfect sense and we should do that. We do not see either justification or need, frankly, to move the date for the reply memorial. Will we have to work hard over Christmas? Yes. But that is something we knew that we were getting into when we signed up for this case. I should just be another moment, I hope. The Tribunal has indicated some dates on which it is available for hearings. Those dates also no doubt will cause some difficulties for counsel, yet again we all knew we were getting into this when we signed up. I believe that the second set of dates, the April dates, and the first half of the April dates, could be doable on our side. Professor Crawford has told me that they are doable on his side. If that is the case then there should be no difficulty proceeding with the timetable that is contained in the Arbitration Agreement, and we see no reason or justification to deviate from that. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the Arbitration Agreement allows the parties to have an extra 30 days in relation to a 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 The Hague would be, in the first place, Saturday April 18th 2009, Sunday April 19th 2009, Monday April 20th 2009, Tuesday April 21st 199, Wednesday April 22nd 2009, and Thursday April 23rd 2009. The Tribunal, if necessary, would also be able on Thursday April 30th 2009, Friday May 1st 2009, and Saturday May 2nd 2009, if necessary. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Mr President, it may help the Tribunal, while Mr Born and I are still speaking to each other, if I recall two points on which we did, I believe, reach agreement. He will confirm. The first is that in the oral proceeding the Government of Sudan would go first, and the second is that we envisage that a period of approximately six days would be sufficient for the hearing. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. MR BORN: We certainly did agree that the Government of Sudan would go first. We agreed that the hearing would not take longer than six days. I don't think we addressed the question of whether all six days would be required.

Page 14

Т

10:16 1	on April 18th, would be convenient for both sides?	10:22 1	the last day in the week of 26th January, for the
2	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, sir.	2	submission of the counter-memorial, at least for the
3	MR BORN: On my side we can do the first six dates at some	3	submission of our counter-memorial.
4	difficulty, that means the dates from the 18th through	4	But I assume the agreement means that if we use our
5	the 23rd. It will potentially involve my resignation	5	days, the days are inured to the benefit of the other
6	as an arbitrator in another case, which I need to	6	side as well. Again, we're happy with that. We think
7	confirm with the parties. I would not do that	7	the Tribunal will be assisted by having considered
8	lightly. I would need to listen to the reactions of	8	counter-memorials which are responsible for the cases
9	the presiding arbitrator and the co-arbitrator as well	9	put forward in the memorial.
10	as counsel for the parties. This case, though, has	10	THE CHAIRMAN: Should I ask Ms Levine to indicate what
11	special characteristics that I think justify an	11	would be the further changes in the timeline if, on
12	exceptional step of that nature, and if this is the	12	hypothesis, we take the suggestion made by the
13	only date we can do I will take it.	13	Government of Sudan?
14	I am unable to do the remaining four dates which	14	THE REGISTRAR: Were the counter-memorials to be filed on
15	were mentioned. I am sitting in another case there	15	Friday February 27th, I believe that the rejoinders 15
16	where, owing to its peculiarities, it would be untenable	16	days later would be due on March 14th, which is
17	for me to resign.	17	a Saturday.
18	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I think we're talking about the	18	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: We are content with that, sir, and it
19	first six dates and I think we have agreement on the	19	gives the Tribunal a month between the submission of
20	first six dates.	20	the memorial and the beginning of the oral phase to
21	THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you, and I ask the registrar to	21	absorb the rejoinders. So it seems, within the
22	take note of this agreement.	22	context of a not very well, let me put it
23	So if we turn back now to the issue of the written	23	positively, a very compressed timetable, it seems
24	pleadings, I suggest that we examine the draft timeline,	24	a reasonable balance.
25	the estimated timeline which has been prepared by the	25	MR BORN: If I may just briefly speak to that.
	Page 17		Page 19
			l age 17
10:18 1	registrar. We already understand that the parties will	10:23 1	Professor Crawford is of course right that we should
10:18 1 2	registrar. We already understand that the parties will be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on	10:23 1 2	Professor Crawford is of course right that we should pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration
2	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on	2	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration
2 3	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December.	2 3	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the
2 3 4	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of	2 3 4	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might
2 3 4 5	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December.PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to	2 3 4 5	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall
2 3 4 5 6	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December.PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest	2 3 4 5 6	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause.
2 3 4 5 6 7	be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December.PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in	2 3 4 5 6 7	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor Crawford, and I would be grateful if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor CrawFORD: Sir, it involves our using a 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor Crawford, and I would be grateful if PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor Crawford, and I would be grateful if PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the agreement for each party within which we can seek an 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance with the timetable that had been fixed, and saw that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor Crawford, and I would be grateful if PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the agreement for each party within which we can seek an extension. I'm very happy to spend those days in the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance with the timetable that had been fixed, and saw that it just couldn't make it, that the Tribunal would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor CrawFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the agreement for each party within which we can seek an extension. I'm very happy to spend those days in the circumstances, and I would suggest 27th February, the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance with the timetable that had been fixed, and saw that it just couldn't make it, that the Tribunal would have an exceptional discretion for good cause.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor Crawford, and I would be grateful if PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the agreement for each party within which we can seek an extension. I'm very happy to spend those days in the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance with the timetable that had been fixed, and saw that it just couldn't make it, that the Tribunal would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 be able to provide the Tribunal with the memorial on 15th December. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, the agreement says the week of 15th December, and I'm afraid when one is working to these timetables, days matter. I was going to suggest 18th December in that week, certainly later than in that week, 18th or 19th, which would still be consistent with the agreement. MR BORN: If the 18th suits Professor Crawford it suits me as well. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I'm grateful. MR BORN: I want to make sure he keeps speaking to me. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the two parties for this agreement. In principle, the parties should submit a simultaneous counter-memorial at the end of January, and the date which had been indicated so far is Monday 26th, January. But we heard the observation made by Professor CrawFORD: Sir, it involves our using a substantial part of the 30 days provided for in the agreement for each party within which we can seek an extension. I'm very happy to spend those days in the circumstances, and I would suggest 27th February, the 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	pay attention to the letter of the Arbitration Agreement. It provides, in contrast to perhaps the usual procedural discretion that the Tribunal might enjoy, that the timetables fixed by the parties shall only be extended for good cause. The parties knew quite well where Christmas lay when they fixed this timetable. Good cause, I would suggest, is not either Professor Crawford or, with the greatest of respect to my wife, our holidays. What is important is the parties and what they have chosen. There are pressing security issues in the region, there is the overall conduct of the peace agreements, and most importantly, even if the Tribunal were minded to grant Professor Crawford some of the time he requests, his spending, if you will, his entire 30 days now leaves no further time for extensions on his side. I would suggest for those reasons that there's neither good cause to extend based simply on the Christmas vacations; rather, what was intended by good cause was if a party tried its best, tried in accordance with the timetable that had been fixed, and saw that it just couldn't make it, that the Tribunal would have an exceptional discretion for good cause.

		r	
10.24 1		10:27 1	will save time.
10:24 1	transportation or communication in the remote area of	10.27 1	
2	Abyei, there was an illness on the team or something of		THE CHAIRMAN: I agree with both suggestions.
3	that sort, the Tribunal then could make a special	3	(10.27 am)
4	1 1	4	(A short break)
5	greatest of respect again to Professor Crawford and his	5	(11.19 am)
6	family's holidays, that does not encompass simply	6	THE CHAIRMAN: We should first consider the modifications
7	rejigging the timetable now based on a holiday that has	7	which have been proposed by the SPLM/A. Could you
8	been there for the last 20 centuries.	8	please, Professor Crawford, tell us
9	I would suggest in those circumstances that the	9	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I was able to discuss them with
10	c ·	10	the agent and we are happy with them. We accept them.
11		11	They make the terms of appointment consistent with the
12		12	agreement so there's no difficulty.
13	C C	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. This is also the view
14		14	of the Tribunal.
15		15	Ms Levine, can you then tell us, because we made
16		16	a slight modification.
17	-	17	THE REGISTRAR: In addition to the changes proposed and
18		18	agreed, a slight modification was made to
19		19	paragraph 4.5, which had included December 15 as the
20	the counter-memorial. We're not using the whole of	20	date for exchange of memorials, and now reads:
21	e e	21	"In accordance with Article 8.3(i) of the
22		22	Arbitration Agreement, the parties agree to
23		23	simultaneously submit memorials covering all issues of
24		24	dispute in form and content by December 18th 2008".
25	In any event, if I have to commit the whole 30 days	25	MR BORN: That makes perfect sense.
	Page 21		Page 23
	e		
10:26 1	to the counter-memorial I do so for the very good reason	11:20 1	
	to the counter-memorial 1 do so for the very good reason	11.20 1	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, for the record I would say, and
2		2	this is again what I understand to be the result of
2 3	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it		-
	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will	2	this is again what I understand to be the result of
3	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it	2 3	this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean
3 4	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and	2 3 4	this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day.
3 4 5	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and	2 3 4 5	this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly
3 4 5 6	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those	2 3 4 5 6	this is again what I understand to be the result ofa discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we meansubmit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day.MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainlymeans that day, and to the PCA.
3 4 5 6 7	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly	2 3 4 5 6 7	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day.
3 4 5 6 7 8	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 2 13 14	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the parties went elsewhere? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings. In view of the consideration advanced by both sides,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the parties went elsewhere? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: If it would be possible for the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings. In view of the consideration advanced by both sides, the Tribunal believes that it would be convenient to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the parties went elsewhere? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: If it would be possible for the amendments to be circulated, I can take the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings. In view of the consideration advanced by both sides, the Tribunal believes that it would be convenient to grant an additional time, considering in particular the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the parties went elsewhere? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: If it would be possible for the amendments to be circulated, I can take the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings. In view of the consideration advanced by both sides, the Tribunal believes that it would be convenient to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that neither party knows with clarity the case that will be put forward by the other. It is obvious now that it will be beneficial to the Tribunal in this difficult case for the counter-memorial to be properly considered, and for the other party to have a short opportunity, and it will be a short opportunity, to respond to anything that is said in the counter-memorial. In those circumstances, I request the Tribunal I'm perfectly happy to cancel my own personal holiday now, not that I have very many, if it will make Mr Born happy. That's not the point. The point is that during this period I mentioned a holiday, in fact it is a period of time in which I will be working but working in another place. We request that the Tribunal grant the extension now to us in relation to the date which has been mentioned. Thank you, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tribunal will adjourn for a few minutes. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if MR BORN: Would it be convenient for the Tribunal if the parties went elsewhere? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: If it would be possible for the amendments to be circulated, I can take the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 this is again what I understand to be the result of a discussion with Mr Born, that by "submit" we mean submit to the PCA here at 5 o'clock on that day. MR BORN: We will do our best for 5 o'clock. It certainly means that day, and to the PCA. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I see Mr Born wants flexibility after all! MR BORN: Within the day. THE REGISTRAR: Mr Chairman, we would be ready to circulate the terms of appointment for signature now, or we can wait until the next adjournment. THE CHAIRMAN: I think you can do that now in order to save time. THE REGISTRAR: And we already have the signature for the agent of the Government of Sudan, and I understand, Professor Crawford, you are now authorised for that to be used in the final version. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Yes, I am. (Pause) THE CHAIRMAN: Now we turn to the issue of dates for delivery of the different written pleadings. In view of the consideration advanced by both sides, the Tribunal believes that it would be convenient to grant an additional time, considering in particular the

