In the matter of the Arctic Sunrise Arbitration Before an Arbitral Tribunal Constituted under Annex VII to the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea PCA Case No. 2014-02 Palais Niederosterreich Herrengasse 13 Vienna, Austria Day 1 Tuesday, 10th February 2015 Before: JUDGE THOMAS A. MENSAH (President) MR HENRY BURMESTER PROFESSOR ALFRED SOONS PROFESSOR JANUSZ SYMONIDES DR ALBERTO SZÉKELY SÁNCHEZ

BETWEEN:

THE KINGDOM OF THE NETHERLANDS

-and-

### THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

PROF DR LIESBETH LIJNZAAD (Agent) and PROF DR RENÉ LEFEBER (Co-Agent), with ERIK FRANCKZ, MARCO BENATAR, ANKE BOUMA, TOM DIEDEREN, PETER POST and ANNEMARIEKE VERMEER, of counsel, appeared on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands.

The Russian Federation did not appear and was not represented.

REGISTRY: SARAH GRIMMER, Senior legal counsel, and EVGÉNIYA GORIATCHEVA, legal counsel, appeared for the Permanent Court of Arbitration.

> Transcript by Claire Hill for Trevor McGowan The Court Reporter Ltd www.thecourtreporter.eu

## ALSO PRESENT:

FOR THE KINGDOM OF THE NETHERLANDS:

HIS EXCELLENCY PETER VAN WULFFTEN PALTHE, Ambassador of the Kingdom of the Netherlands in Austria

ELENA SAKIRKO, Interpreter

ROSANNE SCHARDIJN, Management Assistant

LUC SMULDERS, Alternate Permanent Representative of the Kingdom of the Netherlands to the International Maritime Organisation

### INTERPRETERS:

IRINA VAN ERKEL SERGEI MIKHEYEV

## INDEX

PAGE Opening Statement on behalf of the5 Netherlands
By Professor Lijnzaad5
By Mr Franckx12
By Professor Lefeber
MR DANIEL SIMONS (called)54
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER55
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL
MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called)60
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER61
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL
MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called)
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER79
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL
MR PETER WILLCOX (called)84
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER85
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL
Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER98

MR DIMITRI LITVINOV (called)	.99
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	100
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	125
Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	134
MR FRANK HEWETSON (called)	137
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	138
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	142
Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	144
MR PHILIP BALL (called)	146
Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	147
Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	151
Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	155

09:30 1	Tuesday, 10th Eshmory 2015	09:38 1 witnesses or their counsel about the testimony that they
09.30 1	Tuesday, 10th February 2015 (9.30 am)	09:381witnesses or their counsel about the testimony that they2give at this hearing, and this continues until the
2	THE PRESIDENT: It is my pleasure to open the hearing in the	<ul> <li>give at this hearing, and this continues until the</li> <li>hearing is concluded. I will admonish each witness</li> </ul>
4	Arctic Sunrise arbitration, which was commenced by the	4 accordingly before they give their testimony.
5	Netherlands against the Russian Federation under the	5 You have all received the provisional hearing
6	United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. On	6 schedule for the hearing today and tomorrow. Unless
7	behalf of the Tribunal, I welcome the distinguished	<ul><li>there are housekeeping matters that the Netherlands</li></ul>
8	representatives of the Netherlands. As we are all	8 would like to raise before the hearing, I propose that
9	aware, no delegation is appearing on behalf of the	9 the hearing should begin now. Are there any such? In
10	Russian Federation.	10 the absence of any such questions, I will give the floor
10	Yesterday evening, the Secretariat sent, on behalf	11 to the Agent of the Netherlands to commence their
12	of the Tribunal, a series of questions for the	12 presentation by also introducing their delegation.
13	Netherlands. These questions arise from the second	13 Thank you very much.
14	supplemental submission of the Netherlands, which was	14 PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Thank you, Mr President. I understand
15	dated 12th January 2015. The Tribunal considers that it	15 that the idea is that we stay seated, or would you want
16	would be helpful to bring these questions to the	16 us to stand? I note there is no
17	Netherlands' attention at this stage, in the hope that	17 THE PRESIDENT: No, that is alright, you can sit down.
18	some at least of these questions may be addressed to	18 PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Thank you. Mr President, members of
19	a certain extent during the hearing.	19 the Tribunal, good morning. It is a true honour for me
20	In addition to the questions conveyed yesterday, of	20 to appear again before this Tribunal representing the
21	course the Tribunal or any of its members may pose	21 Kingdom of the Netherlands.
22	further questions to the Netherlands during the hearing.	A number of documents, memorials and pleadings have
23	I wish to stress that the Netherlands is under no	been exchanged between the Netherlands and the Tribunal,
24	obligation to provide full and final answers to the	and the Tribunal has raised questions to us about the
25	Tribunal's additional questions, which were submitted	case, most recently last night. So today, the time has
	Page 1	Page 3
09:36 1	yesterday evening, at this hearing, although of course	09:40 1 come to present this case to this Arbitral Tribunal in
2	it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or	2 person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do
2 3	it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to	<ul><li>2 person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li><li>3 hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li></ul>
2 3 4	it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the	<ul> <li>2 person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>3 hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>4 writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.	<ul> <li>2 person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>3 hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>4 writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>5 a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>6 With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li><li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is</li></ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li><li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and</li></ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands, and I hope that this is understood by the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or tomorrow, to remain available to testify in future if</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> <li>and the Environment; Mr Tom Diederen, who is a legal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or tomorrow, to remain available to testify in future if that becomes necessary.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> <li>and the Environment; Mr Tom Diederen, who is a legal</li> <li>officer in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Mr Peter</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or tomorrow, to remain available to testify in future if that becomes necessary.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> <li>and the Environment; Mr Tom Diederen, who is a legal</li> <li>officer in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Mr Peter</li> <li>Post, who is the transport advisor of the Ministry of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or tomorrow, to remain available to testify in future if that becomes necessary.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> <li>and the Environment; Mr Tom Diederen, who is a legal</li> <li>officer in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Mr Peter</li> <li>Post, who is the transport advisor of the Ministry of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>it is welcome to do so if it finds it possible or convenient. The Netherlands is free, for example, to reply to any of these questions in writing following the hearing, if that is what appears to be convenient to them.</li> <li>In light of the fact that the Russian Federation is not participating in the Tribunal proceedings today and tomorrow, of course at this hearing the Tribunal must seek as much clarification as possible from the Netherlands. The Tribunal or any of its members may also have questions for the witnesses presented by the Netherlands at this hearing. The Netherlands will be given an opportunity to pose questions to the witnesses in re-direct examination after any questions from the Tribunal. We may also require witnesses to return to answer questions after we have heard their evidence. We will therefore request all witnesses who will be in Vienna in person at this hearing, either today or tomorrow, to remain available to testify in future if that becomes necessary.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>person. We value this opportunity, gentlemen. We do</li> <li>hope to be able to respond to your questions, whether in</li> <li>writing or orally, whether during this hearing or at</li> <li>a later stage. But let me begin with our pleadings.</li> <li>With your indulgence, I would like to first</li> <li>introduce the delegation of the Kingdom of the</li> <li>Netherlands. My name is Liesbeth Lijnzaad. I am the</li> <li>Agent, and I am the legal advisor of the Ministry of</li> <li>Foreign Affairs. With me is René Lefeber, who is the</li> <li>deputy legal advisor of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs</li> <li>and the Co-Agent. We both have already met you last</li> <li>year, in Bonn.</li> <li>Then there is Mr Erik Franckx, who is a professor of</li> <li>law at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels. And today we</li> <li>also have the company of