,			
11:22 1	fact that delivery of memorials is simultaneous, it	11:27 1	On our side, having simultaneous transcription,
2	appears to the Tribunal that the counter-memorial will	2	so-called LiveNote, and facilities for audio visual,
3	constitute a very important piece of the pleading, but	3	whether PowerPoint or something of that sort, would be
4	we are also aware of the timeframe and of the overall	4	desirable. I suspect there will not need to be
5	context, so the Tribunal decides to grant an additional	5	interpreters, counsel can speak slowly, and in so far as
6	time not of 31 days, but of a shorter length.	6	interpreters are required, I think counsel can
7	I would be grateful if Ms Levine could give us the	7	communicate with each other and arrange on satisfactory
8	reading of the new dates which have been established.	8	individuals.
9	THE REGISTRAR: In accordance with the instruction of the	9	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I agree with all of that.
10	Tribunal, as agreed the date for the exchange of	10	THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. So we are left with point 5,
10	memorials, in accordance with Article 8.3(i) of the	10	publicity. There are here a couple of points of
11	agreement, will be Thursday December 18th 2008.	11	
12	The revised date for submission of counter-memorials	12	
13	in accordance with Article 8.3(ii) would be Friday	13	
14	February 13th 2009.	14	-
15	The date for submission of rejoinders in accordance	15	
10	with Article 8.3(iii) would be Saturday February	10	
17	28th 2009.	17	•
18	By Monday March 16th 2009, in accordance with	18	
19 20	Article 8.3(iv), other written pleadings that the	19 20	
20 21	Tribunal deems necessary to be requested will be	20 21	website the final award as well as party submissions."
21	requested.	21	
22	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sorry, what was that date?	22	
23 24	THE REGISTRAR: That was Monday March 16th 2009. And the	23 24	•
24 25	Tribunal has also decided that the date of Friday	24 25	-
25	mbuildi has also decided that the date of mbay	23	end of the proceedings: we would like the hear the
	Page 25		Page 27
11.05 1		11.00 1	
11:25 1	March 20th 2009 will be the date for notification, in	11:29 1	parties on that. Professor Crawford.
2	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those	2	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which
2 3	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented.	2 3	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the
2 3 4	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines	2 3 4	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or
2 3 4 5	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague	2 3 4 5	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings
2 3 4 5 6	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm.	2 3 4 5 6	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit
2 3 4 5 6 7	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented.The dates that I have just read and the deadlinesI have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm.THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written	2 3 4 5 6 7	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented.The dates that I have just read and the deadlinesI have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm.THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties should 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? MR BORN: I suspect this is something that the parties can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it. The pleadings will be given to you in hard copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? MR BORN: I suspect this is something that the parties can work out between themselves. I suspect there will not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it. The pleadings will be given to you in hard copy form. Because of the nature of illustrations and maps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? MR BORN: I suspect this is something that the parties can work out between themselves. I suspect there will not need to be unusually complicated technical equipment, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it. The pleadings will be given to you in hard copy form. Because of the nature of illustrations and maps and so on it may not be possible to give you electronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? MR BORN: I suspect this is something that the parties can work out between themselves. I suspect there will not need to be unusually complicated technical equipment, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it. The pleadings will be given to you in hard copy form. Because of the nature of illustrations and maps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 accordance with Article 8.4 of the agreement, of those experts and witnesses intended to be presented. The dates that I have just read and the deadlines I have just read would be by close of business Hague time 5 pm. THE CHAIRMAN: And you will send to the parties a written copy of the different dates? THE REGISTRAR: That's correct. The PCA will distribute a letter confirming those dates subsequent to today's meeting. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Now I think we can move directly to point 4(b), which deals with technical requirements in the framework of oral pleadings, and we would like to hear the parties on that issue, and in particular the parties should liaise with the PCA closer to the date of the hearings regarding the technical requirements for the oral pleadings. There is the issue of interpreters, the equipment, court reporting. Any comment? MR BORN: I suspect this is something that the parties can work out between themselves. I suspect there will not need to be unusually complicated technical equipment, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this is not a matter on which I have specific instructions. It is clear from the Arbitration Agreement that this is not a private or confidential arbitration. I think, if the pleadings were to be made public instantaneously upon deposit although I certainly haven't had experience of that happening, and I'd be interested in Mr Born's view about it we don't have any a priori view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: It may be also that Professor Crawford would like to consult with his agent on his side, we certainly would have no objection to him taking the time to do that. On our side, I think past experience has been that the PCA has put things up relatively quickly on their website. Prima facie we don't see a reason to treat pleadings differently. However, if there are objections from the government we can certainly consider those. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, I wasn't making an objection. When I do make an objection you will know it. The pleadings will be given to you in hard copy form. Because of the nature of illustrations and maps and so on it may not be possible to give you electronic

11:30 1	certainly not have any difficulty about the hard copy of	11:33 1	and does not address specifically the question of the
2	the pleadings being made available to the media	2	public, although it goes on to say that the hearings
3	et cetera upon deposit. If Mr Born is happy with that	3	shall only be closed for security reasons.
4	I think we are happy with it.	4	The Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army submits
5	We would have to have some discussion about the	5	that the better view of that provision, both in terms of
6	modalities of making electronic copies available and how	6	the parties' intentions and the overall objectives of
0 7	much longer that would take, because obviously the whole	7	this process, is to permit those members of the public,
	process of production is quite a complicated one. We		
8		8	and particularly the interested and affected group on
9	are obviously putting in a map atlas, and to prepare	9	the side of the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army,
10	that in an electronic form may take some further time.	10	,
11	MR BORN: If the Government of Sudan has no objections to	11	appropriate security arrangements, which might include
12	the materials going onto the website as soon as	12	0
13	technically feasible then I think we have agreement.	13	6
14	Obviously there will need to be technical discussions	14	
15	but I'm not sure that need detain us today.	15	
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Of course, the Tribunal insists that the	16	
17	electronic version be completed before the work of the	17	process, huge benefits would be served by that.
18	Tribunal as it's very useful.	18	
19	Now I come to the second point of clarification. As	19	of public attention in the past has led us to where we
20	I read just before, the oral pleading shall be open to	20	are today, and they therefore wish there to be maximal
21	the media. Does it imply also that the proceedings are	21	transparency, maximal public attention to this, and
22	open to members of the public generally?	22	hence the provisions already in the agreement.
23	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if the parties had wanted to	23	I think it therefore sensible, indeed fundamentally
24	make the oral proceedings open to members of the	24	important, that the public see this themselves, not just
25	public they would have said so. I think the	25	through the filter of the media or the press, but be
	D 40		
	Page 29		Page 31
11:32 1	significance is to be attached to the actual language.	11:35 1	able to say that they saw you and us deliberate upon
11:32 1	significance is to be attached to the actual language which says "open to the media" and that would imply	11:35 1	able to say that they saw you and us deliberate upon this matter
2	which says "open to the media", and that would imply	2	this matter.
2 3	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation.	2 3	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held
2 3 4	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very	2 3 4	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the
2 3 4 5	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we	2 3 4 5	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people
2 3 4 5 6	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage	2 3 4 5 6	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is
2 3 4 5 6 7	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up	2 3 4 5 6 7	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the use of a special room for the public.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the use of a special room for the public. MR BORN: Just to be clear, Mr Chairman, although there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: A couple of thoughts for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. Firstly, the Arbitration 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the use of a special room for the public. MR BORN: Just to be clear, Mr Chairman, although there is an agreement on that, our position remains that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the use of a special room for the public. MR BORN: Just to be clear, Mr Chairman, although there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 which says "open to the media", and that would imply some form of media accreditation. The hearing is going to take place in a very compressed timetable, and I envisage that, although we haven't discussed the day-by-day timetable, I envisage that most or all of the time available will be taken up with the hearings. So it's important that the hearings are conducted in an orderly way without delay. Our strong preference would be for the hearings to be open to accredited members of the media, and for the hearings, the live note and I agree with Mr Born that we need a live note to be immediately made available electronically. But we would have some difficulty about the hearings being conducted in a room to which members of the public generally had access. There would be security concerns, risk of interruption and so on. It would be possible, and it has been done, for there to be a separate room in which people can watch the proceedings being televised. That would be less problematic from our point of view. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Born? MR BORN: A couple of thoughts for the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army. Firstly, the Arbitration 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 this matter. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, this arbitration is being held because it is not the case, according to the Government of Sudan, that there is only one people involved, but several. And the arbitration is certainly not being conducted on the footing that there is no difficulty in that regard. Mr Born said he would be happy with a separate room in the Peace Palace being available for viewing, and subject to normal security arrangements in the Peace Palace we would be happy with that as well. But we think the orderly conduct of the proceedings themselves would be better if attendance to the proceedings was limited to accredited members of the media. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank both parties, and the Tribunal will consider the issue in coordination with the PCA in order to define the best ways to organise the hearings. We do consider that there is an agreement among the two sides, particularly for the use of a special room for the public. MR BORN: Just to be clear, Mr Chairman, although there is an agreement on that, our position remains that if

		-	
11.05	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11:37	1 ensure expeditious seating and the like, it would be	11:40 1	certainly do, to submit witness statements, in so far
	2 appropriate and just for members of the public to be	2	as they are to be provided, documentary evidence,
	3 able to watch in person. It might be a limited	3	expert reports and maps, together with the memorial.
	4 number, but this is a matter which affects the people	4	That's certainly, as I say, our intention as opposed
	5 as well as counsel.	5	to submitting them at some other time.
	6 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Once again, I think this is a point	6	We agree entirely that with regard to modern
	7 to be considered with the PCA so that we can examine	7	technology, be it CDs or something else, that can be
	8 these eventual possibilities.	8	submitted slight thereafter. There may be technical
	9 Yes, it is true that there are still some issues	9	issues in terms of transferring it to the appropriate
	10 which have not been considered so far and which are of	10	medium, I'm certainly not the person that can master
	11 interest for the organisation of the written pleadings.	11	those technical issues, but I would expect that they
	12 The first deals with evidence, documents, witness	12	would be surmountable in the days, perhaps week,
	13 statements, expert reports, maps, which of course are of	13	following hard copy submission.
	14 particular importance from a material point of view for	14	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright. I take it that there is
	the clarification of the positions of the two sides	15	an agreement among the parties on that issue.
	16 The agreement appears to be silent on this, and we	16	MR BORN: Just noticing one point that I failed to
	17 would be happy to have your clarifications on that	17	address. We certainly do not object to extracts, if
	18 point.	18	you will, of books, the front page and the relevant
	19 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we were envisaging the	19	material. I think it would be helpful if relevant
	20 submission of a memorial which would contain some	20	material were defined, obviously it's within both
	21 maps, but a separate map annex. Obviously the	21	parties' discretion, but were defined with an effort
	submission will be accompanied by a certificate of the	22	-
	agent as to the authenticity of the maps.	23	· · ·
	To the extent that there are witness statements, the	24	
	25 witness statements should be attested in the normal way,	25	context.
	Page 33		Page 35
11:39	1 as would be done for ICJ proceedings. There is a great	11:42 1	We also suggest that both parties be responsible for
11:39	 as would be done for ICJ proceedings. There is a great volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of 	11:42 1 2	We also suggest that both parties be responsible for providing the translation, in so far as the original
11:39			
11:39	2 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of	2	providing the translation, in so far as the original
11:39	volume of documentary material, including quite a lot ofpublished documentary material; as the Tribunal will	2 3	providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of
11:39	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the 	2 3 4	providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular
11:39	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's 	2 3 4 5	providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather
11:39	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of 	2 3 4 5 6	providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context.
11:39	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation.
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely.
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you.
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents?
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement?
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the relevant pages we quote, and then subsequently give you 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the counter-memorial as meaning that one is precluded from
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the relevant pages we quote, and then subsequently give you electronic versions of the documents to the extent that 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the counter-memorial as meaning that one is precluded from submitting new documents in the counter-memorial,
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the relevant pages we quote, and then subsequently give you electronic versions of the documents to the extent that they are available, which is a surprising extent. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the counter-memorial, although it's too early to tell. We would certainly
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the relevant pages we quote, and then subsequently give you electronic versions of the documents to the extent that they are available, which is a surprising extent. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Mr Born. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the counter-memorial as meaning that one is precluded from submitting new documents in the counter-memorial, although it's too early to tell. We would certainly envisage doing so, and I would be very surprised if Mr Born had a different view.
	 volume of documentary material, including quite a lot of published documentary material; as the Tribunal will discover, the proceedings of the administration of the Condominium were largely published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office, and it would produce a huge volume of annexes if all this material was submitted. What we were proposing to do was to submit only the front sheet and the relevant page from which a quotation comes. But in many cases there exist electronic versions of these documents, and we would propose, possibly not on the day of submission but within as short a time thereafter as is technically possible, giving you a CD. For example, there is a 1995 handbook of the Sudan in two volumes which is available on the internet, and we would give you a copy of that in electronic form. Unfortunately it's not available in hard copy anymore except in selected libraries, so we can't give you the whole thing, but we will give you with the memorial the relevant pages we quote, and then subsequently give you electronic versions of the documents to the extent that they are available, which is a surprising extent. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 providing the translation, in so far as the original language of the document is not the English language, of that selected excerpt, meaning not just the particular sentence that one wishes to draw attention to but rather the immediately surrounding context. THE CHAIRMAN: Which means that you would produce the original text together with a translation. MR BORN: Precisely. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. PROFESSOR REISMAN: Do I understand then that the documents will be submitted with the memorials in accordance with 8.3(i), and that the counter-memorials will not be including documents? My second question is with respect to the production of witnesses, I presume that every witness produced will have already submitted a witness statement? PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if I can respond to those questions. On the first, I don't take the non-reference to documents in relation to the counter-memorial as meaning that one is precluded from submitting new documents in the counter-memorial, although it's too early to tell. We would certainly envisage doing so, and I would be very surprised if

11:43	1	As to the witness statements, I agree entirely that	11:46 1	a counter-memorial without being counter-productive.
	2	any witness who intends to give oral evidence must	2	I would be happy with a strong injunction that all of
	3	previously have submitted a witness statement.	3	the materials should be produced as soon as possible.
	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Born.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Mr Born.
	5	MR BORN: That certainly is the position of the Sudan	5	MR BORN: In principle, the Sudan People's Liberation
	6	People's Liberation Movement/Army. I would be quite	6	Movement/Army doesn't disagree with that. We would
	7	surprised if there were not documents or additional	7	point out, though, that in a sense we will be seeing
	8	materials attached to the counter-memorial in just the	8	the case for an excess of mandate for the first time
	9	same way as they are attached to the memorial, and the	9	when we receive the memorial, and therefore we will be
	10	same arrangements that we discussed ought also to	10	facing only one opportunity in the counter-memorial to
	11	apply to that.	11	meet that case.
	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright.	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, we take note of this position.
	13	PROFESSOR REISMAN: My question goes to whether the	13	I should briefly review the number of hard copies.
	14	counter-memorials will be introducing new material, so	14	The understanding of the Tribunal is that of course each
	15	that they will in effect be a second memorial, or	15	member of the Tribunal will be provided with one, two
	16	whether the documents in the counter-memorial will	16	for the PCA, and eight for each party, would that be
	17	essentially be responding to issues raised in the	17	convenient?
	18	memorial.	18	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, if the pleadings are to be made
	19	PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, it's crystal ball gazing at this	19	publicly accessible, it might be desirable for the PCA
	20	stage, but I'm not sure that it's going to be very	20	to have more than the bare minimum. So I would
	21	easy to draw a distinction between purely responsive	21	propose ten copies for the PCA, including of course
	22	arguments and the development of arguments in the	22	for the use of the Tribunal, and Mr Born and I have
	23	memorial. I think in the nature of things the two	23	also discussed this and I think we agreed on
	24	will run together.	24	a number of ten as between the parties. Once we know
	25	But obviously the principal function of the	25	what the number is there's no particular problem in
		D 27		D 20
		Page 37		Page 39
11:44	1	counter-memorial will be to respond by such arguments as	11:48 1	producing it.
11:44	1 2	counter-memorial will be to respond by such arguments as each party is advised to the arguments made by the other	11:48 1	
11:44				MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires
11:44	2	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other	2	
11:44	2 3	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial.	2 3	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would
11:44	2 3 4	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel,	2 3 4	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five
11:44	2 3 4 5	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial.PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with	2 3 4 5	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each
11:44	2 3 4 5 6	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial.PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will	2 3 4 5 6	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party.
11:44	2 3 4 5 6 7	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party.THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party.THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine?THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be put in the form of a rule that without the permission of 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved. I think then we come to the end of this agenda. Are
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be put in the form of a rule that without the permission of the Tribunal, but there's very little time between the two phases. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved. I think then we come to the end of this agenda. Are there any questions from the members of the Tribunal? PROFESSOR REISMAN: Mr President, I hate to belabour the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be put in the form of a rule that without the permission of the Tribunal, but there's very little time between the two phases. So I would be happy with the Tribunal's injunction, 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved. I think then we come to the end of this agenda. Are there any questions from the members of the Tribunal? PROFESSOR REISMAN: Mr President, I hate to belabour the point, but I am concerned about an orderly procedure.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be put in the form of a rule that without the permission of the Tribunal, but there's very little time between the two phases. 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved. I think then we come to the end of this agenda. Are there any questions from the members of the Tribunal? PROFESSOR REISMAN: Mr President, I hate to belabour the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 each party is advised to the arguments made by the other in the memorial. PROFESSOR REISMAN: In that case, if I understand counsel, that means that we may also be presented with documents in the rejoinder, because new documents will have been presented in the counter-memorial to which a rejoinder may be necessary. Is that the understanding, Mr President? THE CHAIRMAN: My tendency would be to say that at some point the parties should restrain from producing too many document which, after a certain threshold, can become counter-productive. So perhaps we could consider that at the level of rejoinders, the two sides would not in principal produce new documentation, but this is a question to be discussed. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we would be happy with that as a guideline, even as a strict guideline, so that you would have to have good cause. If the Tribunal were minded to do so, it could be put in the form of a rule that without the permission of the Tribunal, but there's very little time between the two phases. So I would be happy with the Tribunal's injunction, 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	 MR BORN: I would be very much guided by the PCA's desires on this, but my understanding would be that we would then adjust the numbers that are in the agenda to five for the Tribunal, five for the PCA and ten for each party. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Levine? THE REGISTRAR: Five hard copies to be made available to the public would be sufficient for the PCA. The provision in 8.6 provides for the PCA to make the documents publicly available on its website, so that would be the primary form of making the information available, for example, diplomatic representatives in The Hague who may wish to come and inspect in person. The hard copies, if each party provides five copies that should be sufficient. THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. We have already touched upon the question of the translation of documents not being in English, so I think the question is solved. I think then we come to the end of this agenda. Are there any questions from the members of the Tribunal? PROFESSOR REISMAN: Mr President, I hate to belabour the point, but I am concerned about an orderly procedure.

11:49	1 submitting a different document. If documents can be	11:53 1	there should, insofar as humanly possible, not be
	2 submitted through the rejoinder period, a party will	2	references to documents which are so-called public if
	3 have submitted documents that another party will not	3	they haven't been put before the Tribunal. Many
	4 have had an opportunity to challenge in a documentary	4	things can be found on the internet these days and
	5 fashion, which is one of the reasons why in many	5	I assume that's public, and I really think that the
	6 procedures it is assumed, without the permission of the	6	Tribunal would be best served by having a fixed
	7 Tribunal, that the documentary record is closed after	7	documentary record.
	8 the counter-memorial.	8	With regard to counter-memorials and rejoinders,
	9 If documents can be submitted by a party in rebuttal	9	I am a bit concerned that we are engaged in crystal ball
	10 in the rejoinder in response to documents that were in	10	
	11 the counter-memorial, then we will have documents that	11	tested by an adversarial procedure; on the other hand
	12 will not have been tested in an adversarial fashion by	12	
	13 the possible submission of other documents.	13	1 5
	14 I appreciate there are special problems with	14	
	15 simultaneous exchange, but the Tribunal benefits from	15	0
	adversarial testing of documents, and I just wonder, if	16	
	17 there are documentary submissions at the rejoinder	17	
	18 phase, whether that will be precluded. Thank you.	18	That said, if the Tribunal, in the interests of
	19 JUDGE SCHWEBEL: Mr President, an allied point is that	19	-
	20 when it comes to the oral argument, counsel should not	20	
	refer to documents that are not in the record.	21	exceptional circumstances, no further documents will be
	22 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: To deal with the last point fir	it, 22	permitted, so be it, we will then know what rules we are
	that's obviously right, unless there is some	23	playing by and we would not object to that.
	exceptional situation in which case permission of the	24	THE CHAIRMAN: Alright, I thank you. I think we can keep
	25 Tribunal would be required.	25	to this rule that the record should be closed with the
	Page 41		Page 43
	1 age +1		1 age 45
11:51	1 There's a distinction of course between documents	11:54 1	counter-memorial except with the authorisation of the
11:51	 There's a distinction of course between documents which are in the public domain, such as could be cited 	11:54 1	counter-memorial except with the authorisation of the Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for
11:51			
11:51	2 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited	2 3	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for
11:51	which are in the public domain, such as could be citedwithout notice in the International Court, and I would	2 3	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the
11:51	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one would 	2 3 4	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides.
11:51	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one would cite the normal range of authorities on a particular 	d 2 3 4 5 6	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points?
11:51	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. 	d 2 3 4 5 6	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One
11:51	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance that 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if 	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial it would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 e 21	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. I had some other comments on
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with Professor Reisman that in principle the record should I closed at the counter-memorial stage if at all possible. 	e 21 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 22	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. I had some other comments on the deposit arrangements if you have nothing further.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with Professor Reisman that in principle the record should I closed at the counter-memorial stage if at all possible. MR BORN: We certainly agree that there should not be 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 e 21 22 23	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. I had some other comments on the deposit arrangements if you have nothing further. THE CHAIRMAN: Please.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one would cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance that if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with Professor Reisman that in principle the record should be closed at the counter-memorial stage if at all possible. MR BORN: We certainly agree that there should not be references to documents outside of the record that is 	e 21 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 22 23 24	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. I had some other comments on the deposit arrangements if you have nothing further. THE CHAIRMAN: Please. THE REGISTRAR: As you are aware, the PCA is responsible
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one wou cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance tha if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with Professor Reisman that in principle the record should I closed at the counter-memorial stage if at all possible. MR BORN: We certainly agree that there should not be 	d 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 e 21 22 23	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. I had some other comments on the deposit arrangements if you have nothing further. THE CHAIRMAN: Please.
	 which are in the public domain, such as could be cited without notice in the International Court, and I would assume that that's permissible in the way that one would cite the normal range of authorities on a particular subject. But we are talking about documents particular to the case, and I entirely agree that without the permission of the Tribunal the record should be closed at some point. As to what point, we would be content with a stipulation that all documents of the sort that I've just described will be submitted with the counter-memorial, except with the permission of the Tribunal. I would put on the record in order to be helpful Mr Born doesn't always realise that I'm trying to be helpful I would put on the record our acceptance that if there was something new in our counter-memorial if would be appropriate for the Tribunal to give permission. But I agree very much with Professor Reisman that in principle the record should be closed at the counter-memorial stage if at all possible. MR BORN: We certainly agree that there should not be references to documents outside of the record that is 	e 21 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 22 23 24	 Tribunal at the stage of the rejoinder, in order for us, all of us, to be disciplined and remain with the possibility of a reaction by both sides. Would you like to draw other points? THE REGISTRAR: Yes. Thank you, Mr President. One further point of clarification with respect to implementing Article 8.6, Article 8.6 refers to making available on the PCA website the final award as well as parties' submissions. It makes no references to transcripts of proceedings or to procedural orders or to the terms of appointment, and the PCA would like some instruction with respect to those other types of documents in the case, and whether we should make those publicly available also. MR BORN: I think from the Sudan People's Liberation Movement/Army's perspective all materials should be available. PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Sir, we agree with that. THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. I had some other comments on the deposit arrangements if you have nothing further. THE CHAIRMAN: Please. THE REGISTRAR: As you are aware, the PCA is responsible

1		
11:56 1	registry, in accordance with the terms of appointment	11:59 1 We will confirm these amounts once the contributions
	signed today, and as provided by Article 41 of the	2 are finalised, and keep the parties abreast of any
2		
3 4	PCA Rules, a deposit has been requested in the amount of €1 million.	3 developments with respect to that funding.4 The usual timeline for requesting a deposit from
5	The PCA notes the terms of Article 11 of the	5 a state in matters such as this would be around 30 days,
6	Arbitration Agreement, which provides for the Presidency	6 and with that timeframe in mind, once we can confirm the
7	of the Republic of Sudan to direct for the payment of	7 amount to be requested, that will be forwarded to the
8	the cost of the arbitration from the unity fund,	8 Government of Sudan's agent, and both parties will be
9	regardless of the outcome of the arbitration, and	9 kept abreast of those developments.
10	Article 11.2 of the agreement, which notes that the	10 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Would the
11	Government of Sudan shall apply to the PCA Financial	11 representative of the government like to react to this
12	Assistance Fund, and the parties may solicit additional	12 information?
13	assistance from the international community.	13 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: I managed to take note of it, sir,
14	Speaking with respect to the anticipated application	14 and I would be grateful if Ms Levine would keep me
15	to the PCA's Financial Assistance Fund, the PCA received	15 personally informed about the process, because it's
16	in July, at the time of deposit of the agreement	16 obviously important that this be done with appropriate
17	I believe it was July 11th a request from the	17 expedition.
18	Government of Sudan in general terms for assistance from	18 THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you. Mr Born?
19	the PCA Financial Assistance Fund. That fund was	19 MR BORN: The PCA's efforts are appreciated.
20	established in 1994, at the initiative of the PCA's	20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. So I thank you very much for
21	member states; it is available to qualifying states who	21 your cooperation. I think that all the points on the
22	are participating in arbitration proceedings before the	agenda have been discussed and agreed upon, so I thank
23	PCA, and in order to qualify a state must be a member	23 you very much, and we adjourn this meeting. Thank
24	state, which Sudan is, and be on a list of developing	24 you.
25	countries established by the OECD, which Sudan is	25 MR BORN: Thank you, Mr President.
	2.15	
	Page 45	Page 47
11:57 1	a member of.	12:01 1 PROFESSOR CRAWFORD: Thank you, Mr President, members of
2	The current balance of the Financial Assistance Fund	2 the Tribunal, and thank you for accepting the heavy
3	does not cover €1 million. There is however arrently	3 charge of this arbitration, which is sui generis both
4	available a couple of hundred thousand euros possibly	4 in its timetable as also its content.
5	for use in this case, and we have been informed at the	5 (12.03 pm)
6	end of last week, and given permission to tell the	
7	parties, that the Government of Norway intends to make	6 (The hearing concluded)
	purices, that the Government of 1 tor way intends to make	6 (The hearing concluded) 7
8		
8 9	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA	7
8 9 10	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation	7 8
9	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to	7 8 9
9 10	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000.	7 8 9 10
9 10 11 12	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has	7 8 9 10 11
9 10 11 12 13	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have	7 8 9 10 11 12
9 10 11 12 13 14	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be	$ \begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan ought to contribute	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan ought to contribute in the vicinity of €600,000 towards the deposit in this	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan ought to contribute	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan ought to contribute in the vicinity of €600,000 towards the deposit in this	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a contribution of 2 million Norwegian kroner to the PCA Financial Assistance Fund for the purposes of allocation to this case, which is approximately equivalent to €230,000. The PCA anticipates that now that the deposit has been established, and that the terms of appointment have been signed, the Secretary General of the PCA will forward on behalf of the Government of Sudan, which represents a request on behalf of both parties to this case, a request of financial assistance from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund board of trustees. The Secretary General anticipates that, should the Norwegian funds come through as promised, there could be an allocation in the vicinity of €400,000 from the PCA Financial Assistance Fund, and therefore that the Presidency of the Republic of Sudan ought to contribute in the vicinity of €600,000 towards the deposit in this case.	$ \begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ \end{array} $

A	42:23 44:19	appreciate 8:16 41:14	authorisation 44:1	18:13 19:25 21:17	44:20,23 47:10,18
	agreed 5:1 13:12	appreciated 47:19	authorise 27:18	22:11,21 23:25 24:3	47:20
able 1:25 6:24 11:22	16:19 23:18 25:10	appropriate 12:5	authorised 24:17	24:5,7,9 26:22	challenge 41:4
11:24 16:6 18:2	39:23 47:22	13:15 31:11 32:25	authorities 42:5	28:10,11 29:3,11	challenging 1:10
23:9 32:1 33:3	agreement 1:2 1:5	33:2 35:9 42:19	available 14:10 16:1	30:12,22,23 32:9,23	change 4:19
about 3:19 11:4 13:24	3:10,16 4:12,24 5:2	47:16	27:20 29:2,6 30:7	34:24,25 35:16 36:9	changes 5:9,14,16,21
15:11 17:18 28:9				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6:1,6,15 7:3,5 8:1,6
29:1,5 30:14 40:24	5:3 6:4,18,20 7:14	approval 3:8 6:23	30:13 32:10 34:16	36:25 37:4,5 39:4,5	
42:7 47:15	8:21 9:5,16,21	22:25	34:18,23 40:8,11,13	39:22 40:2 42:16,23	8:10 19:11 22:25
abreast 47:2,9	10:11,22 11:14	approximately 16:15	44:9,15,18 45:21	44:16 47:18,19,25	23:17
absorb 19:21	12:10,23,25 13:6,13	46:10	46:4	borne 7:8	changing 4:20 15:9
Abyei 1:3 1:7 4:14,18	13:19,23 14:19,21	April 14:13,14 15:8	award 8:25 9:17 27:21	Born's 28:8	character 6:7
9:23 21:2	14:24 15:18 16:12	16:2,3,3,4,4,5,7	44:9	both 7:19 10:13 12:8	characteristics 17:11
accept 23:10	17:19,22 18:4,9,14	17:1	aware 8:18 25:4 44:24	12:13,21 17:1 23:2	charge 48:3
acceptable 3:21,23	18:22 19:4 20:3	Arbitral 1:13	Awn 1:12 1:13	24:22 31:5 32:17	chiefdoms 10:2
acceptance 42:17	23:12,22 25:12 26:2	arbitrate 6:19,21		35:20,22 36:1 44:4	chosen 20:11
accepted 8:19	28:4 29:13 30:25	ARBITRATING 1:4	B	46:16 47:8 48:3	Christmas 12:1 14:5
accepting 48:2	31:22 32:21,24	arbitration 1:1,2,4 2:8	back 12:11 15:23	bottom 4:23	20:7,20 21:14
access 30:16	33:16 35:15 45:6,10	1:4,5,10 2:1 3:10,16	17:23	boundaries 4:14,18	circulate 5:16 24:11
accessible 39:19	45:16	4:12,24 5:2,3 6:3	backward 13:18	9:23 10:1	circulated 3:6 7:16
accompanied 2:9	agreements 20:13	7:14 8:24 9:1,4,5,10	balance 19:24 46:2	break 23:4	22:24
33:22	Ahmed 1:24	9:21 10:3 12:10	ball 37:19 43:9	briefly 12:25 19:25	circumstances 10:24
accordance 1:1 20:21	allied 41:19	13:6,12,16,17,19,22	bare 39:20	39:13	18:24 21:9 22:9
23:21 25:9,11,14,16	allocation 46:9,21	14:18,21 20:2 23:22	based 10:10 20:19	budget 21:12,21	43:21
25:19 26:2 36:13	allow 10:16	27:19 28:4,5 30:24	21:7	business 26:5	cite 42:5
45:1	allowed 12:4 15:18	31:14 32:3,6 45:6,8	basically 3:17		cited 42:2
45:1 according 4:16 32:4	allows 14:21	45:9,22 48:3	basis 13:12	C	claims 31:15
	Aloysius 2:7 1:18	arbitrator 17:6,9	become 38:13	cancel 22:10	clarification 6:16 9:19
accreditation 30:3	already 5:1,4 18:1	area 1:3 1:7 10:1 21:1		cancelling 12:1	27:12 29:19 33:15
accredited 30:11	24:15 31:22 36:17	arguing 12:17 21:19	before 1:1,11 1:21	5	44:7
32:15	40:18	argument 41:20	4:14 8:10 12:24 29:17,20 43:3,20	capacity 1:9	clarifications 33:17
acting 7:19 44:25	Alright 6:24 8:9 11:6	arguments 37:22,22	· · · · ·	case 11:1,12 12:22	clarity 22:2 43:19
actual 7:20 30:1	11:13 16:23 32:17	38:1,2	45:22	14:7,16 15:1 17:6	clear 28:3 32:23 43:20
adding 4:13	35:14 37:12 39:12	arisen 4:16	begin 4:15	17:10,15 22:2,5	close 26:5
addition 23:17	40:17 43:24	Army 2:24	beginning 19:20	32:4 38:4 39:8,11	closed 27:16 31:3 41:7
additional 21:10	although 15:6 26:25	Arop 2:5 2:25	behalf 2:2,5 7:19	41:24 42:8 44:14,25	42:9,22 43:25
24:24 25:5 37:7	28:7 30:5 31:2	around 47:5	46:15,16	46:5,10,17,25	closer 26:17
45:12	32:23 36:23	arrange 27:7	being 1:24 2:14 7:8	cases 19:8 34:10	closure 9:18
address 31:1 35:17	always 42:16	arrangements 5:10	18:25 29:2 30:15,20	categories 3:25 4:8	colleagues 1:12
addressed 16:21	Al-Khasawneh 1:12	6:12 31:11 32:11	32:3,7,10 39:1	cause 6:9 9:9 14:11	come 4:8 12:11 29:19
adjourn 6:15 22:18	1:13	37:10 44:22	40:19	20:6,8,19,21,24	40:14,21 46:20
47:23	ambitious 13:5,7,9		belabour 40:23	38:19	-
adjournment 24:12		Article 1:1 3:10,16 4:9	believe 14:13 16:12	caused 10:21	comes 34:10 41:20
adjust 40:4	amendments 22:24	4:12 7:7,9,14 8:21	19:15 42:25 45:17	CD 34:14	coming 1:20
administration 34:4	among 32:21 35:15	9:4,6,8,15,16,21	believes 24:23	CDs 35:7	commencement 9:1
44:25	amount 45:3 47:7	10:3,6,8 23:21	beneficial 22:4	central 13:10	comment 3:12 7:24
admitted 30:25	amounts 47:1	25:11,14,17,20 26:2	benefit 19:5	centuries 21:8	26:21
advanced 24:22	annex 33:21	27:14,14 44:8,8	benefits 31:17 41:15	certain 38:12	comments 7:22 44:21
adversarial 41:12,16	annexes 34:7	45:2,5,10	best 20:21 24:5 32:19	certainly 5:18 6:5,5,21	commercial 13:16
43:11,15,17	another 14:8 17:6,15	Articles 7:6	43:6	11:1 16:18 18:7	Commission 4:15,18
advised 38:2	22:14 31:12 41:3	articulated 10:6	better 3:18 4:25 31:5	24:5 28:7,13,19	9:23
affect 5:4	answer 9:24	assistance 45:12,13,15	32:14	29:1 32:7 35:1,4,10	commit 21:25
affected 31:8	anticipated 45:14	45:18,19 46:2,9,17	between 1:2,4,16 1:5	35:17 36:23 37:5	committed 8:20 15:12
affects 33:4	anticipates 46:12,19	46:18,22	4:17 19:19 26:23	42:23 43:10	communicate 27:7
afraid 12:15,22 18:5	anymore 34:18	assisted 19:7	37:21 38:22 39:24	certificate 33:22	communicated 3:7
after 24:7 27:24 38:12	anything 6:6 22:7	assume 19:4 42:4 43:5	42:1	cetera 29:3	communication 21:1
41:7 43:13,13,19	apologies 4:6	assumed 41:6	bifurcate 11:5	chairman 1:3,8 2:6	community 45:13
again 3:18,19 6:2 7:15	apologise 6:8	assure 2:4	bifurcated 10:15	3:3 4:2,5 5:6,16,20	complete 8:23
14:11 19:6 21:5	apologises 1:24	atlas 29:9	bifurcation 10:16	5:22 6:24 7:4,15,22	completed 5:12 29:17
24:2 33:6	appear 10:16	attached 30:1 37:8,9	Biong 2:5 2:15	8:9 11:6,13 12:25	completion 15:23
agenda 1:21 3:4,4,14	appeared 2:2,5,7	attend 31:10,16	bit 43:9	13:2 15:20 16:17,23	complicated 26:24
8:14 40:4,21 47:22	appears 25:2 33:16	attendance 32:14	board 46:18	17:21 18:14 19:10	29:8
agent 1:24 5:10 6:13	application 45:14	attending 31:10	bolts 11:18	22:18 23:2,6,13	comply 13:20 15:8
8	apply 37:11 45:11	attention 13:8 20:2	books 35:18	24:10,13,20 26:7,12	compressed 19:23
8:13 23:10 24:16	appointment 3:5,9,12	31:19,21 36:5	Born 2:3 2:7,7,13 3:23	27:10 28:10 29:16	30:5
28:12 33:23 47:8	5:10 6:20 23:11	attested 33:25	4:4,6 5:18,21,24	30:22 32:17,23 33:6	concern 31:18
agree 6:17 7:20 11:23	24:11 44:12 45:1	attorney 2:20	6:18 7:5 8:4 11:6,7	34:24 35:14 36:7,10	concerned 40:24 43:9
11:24 12:8 16:18	46:13	audio 27:2	12:8,25 14:23 15:5	37:4,12 38:10 39:4	concerns 30:17 31:14
23:2,22 27:9 30:12	appointments 8:19	authenticity 33:23	-	-	concluded 48:6
35:6 37:1 42:8,20	rr		16:10,18 17:3 18:10	39:12 40:7,17 43:24	
L	I				

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com

Condominium 34:5	counter-memorials	December 15:16 18:3	distributes 7:6	36:24	falls 15:3
conduct 20:13 32:13	19:8,14 25:13 36:13	18:5,7 23:19,24	doable 14:15,16	envisaging 33:19	family's 21:6
conducted 30:9,15	37:14 43:8,13,19	25:12	document 36:3 38:12	equipment 26:20,24	far 9:7 11:9 16:25
32:7	counter-productive	decided 25:25 31:15	40:25 41:1	equivalent 46:10	18:17 21:10 27:5
confidential 28:5	38:13 39:1	decides 11:10 25:5	documentary 34:2,3	escrow 5:11	33:10 35:1 36:2
confirm 9:20 16:12	countries 45:25	deems 25:21	35:2 41:4,7,17 43:7	essential 13:11	fashion 41:5,12
17:7 47:1,6	couple 27:11 30:23	deep 31:18	documentation 38:16	essentially 37:17	feasible 29:13
confirming 26:10	46:4	define 32:19	documents 33:12	established 9:10 25:8	February 18:24 19:15
confronted 11:25	course 3:23 5:2 11:15	defined 35:20,21	34:11,22 36:12,14	45:20,25 46:13	25:15,17
confronts 11:15	20:1 29:16 33:13	degrading 12:15	36:20,22 37:7,16	estimated 17:25	few 8:12 22:19
conjunction 2:1	39:14,21 42:1	delay 30:9	38:6,6 40:11,19	et 29:3	filed 15:15 19:14
connection 3:19	-	deliberate 32:1			
conscious 11:16	court 1:4 2:8 26:21 42:3	delimit 10:1	41:1,3,9,10,11,13	Europe 1:25	filing 27:24
	42:5 cover 10:8 46:3	delimiting 1:3 1:7	41:16,21 42:1,7,11	euros 46:4	filter 31:25
consecutive 10:14		0	42:24 43:2,10,21	even 15:8 20:14 38:18	final 8:25 9:16 24:18
consecutively 10:7,21	covering 23:23	delivery 24:21 25:1	44:14	event 7:20 11:2,5	27:21 44:9
11:8	co-arbitrator 17:9	demands 13:8	doing 36:24	21:25	finalised 47:2
consequential 4:19	co-counsel 2:19,21	Deng 2:5,5 2:14,15,25	domain 42:2	eventual 33:8	Finally 9:15
consider 4:1 23:6	15:7	deposit 28:6 29:3	dominant 6:18	ever 15:9	financial 45:11,15,19
28:19 32:18,20	Crawford 2:2 1:23 2:3	44:22 45:3,16 46:12	done 3:11,17 30:18	every 36:16	46:2,9,17,18,22
38:14	3:22 5:6,8,19,25 6:9	46:24 47:4	34:1 47:16	everyone 11:15	find 11:2
considerable 15:15	6:12 7:2,17,23 8:7	described 42:12	Dorr 2:4	evidence 33:12 35:2	first 1:4 3:4 4:8 8:10
consideration 24:22	8:12 10:20 11:21	description 6:1	doubt 14:10	37:2	9:22,25 12:3 14:14
considered 10:7 19:7	13:13 14:15,21 16:9	desirable 6:19 27:4	down 4:20	exact 13:25	15:8 16:2,12,14,19
22:5 33:7,10	17:2,18 18:4,10,12	39:19	DR 1:13 2:4 2:14	examine 4:2 17:24	17:3,19,20 21:16
considering 24:24	18:19,20 19:18 20:1	desire 8:16	draft 3:5,8 4:9 8:14	33:7	23:6 27:22 33:12
consist 10:4	20:9,15 21:5,11,16	desires 40:2	17:24	example 34:15 40:13	36:19 39:8 41:22
consistent 6:20,22	22:20,23 23:8,9	detain 29:15	draw 36:5 37:21 43:12	exceed 9:13	Firstly 30:24
18:9 23:11	24:1,7,17,19 25:23	determination 13:10	43:19 44:5	exceeded 9:23	five 40:4,5,8,15
constitute 25:3	26:25 27:9 28:1,2	determine 9:22	drawn 12:23 13:1	except 34:19 42:13	fix 12:3 13:17
CONSTITUTED 1:1	28:11,20 29:23 32:3	developing 45:24	due 19:16 24:25	44:1	fixed 13:19 20:5,8,22
consult 15:6 28:12	33:19 36:18 37:19	development 37:22	Dueim 2:5 2:23	exception 8:2	43:6
contact 6:13	38:17 39:18 41:22	developments 47:3,9	Dupuy 1:12 1:8	exceptional 17:12	flexibility 9:6 12:4
contain 33:20	44:19 47:13 48:1	deviate 14:20	during 6:13 22:12	20:24 41:24 43:21	24:7
contained 14:18	Crawford's 13:24	different 24:21 26:8	-	excerpt 36:4	focused 12:20
content 19:18 23:24	create 8:2	36:25 41:1	E	excess 11:3,10 39:8	follow 5:1
42:10 48:4	crucial 24:25	differently 28:17	each 2:11 7:11 8:17	exchange 23:20 25:10	following 35:13
context 10:22 19:22	crystal 37:19 43:9	difficult 7:24 11:2,16	9:14 10:24 16:11	41:15	footing 32:7
25:5 35:25 36:6	cumulative 9:12	13:5,6 22:4	18:22 21:18 27:7	executive 2:18	foresee 21:14
contrast 20:3 21:14	current 10:10 46:2	difficulties 14:11	38:2 39:14,16 40:5	exercise 21:19	form 23:24 28:23
contribute 46:23	currently 46:3	difficulty 14:17 15:15	40:15	exigences 13:9	29:10 30:3 34:17
contribution 46:8	Cutler 2:3	17:4 23:12 29:1	early 36:23	exigency 14:23	38:21 40:12
contributions 47:1		30:14 32:8	easy 37:21	exist 34:10	formulation 7:9
convenient 13:17 14:1	D	Dinka 10:2	effect 37:15	expect 35:11	forth 4:18 13:6
17:1 22:21 24:23	date 8:25 13:17 14:4	diplomatic 40:13	effort 6:2 35:21	expedition 47:17	forward 19:9 22:3
39:17	15:3 17:13 18:17	Dirdeiry 1:24	efforts 47:19	expeditious 15:13	46:15
cooperation 47:21	21:21 22:16 23:20	direct 45:7		33:1	forwarded 47:7
coordination 32:18	25:10,13,16,23,25	directly 26:13	eight 39:16 either 6:9 9:11,13 14:3	experience 28:7,14	found 43:4
copies 8:10 29:6 39:13	26:1,17	director 2:18	20:9 43:14	experienced 1:11	four 12:14 17:14
39:21 40:8,15,15		disagree 39:6		expert 33:13 35:3	framework 26:14
copy 5:16,23,24 7:6,15	dates 14:9,10,13,14,14	disciplined 44:3	electronic 28:24 29:6	experts 4:14,17 26:3	frankly 14:3
26:8 28:22 29:1	15:5,8,22,23,25	discover 34:4	29:10,17 34:10,17	extend 9:9 20:19	Friday 16:7 18:25
34:17,18 35:13	16:24 17:3,4,14,19	discretion 20:4,24	34:22	21:18	19:15 21:23 25:14
correct 10:17 26:9	17:20 24:20 25:8	21:17,18 27:17	electronically 30:14	extended 20:6	25:25
cost 45:8	26:4,8,10	35:21	elsewhere 22:22	extension 9:2,12 12:18	frivolous 13:7
costs 6:2 7:7	day 12:10,11 13:2,25	discuss 23:9	eminent 1:11	18:23 22:15	from 8:17,25 9:18
counsel 2:7,7 1:17,18	19:1 24:4,6,9 34:12	discussed 30:6 37:10	empowered 9:9	extensions 20:17	10:23,23 13:18
13:8 14:11 15:9	days 9:14,18 14:22	38:16 39:23 47:22	encompass 21:4,6	extensions 20.17 extent 33:24 34:22,23	14:20 15:10 17:4
17:10 27:5,6 33:5	15:1,17 16:15,20,21	discussion 12:7 24:3	end 13:1 18:16 27:25	extent 33.24 54.22,25 extra 14:22	18:25 27:13 28:3,18
38:4 41:20	18:6,21,23 19:5,5	29:5	40:21 46:6	extra 14.22 extracts 35:17	30:21 31:12 33:14
counter-memorial	19:16 20:16 21:23	discussions 29:14	endeavour 8:23	CALLOUD JJ.17	34:9 36:21 38:11
12:17,19,21 15:2,18	21:25 25:6 35:12	dispensation 21:4	engaged 43:9	F	40:22 41:15 44:16
18:16 19:2,3 21:20	43:4 47:5	dispute 4:10,16 8:17	English 36:3 40:19		45:8,13,17,18 46:17
21:22 22:1,5,8	day-by-day 30:6	8:19 10:9,25 23:24	enjoy 20:5	facie 8:1 16:24 28:16	46:21 47:4
24:25 25:2 36:21,22	deadlines 9:3 26:4	DISPUTES 1:4	ensure 33:1	facilities 27:2	front 34:9 35:18 42:25
	deal 10:13,25 41:22		entire 20:16 21:12	facing 39:10	
37:8,16 38:1,7 39:1	dealing 3:12	distinction 37:21 42:1	entirely 8:4 35:6 37:1	fact 11:9 13:1 22:13	function 37:25 38:25
39:10 41:8,11 42:13	deals 26:14 33:12	distinguished 1:12	42:8	25:1 31:13	fund 45:8,12,15,19,19
42:18,22 44:1	dealt 10:18	distribute 26:9	envisage 16:14 30:5,6	failed 35:16	46:2,9,18,22

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com

fundamentally 31:23	34:18 35:13 39:13	indicate 19:10	Judith 2:7 1:17	limited 21:17 32:15	means 15:9 17:4 19:4
funding 47:3	40:8,15	indicated 14:9 16:25	July 45:16,17	33:3	24:6 36:7 38:5
funds 46:20	hate 40:23	18:17	just 2:1 3:17 4:14	limits 11:23	measures 32:25
			0		
further 3:13 7:22 8:14	having 1:25 15:3 19:7	individuals 27:8	10:11 12:25 14:8	line 4:10,20 43:12,19	media 27:16 29:2,21
9:3 11:11 19:11	27:1 43:6	inevitably 15:4	19:25 20:23 21:14	list 45:24	30:2,3,11,25 31:25
20:17 29:10 43:21	hear 26:15 27:25	information 40:12	26:4,5 29:20 31:24	listen 17:8	32:16
44:7,22	heard 18:18	47:12	32:23 33:2 35:16,23	little 38:22	medium 35:10
future 21:13	hearing 1:7 8:12 12:3	informed 46:5 47:15	36:4 37:8 41:16	live 30:12,13	meet 39:11
	13:17 16:16,19	info@TMGreportin	42:12	LiveNote 27:2	meeting 1:4 26:11
G	27:16 30:4 48:6	2:11	justification 14:3,19	Llamzon 2:7 1:18	47:23
Gary 2:3 2:7,13	hearings 7:8 14:10	inhuman 12:15	justify 17:11	LLP 2:4	member 39:15 45:21
gazing 37:19 43:10	15:22 16:1 26:17	initiative 45:20		logistical 20:25	45:23 46:1
general 45:18 46:14	30:8,8,10,12,15	injunction 38:24 39:2	K	long 1:23	members 15:25 29:22
46:19	31:2 32:20	insert 3:9,15	keep 43:24 47:2,14	longer 16:20 29:7	29:24 30:11,15 31:7
generally 29:22 30:16	heavy 11:15 48:2	insertion 3:20	keeps 18:13	look 4:22 8:13	32:15 33:2 40:22
generis 48:3	held 32:3	insists 29:16	kept 47:9	lose 31:13	48:1
gentlemen 1:3	help 16:9	insofar 43:1	knew 13:3 14:6,12	lot 34:2	memorial 10:8,25
Gerhard 1:13 1:14	helpful 5:13 7:2 35:19	inspect 40:14	20:7	Luka 2:4 2:15	12:13 13:22 14:4
getting 1:21 8:10 13:3	42:15,17	instance 43:14	know 5:13 28:21		15:15 18:2 19:9,20
14:6,12	hence 31:22	instances 7:11	39:24 43:22	М	33:20 34:20 35:3
give 5:18,22 15:21	Her 34:5	instantaneously 28:6	s9:24 45:22 knows 22:2	M 1:13	37:9,15,18,23 38:3
	him 5:11 28:13	instead 4:15		made 3:9.15 5:11 6:3	39:9
25:7 28:24 34:17,19	himself 26:25	instruction 25:9 44:13	Kordofan 10:2	6:12 8:11 18:18	memorials 12:9 23:20
34:20,21 37:2 42:19	hit 10:18	instructions 28:3	kroner 46:8		23:23 25:1,11 36:12
given 2:10 5:8 12:14	hold 27:24	instrument 6:18	Kuol 2:5,5,5 2:23,23	19:12 23:15,18 28:6	mentioned 15:5 17:15
28:22 46:6	holiday 12:1,15 21:7	intend 10:6 12:13	2:23,25,25	29:2 30:13 38:2	22:13.16
gives 19:19	22:10,13	13:21		39:18 40:8	22:13,16 merely 15:11
giving 5:24 34:14	holidays 20:10 21:6	intended 10:24 20:20	L	Majesty's 34:5	Michael 1:14 1:15
go 8:13 16:14,19			lack 13:8 31:18	make 11:21 18:13	
goes 31:2 37:13	HON 2:5	26:3	ladies 1:3	20:23 21:3 22:11	might 5:13 6:9 13:16
going 18:6 29:12 30:4	Honourable 2:25,25	intends 34:25 37:2	land 31:14	23:11 27:20 28:21	20:4 31:11 33:3
37:20	honoured 1:9	46:7	language 4:11,24 5:4	29:24 40:10 44:14	39:19
good 1:3 2:17 4:4 9:9	hope 6:5 8:5 14:8	intention 10:13 35:4	7:21,24 30:1 36:3,3	46:7	Miles 2:3 2:12,12
12:19 20:6,8,19,20	horrible 1:20	intentions 31:6	large 12:16	makes 6:22 14:1 23:25	million 45:4 46:3,8
20:24 22:1 38:19	housekeeping 6:11 8:5	interest 33:11	largely 34:5	44:10	mind 47:6
43:17	huge 31:17 34:6	interested 28:8 31:8	larger 13:10	making 28:20 29:6	minded 20:14 38:20
government 1:2,17	humanly 43:1	interests 43:18	last 19:1 21:8,21 41:22	40:12 44:8	minimum 39:20
2:2 1:5 2:4 10:19	hundred 46:4	international 2:4 2:18	46:6	managed 47:13	ministerial 6:7
14:1 15:12 16:13,18	hypothesis 19:12	2:21 42:3 45:13	last-minute 4:6	mandate 9:23 11:3,10	minutes 8:12 22:19
19:13 24:16 28:18		internet 34:16 43:4	late 6:7	13:20 39:8	modalities 29:6
29:11 32:5 45:11,18	I	interpretation 11:14	later 3:13 4:13 11:11	many 22:11 34:10	modern 35:6
46:7,15 47:8,11	ICJ 34:1	interpreters 26:20		38:12 41:5 43:3	modification 23:16,18
grant 20:14 21:10	idea 12:19	27:5,6	18:7 19:16	map 29:9 33:21	modifications 23:6
22:15 24:24 25:5	ideally 35:24	interruption 30:17	Law 2:4 2:18,21	maps 28:23 33:13,21	moment 7:23 14:8
granted 9:12	identifying 13:24	introduce 1:22 2:11	lay 20:7	33:23 35:3	Monday 1:8 1:1 16:3
grateful 18:12,19 25:7	illness 21:2	introducing 37:14	least 19:2 43:16	March 19:16 25:19,24	18:17 25:19,24
47:14	illustrations 28:23	inured 19:5	leaves 20:16	26:1	month 19:19
		involve 7:6 17:5	led 31:19	20:1 marked 7:5	months 8:25 9:2
great 34:1	immediately 27:23	involved 32:6	left 1:13 27:10		more 4:11 6:3 11:24
greatest 20:9 21:5	30:13 35:24 36:6	involves 18:20	legal 2:7,7 1:17,18	Martinson 2:5 3:2,2	14:1 15:3 39:20
group 2:4 2:19,21	implementation 27:13	issuance 8:24	length 25:6	master 35:10	43:15,16
31:8	implementing 44:8	issue 15:13 17:23	less 30:20 43:16	material 33:14 34:2,3	morning 1:3 2:17 6:13
guided 40:2	implicit 15:13	24:20 26:16,20	let 2:11 19:22	34:7 35:19,20 37:14	morning 1:5 2:17 6:15 morning's 6:25
guideline 38:18,18	imply 10:15 29:21	-	letter 14:24 20:2 26:10	materials 29:12 37:8	
	30:2	27:18 32:18 35:15	level 38:14	39:3 44:17	most 20:13 30:7
H	importance 24:25	issues 10:6,9,13,14,17	Levine 2:7 1:17 15:21	Mathew 2:5 3:2	motion 9:11
Hafner 1:13 1:14	33:14	10:25 11:3,4 20:12	19:10 23:15 25:7	matter 1:1 18:6 28:2	move 6:22 8:14 11:20
Hague 1:6 1:20 16:2	important 1:10 6:17	20:25 23:23 33:9	40:7 47:14	32:2 33:4	14:4 15:23 26:13
26:5 40:14	20:10 25:3 30:8	35:9,11 37:17	liaise 26:17	matters 11:22 47:5	Movement 2:24
Hale 2:3	31:24 47:16	item 3:5 6:16 8:15	Liberation 1:2,19 1:6	maximal 31:20,21	Movement/Army 1:2
half 14:14	importantly 20:14		2:8,16,23,24 3:24	maximum 9:18	1:19 1:7 2:9,16 3:24
hand 43:11	impractical 11:5	J	30:24 31:4,9 37:6	may 3:24 5:6 9:13	30:24 31:4,9 37:6
handbook 34:15	include 31:11	James 2:2 2:3	39:5 44:16	11:21 16:7,8,9	39:6
happening 28:8	included 23:19	January 18:16,18,25	libraries 34:19	19:25 21:12 27:16	Movement/Army's
happy 5:25 18:23 19:6	includes 12:14	19:1	lightly 17:8	28:11,24 29:10 35:8	44:17
	including 8:24 34:2	Jiménez 2:20	like 4:2 7:15 9:20	38:5,8 40:14 45:12	Moving 11:18
22:10,11 23:10 29:3	36:14 39:21	JIMÉNEZ 2:4 2:20		McGowan 2:10	much 2:6 3:3 8:5
29:4 32:9,12 33:17	inconvenience 6:8	Judge 1:12,13 1:13,15	26:15 27:12,25	mean 5:24 24:3	23:13 29:7 40:2
38:17,24 39:2	indeed 31:23	41:19	28:12 33:1 44:5,12	meaning 36:4,21	42:20 47:10,20,23
hard 14:5 28:22 29:1		11.1/	47:11		

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com

must 37:2 45:23	44:6	26:16,22 27:13,18	place 16:2 22:14 30:4	priori 28:9	public 2:4 2:18,20
	only 1:5 5:21 9:24	28:1 29:23 31:6	43:14	private 28:4	28:6 29:22,25 30:16
N	11:9 17:13 20:6	32:17 35:15,21 36:1	placed 42:25	probably 43:17	31:2,7,19,21,24
name 2:3,15	27:16 31:3 32:5	38:11,25 39:24	playing 43:23	problem 8:3 12:1	32:22 33:2 40:9
nature 4:7 6:11 8:5	34:8 39:10	44:10 45:12 46:7,16	pleaded 10:7 12:22	39:25	42:2 43:2,5
17:12 28:23 37:23	onto 29:12	47:2,8	pleading 25:3 29:20	problematic 30:21	publication 27:22
necessary 6:13,24	open 27:15 29:20,22	partner 2:12	pleadings 10:5,5	problems 20:25 41:14	publicity 3:13 27:11
8:11 16:6,8 25:21	29:24 30:2,11	party 5:14 7:16 9:11	11:19,20 12:2,6,24	procedural 1:7 1:4	publicly 27:20 39:19
38:8	opportunity 15:6 22:6	9:13,14 18:22 20:21	16:25 17:24 24:21	15:11 20:4 44:11	40:11 44:15
need 6:22 7:20 8:3	22:7,25 39:10 41:4	21:18 22:2,6 27:21	25:20 26:15,19 28:5	procedure 40:24	published 34:3,5
11:11 12:21 14:3	opposed 6:21 35:4	35:22 38:2 39:16	28:17,22,25 29:2	43:11	punishment 12:16
17:6,8 21:13 26:24	OPTIONAL 1:4	40:6,15 41:2,3,9	33:11 39:18	procedures 41:6	purely 37:21
27:4 29:14,15 30:13	oral 10:5,12 11:20	past 28:14 31:19	pleading(s) 27:15	proceed 9:25 13:11,21	purpose 4:11
43:10	12:3 15:22 16:1,13	Paul 2:4 2:17	please 4:5 5:20 23:8	proceeding 10:15	purposes 46:9
neither 20:19 22:2	16:25 19:20 26:15	Pause 24:19	44:23	14:17 16:13	pursuant 13:22
never 12:23	26:18 27:15 29:20	pay 20:2	pleased 4:4	proceedings 8:15,24	put 6:14 13:13 19:9,22
new 25:8 36:22 37:14	29:24 37:2 41:20	payment 45:7	pm 26:6 48:5	9:1,10 10:4,12,12	22:3 28:15 38:21
38:6,15 42:18	order 24:13 32:19	PCA 1:16 3:8 7:6 24:4	point 3:13,19 9:19	27:20,25 29:21,24	42:15,17 43:3
2	42:15 44:2 45:23	24:6 26:9,17 27:18	12:5 22:12,12 26:13	30:20 32:13,15 34:1	putting 29:9
next 4:20 12:14 24:12 Ngok 10:2	orderly 30:9 32:13	27:24 28:15 32:19	27:10 29:19 30:21	34:4 44:11 45:22	puzzlement 10:22
Ngok 10:2 nine 10:1	40:24	33:7 39:16,19,21	33:6,14,18 35:16	process 13:11 29:8	Publication 10.22
nine 10:1 non-reference 36:20	orders 44:11	40:5,9,10 44:9,12	38:11 39:7 40:24	31:7,17 47:15	0
non-reference 36:20 normal 32:11 33:25	organisation 33:11	40:3,9,10 44:9,12	41:19,22 42:10,10	produce 34:6 36:7	qualify 45:23
	organise 32:19	45:19,23 46:8,12,14	44:7	38:15,25	
42:5 Normon 46:7	original 36:2,8	46:17,21	pointed 13:3	produced 2:10 36:16	qualifying 45:21
Norway 46:7	other 3:25 5:14,21 6:1	PCA's 40:2 45:15,20	pointed 15.5 points 8:14 16:11	39:3	question 6:17 9:25
Norwegian 46:8,20	7:5,7,16 10:5,24	47:19	27:11 44:5 47:21	producing 12:24	11:12 12:11 16:21
note 8:20 9:3,5,15	12:22 13:16 16:11	peace 1:6 13:11 20:13	Policy 2:4 2:19,21	38:11 40:1	21:23 27:22 31:1
11:13 17:22 30:12	19:5 22:3,6 25:20	32:10,12	portion 27:16	production 29:8 36:15	36:15 37:13 38:16
30:13 39:12 47:13	27:7 35:5,22 38:2	peculiarities 17:16	position 32:24 37:5	Professor 1:12,13,14	40:18,20 43:12
notes 10:8 45:5,10	41:13 43:11 44:5,13	people 30:19 31:15,18	39:12	2:2,4 1:14,15,23	questions 36:19 40:22
nothing 44:22	44:21	32:5 33:4	positions 33:15	2:17 3:22 5:6,8,19	quickly 28:16
notice 5:8 6:7 7:25	others 2:5	People's 1:19 1:6 2:8	positive 9:25	5:25 6:9,12 7:2,17	quite 10:23 12:19 20:7
15:21 42:3	Oturomoi 2:5 3:2	2:15,23,24 3:24	positively 19:23	7:23 8:7,12 10:20	29:8 34:2 37:6
noticing 35:16	ought 37:10 46:23	30:23 31:4,9 37:6	possibilities 33:8	11:21 13:13,24	quotation 34:9
notification 26:1	out 8:6 9:4,7 12:4 13:3	39:5 44:16	possibility 44:4	14:15,21 16:9 17:2	quote 8:22 34:21
notwithstanding 9:8	21:24 26:23 39:7	PEOPLE'S 1:2	possible 2:2 8:2 22:23	17:18 18:4,10,12,19	quoted 4:23
November 1:8 1:1 3:6	outcome 45:9	per 7:14	28:24 30:18 34:13	18:20 19:18 20:1,9	
number 2:10 33:4	outside 42:24	perfect 14:2 23:25	39:3 41:13 42:22	20:15 21:5,11,16	<u> </u>
39:13,24,25 numbers 2:4,10 40:4	over 14:5	perfectly 22:9	43:1	22:20,23 23:8,9	R 2:4
nuts 11:18	overall 20:13 25:4	perhaps 1:21 5:15	possibly 34:12 46:4	24:1,7,17,19 25:23	raised 37:17
nuts 11.18	31:6	15:21 20:3 35:12	potentially 17:5	26:25 27:9 28:1,2	range 42:5
0	owing 17:16	38:13	PowerPoint 27:3	28:11,20 29:23 32:3	rather 7:12 13:9,18
	own 9:10 22:10	period 8:25 12:14,15	precise 12:11	33:19 36:11,18	20:20 35:24 36:5
object 31:10 35:17	o'clock 24:4,5	12:17 14:23,25 15:2	precisely 4:11 6:3	37:13,19 38:4,17	43:16
43:23		15:7,8,17 16:15	11:7 36:9	39:18 40:23 41:22	reach 16:12
objection 28:13,20,21	P	22:12,13 41:2	precluded 36:21 41:18	42:21 44:19 47:13	reached 9:24
objections 28:18	page 4:13,23 34:9	periodic 27:18	preference 30:10	48:1	react 5:6 47:11
29:11	35:18	periods 9:9,12	prepare 29:9	progress 27:19	reaction 44:4
objectives 31:6	pages 34:21	Permanent 1:4 2:8	prepared 15:14 17:25	promised 46:20	reactions 17:8
observation 18:18	Palace 1:6 32:10,12	permissible 42:4	present 1:16 11:25	properly 22:5	read 7:3 26:4,5 29:20
obvious 10:23 11:9	paragraph 3:11 23:19	permission 38:21 41:6	presented 26:3 38:5,7	proposal 5:7	reading 25:8
22:3	paragraph 5.11 25.19 part 18:21	41:24 42:8,13,20	presidency 7:13,18,18	propose 5:17 34:11	reads 23:20
obviously 6:17 8:7	participating 45:22	46:6	45:6 46:23	39:21	ready 24:10
29:7,9,14 33:21	particular 8:20 24:24	permit 21:11 31:7	President 2:7,14 16:9	proposed 5:14 15:22	real 43:12
35:20 37:25 41:23	26:16 33:14 35:23	permitted 43:22	38:9 40:23 41:19	23:7,17	realise 42:16
47:16	36:4 39:25 42:5,7	person 33:3 35:10	44:6 47:25 48:1	proposing 34:8	really 43:5
occur 27:23 OECD 45:25	43:14	40:14	presiding 17:9	provide 18:2 35:22	reason 14:19 22:1 28:17
off 27:24	particularly 31:8	personal 22:10	press 27:18 31:25	provided 18:21 35:2	28:17 reasonable 19:24
Office 34:6	32:21	personally 47:15	pressing 20:12	39:15 45:2	reasons 13:7,8 20:18
once 3:18 33:6 39:24	parties 1:4 1:17,19 3:6	perspective 44:17	presume 36:16	provides 8:21 9:6,15	
47:1,6	3:7 4:16 5:1 7:8,12	phase 15:24 19:20	previously 37:3	10:3 15:19 20:3	27:17 31:3 41:5
47:1,6 one 1:5 9:25 10:4,11	7:19 8:16 9:20 10:6	41:18	prima 8:1 16:24 28:16	27:14 30:25 40:10	rebuttal 41:9 recall 16:11
10:12,18 13:15 18:5	11:22 12:21 13:1,12	phases 10:4 38:23	primary 40:12	40:15 45:6	receive 39:9
29:8 32:5 35:16,23	14:22 17:7,10 18:1	Pickering 2:3	principal 37:25 38:15	providing 36:2	received 6:7 45:15
36:5,21 39:10,15	18:14,15 20:5,7,11	piece 25:3	principle 6:21 18:15	provision 31:5 40:10	recitation 4:9
40:25 41:5 42:4	22:22 23:22 26:7,15	Pierre-Marie 1:12 1:8	39:5 42:21	provisions 31:22	recognising 5:2
		1			recognising J.2

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com

i					
record 1:22 6:14 7:3	requesting 47:4	seems 19:21,23	someone 12:23	subsequent 26:10	44:12 45:1,5,18
24:1 41:7,21 42:9	requests 20:15	seen 7:23	something 11:4 14:6	subsequently 34:21	46:13
42:15,17,21,24 43:7	require 12:16	sees 8:7	21:2 26:22 27:3	substance 11:4	tested 41:12 43:11
43:25	-	selected 34:19 36:4	35:7 42:18		
	required 15:4 16:22			substantial 18:21	testing 41:16 43:15,17
refer 41:21	27:6 41:25	send 26:7	somewhat 4:6	Sudan 1:2,2,17,19 2:2	text 36:8
reference 3:9,16 7:13	requirements 26:14	sense 5:3 14:2 23:25	soon 29:12 39:3	1:6,6 2:4,8,15,23,24	thank 2:6,7,11,14 3:3
10:14 11:8 12:9	26:18	39:7	Sorry 25:23	3:21,23 7:13 10:19	8:9 11:6 15:20
references 42:24 43:2	resign 17:17	sensible 12:2 31:23	sort 21:3 27:3 42:11	14:1 15:12 16:13,19	16:17,23 17:21
44:10	resignation 17:5	sentence 4:15 35:23	sought 6:15	19:13 24:16 29:11	18:14 22:17 23:13
referred 4:12	resolution 8:17,18	36:5	so-called 27:2 43:2	30:23 31:4,9 32:5	26:12 27:10 28:10
referring 7:12	15:13	separate 30:19 32:9	speak 3:18 19:25	34:15,25 37:5 39:5	30:22 32:17 34:24
refers 7:7 11:9 44:8	respect 7:19 20:10	33:21	26:25 27:5	44:16 45:7,11,18,24	36:10 39:4 40:17
refuting 40:25	21:5 27:13 36:15	serve 1:9,10 8:20	speaking 16:10 18:13	45:25 46:15,23	41:18 43:24 44:6,20
regard 15:3 32:8 35:6	44:7,13 45:14 47:3	served 31:17 43:6	45:14	Sudan's 47:8	44:21 47:10,18,20
43:8	respond 5:15 8:8 22:7	session 6:25	special 17:11 21:3	sufficient 16:16 40:9	47:20,22,23,25 48:1
regarding 4:17 26:18	36:18 38:1	set 9:3,4 10:11,12 13:6	32:22 41:14	40:16	48:2
27:19	responding 37:17	14:13	specific 28:3 31:14	suggest 4:13,20 6:14	their 3:8 4:21 28:16
regardless 45:9	response 41:10	sets 9:7,21	specifically 31:1	17:24 18:6,24 20:8	theirs 31:15,16
regards 21:12	responsibility 12:24	several 32:6	speedy 8:16	20:18 21:9 36:1	themselves 2:11 26:23
region 20:12	responsible 6:2 19:8	sheet 34:9	spend 11:11 18:23	suggesting 15:17	31:24 32:14
registrar 2:7 15:25	36:1 44:24		21:11 21:11	66 6	thing 12:2 34:20 43:17
5		short 12:19 22:6,7		suggestion 3:9,15 7:11	
17:21 18:1 19:14	responsive 37:21	23:4 34:13	spending 20:16	8:11 11:21 13:24	things 7:7 21:13 28:15
23:17 24:10,15 25:9	restrain 38:11	shorter 25:6	SPLM/A 2:5 2:22	19:12	37:23 43:4
25:24 26:9 40:8	result 24:2	side 1:23 4:25 6:6	3:15 23:7	suggestions 3:25 4:7	think 2:10 3:18 6:5,10
44:6,21,24	review 39:13	14:15,16 17:3 19:6	SPLM/SPLA 3:1,2	23:2	11:8,23 12:2 13:15
registry 2:7 45:1	revised 25:13	20:17 27:1 28:12,14	stage 37:20 42:22	sui 48:3	13:23 16:20 17:11
Reisman 1:14 1:15	right 1:14 4:2 20:1	31:9	43:10 44:2	suited 35:24	17:18,19 19:6 24:13
36:11 37:13 38:4	41:23	sides 17:1 24:22 32:21	stands 5:3	suits 18:10,10	26:13 27:6 28:5,14
40:23 42:21	rightly 13:13	33:15 38:15 44:4	start 11:19	Sunday 16:3	29:4,13,25 31:13,23
rejigging 21:7	risk 30:17	sight 31:13	starting 16:25	sure 11:16 18:13	32:13 33:6 35:19
rejoinder 38:6,8 41:2	room 11:15 13:2 30:15	sign 5:11	state 1:5 45:23,24 47:5	29:15 37:20	37:23 39:23 40:20
41:10,17 44:2	30:19 31:12 32:9,22	signatory 5:9	statement 36:17 37:3	surmountable 35:12	40:21 43:5,24 44:16
rejoinders 19:15,21	rooms 7:8	signature 3:5 24:11,15	statements 33:13,24	surprised 36:24 37:7	47:21
25:16 38:14 43:8,13	rule 38:21 43:25	signed 13:4,14 14:7,12	33:25 35:1 37:1	surprising 34:23	thinks 35:23
43:20	rules 1:4 43:22 45:3	45:2 46:14	states 45:21,21	surrounding 35:24	thirty 9:14
relate 6:1 15:1	run 37:24	significance 30:1	Stationery 34:6	36:6	though 13:7 17:10
relation 7:17 12:18		silent 33:16	step 17:12	suspect 26:22,23 27:4	39:7
14:22 15:7 21:19	S	simply 4:23,25 20:19	Stephen 1:13 1:15	suspend 6:25	thought 4:25 15:10,11
22:16 36:20	same 11:7 28:25 31:18	21:6	steps 9:4 15:11	suspending 8:11	thoughts 30:23
relatively 9:3 28:15	37:9,10	simultaneous 18:16	stick 14:23		thousand 46:4
relaxed 11:24	satisfactory 27:7	25:1 27:1 41:15	still 16:10 18:8 33:9	T	three 9:2 18:25
releases 27:19	Saturday 16:2,8 19:17	simultaneously 23:23	stipulation 42:11	take 1:23 11:13 16:20	threshold 38:12
relevant 34:9,21 35:18	25:17	since 15:5	stop 43:13,14	17:13,22 19:12	through 17:4 31:25
35:19	save 23:1 24:14 43:20	sir 1:23 3:22 5:8 6:12	strenuously 12:18	22:24 29:7,10 30:4	41:2 46:20
remain 44:3	saw 20:22 32:1	7:17 10:20 11:21	strict 38:18	34:25 35:14 36:19	Thursday 16:5,7
remaining 5:14 7:3	savs 18:4 21:17 30:2	14:21 17:2,18 18:4	strikes 43:16	39:12 47:13	25:12
17:14	SC 2:2	18:20 19:18 21:16	strong 14:25 30:10	taken 30:7 32:25	tight 9:3
remains 32:24	schedule 8:15 9:7	22:17,20 23:9 24:1	39:2	taking 28:13	time 4:4 11:11 12:13
remote 21:1	11:18 12:2	28:2,20 29:23 32:3	subject 9:1,16 32:11	talking 15:11 17:18	15:2,3 20:15,17
rendered 9:17	scheduling 11:22,25	33:19 36:18 37:19	42:6	42:7	21:10,13 22:13 23:1
repeated 7:9	Schwebel 1:13 1:15	38:17 39:18 44:19	submission 12:5 13:21	task 9:22,24 11:16	24:14,24 25:6 26:6
reply 12:19 14:4	41:19	47:13	14:25 19:2,3,19	tasks 9:22	28:14,25 29:10 30:7
reporting 26:21	scope 9:6	sitting 17:15	25:13,16 27:23	team 21:2	34:13 35:5 38:22
reports 33:13 35:3	seating 33:1	situation 13:10 41:24	33:20,22 34:12	technical 26:14,18,24	39:8 45:16
represent 2:3,8	second 4:10 8:15 9:24	six 8:25 16:15,20,21	35:13 41:13	29:14 35:8,11	timeframe 8:18 10:16
representative 10:18	14:13 16:14 29:19	17:3,19,20	submissions 9:18	technically 29:13	25:4 47:6
47:11	36:15 37:15	slight 23:16,18 35:8	27:21 41:17 44:10	34:13	timeline 17:24,25
representatives 1:16	Secretary 46:14,19	slightly 11:24	submit 12:8,13 18:15	technology 35:7	19:11 47:4
1:19 3:21 40:13	security 20:12 27:17	slowly 27:5	23:23 24:3,4 34:8	Tel 2:11	timetable 10:23 13:5
represents 46:16	30:17 31:3,11 32:11	solicit 45:12	35:1	televised 30:20	13:19 14:18 19:23
	32:25	solved 40:20	submits 31:4	tell 23:8,15 36:23 46:6	20:8,22 21:7 30:5,6
Republic 7:13 45:7			submitted 21:22 34:7	ten 39:21,24 40:5	48:4
Republic 7:13 45:7 46:23		some 2:9 9:6 10:21	Submitted 21.22 54.7		
-	see 3:11 7:20 8:3	some 2:9 9:6 10:21 12:7 13:16 14:9,11	35:8 36:12,17 37:3		timetables 18:6 20:5
46:23	see 3:11 7:20 8:3 12:21 14:3,19 24:7			tendency 38:10	timetables 18:6 20:5 today 1:20 2:2 5:12
46:23 request 9:11,13 22:9	see 3:11 7:20 8:3 12:21 14:3,19 24:7 28:16 31:16,24	12:7 13:16 14:9,11	35:8 36:12,17 37:3	tendency 38:10 terms 3:5,8,11 5:9	
46:23 request 9:11,13 22:9 22:15 45:17 46:16	see 3:11 7:20 8:3 12:21 14:3,19 24:7 28:16 31:16,24 seeing 39:7	12:7 13:16 14:9,11 17:3 20:15 29:5,10	35:8 36:12,17 37:3 41:2,3,9 42:12 submitting 35:5 36:22 41:1	tendency 38:10 terms 3:5,8,11 5:9 6:19 8:20 10:10	today 1:20 2:2 5:12
46:23 request 9:11,13 22:9 22:15 45:17 46:16 46:17	see 3:11 7:20 8:3 12:21 14:3,19 24:7 28:16 31:16,24	12:7 13:16 14:9,11 17:3 20:15 29:5,10 30:3,14 33:9,20	35:8 36:12,17 37:3 41:2,3,9 42:12 submitting 35:5 36:22	tendency 38:10 terms 3:5,8,11 5:9	today 1:20 2:2 5:12 9:20 29:15 31:20

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com

Monday,	24th	November	2008
---------	------	----------	------

					1
together 10:13 35:3	understands 11:4	17:15 19:14 20:14	2.6 4:23	9.1 9:15	
36:8 37:24	Unfortunately 34:18	20:25 28:6 33:19	20 21:8	9.48 1:2	
told 14:15	unity 45:8	34:5,8 35:20,21	20th 16:4 26:1	90 9:18	
	unless 11:3 41:23	, ,	2008 1:8 1:1 23:24		
total 9:11		37:7 38:20 41:10		98 2:11	
touch 8:13	untenable 17:16	we're 17:18 19:6	25:12		
touched 40:18	until 7:23 8:6 24:12	21:20	2009 16:3,3,4,5,5,7,7,8		
towards 46:24	27:24	we've 21:23	25:15,18,19,24 26:1		
track 6:3	unusually 26:24	while 16:10	21 21:23		
tracking 4:11	use 19:4 21:13 32:22	whole 10:22 21:20,25	21st 16:4		
tracks 4:23	39:22 46:5	29:7 34:20	22nd 16:5		
Transcript 2:10	used 21:23 24:18	wife 20:10	23rd 16:5 17:5		
transcription 27:1	useful 29:18	Williams 2:4 2:17,17	230,000 46:11		
transcripts 44:11	using 18:20 21:20	Wilmer 2:3	24th 1:8 1:1		
-	5				
transferred 10:2	usual 20:4 47:4	WilmerHale 2:12	26 2:11		
transferring 35:9		wish 31:16,20 40:14	26th 18:18 19:1		
translation 36:2,8	V	wishes 36:5 43:19	27th 18:24 19:15		
40:19	vacations 20:20	witness 33:12,24,25	28th 25:18		
transparency 31:21	Vanessa 2:4 2:20	35:1 36:16,17 37:1			
transportation 21:1	version 24:18 29:17	37:2,3	3		
travel 1:25	versions 28:25 34:11	witnesses 26:3 36:16	30 14:22,25 15:17		
treat 28:17	34:22	wonder 41:16	-		
treatment 10:14 12:16		word 10:21	18:21 20:16 21:24		
	very 1:9 2:6 3:3 8:4		21:25 47:5		
Trevor 2:10	11:15 12:18 14:25	words 4:13	30th 16:7 18:25		
Tribunal 1:1 1:8,11	18:23 19:22,23 22:1	work 2:1 12:4 13:17	31 25:6		
1:13,22 3:25 5:22	22:11 23:13 25:3	14:5 26:23 29:17	33 2:11		
6:10 7:16 8:18,23	29:18 30:4 36:24	worked 8:6	34 2:11		
9:8,13,17,20,21	37:20 38:22 40:2	working 18:5 22:14			
11:2,10,23 14:9	42:20 43:20 47:10	22:14	4		
16:1,6,10 18:2 19:7	47:20,23	writing 8:3			
19:19 20:4,14,23	vicinity 46:21,24	written 10:4,12 11:19	4(b) 26:13		
21:3,10 22:4,9,15	•	12:6 15:24 17:23	4.3 8:21 9:8		
22:18,21 23:14	view 23:13 24:22 28:8	24:21 25:20 26:7	4.5 23:19		
	28:9 30:21 31:5	33:11	400,000 46:21		
24:23 25:2,5,10,21	33:14 36:25	55.11	41 45:2		
25:25 27:12,15,17	viewing 31:12 32:10		44 2:11		
29:16,18 32:18 34:3	visual 27:2	0			
35:22 38:20,22	volume 34:2,6	0)6 2:11	5		
39:14,15,22 40:5,22	volumes 34:16				
41:7,15,25 42:9,14		1	5 1:1 24:4,5 26:6		
42:19,25 43:3,6,18	W		27:10		
44:2 48:2		1 45:4 46:3			
Tribunal's 4:9 11:8	W 1:14	1st 16:7	6		
21:16 38:24	wait 24:12	10.27 23:3	6 7:6		
tried 20:21,21	want 15:10 18:13	11 45:5	6.1 8:2		
true 7:17 33:9	wanted 29:23	11th 45:17	6.3.2 7:7		
	wants 14:23 24:7	11.1 7:14	600,000 46:24		
truly 6:10	wasn't 2:2 5:8 28:20	11.19 23:5	000,000 40:24		
trustees 46:18	watch 30:19 33:3	11.2 45:10			
trying 42:16	way 30:9 33:25 37:9	12.03 48:5	7		
Tuesday 16:4	42:4	13th 3:6 25:15	7 7:7		
turn 3:4 10:18 11:6	ways 32:19 40:25	14th 19:16	7.1 7:9,17		
17:23 24:20	v		7.2 7:9		
two 1:4 3:24 4:8 9:22	weather 1:21	15 3:11,19 19:15 23:19 15 th 12:22 25 15:16	7.3 7:10		
10:4 16:11 18:14	website 27:21,23	15th 13:23,25 15:16			
32:21 33:15 34:16	28:16 29:12 40:11	18:3,5	8		
37:23 38:14,23	44:9	16th 25:19,24			
39:15	Wednesday 16:4	17th 13:25	8 9:4		
	week 12:9,10,12 13:23	18th 16:3 17:1,4 18:7	8.2 10:3		
types 44:13	15:16 18:4,7,8 19:1	18:8,10 23:24 25:12	8.3(iii) 25:17		
	21:23 35:12 46:6	19th 16:3 18:8	8.3(ii) 25:14		
U	weeks 12:14 18:25	1905 10:2	8.3(iv) 25:20		
unable 17:14	welcome 1:12,19	199 16:4	8.3(i) 10:8 23:21 25:11		
under 1:5	well 5:22 10:21 11:24	1994 45:20	36:13		
understand 3:7,20		1994 43:20 1995 34:15	8.4 26:2		
11:3 16:24 18:1	17:9 18:11 19:6,22	1773 34:13			
24:2,16 36:11 38:4	20:7 21:13 27:21		8.6 3:10,16 27:14,14		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32:12 33:5 44:9	2	40:10 44:8,8		
understandable 8:4	Wendy 2:3 2:12	2 4:12 9:21 10:6 46:8	8.7 9:6,16		
understanding 10:10	went 22:22	2nd 16:8			
10:17,20 11:7 38:9	were 3:6 5:11 8:18	2.3 4:9	9		
39:14 40:3	13:3 14:6,12 15:11				
	-,				1

Trevor McGowan info@TMGreporting.com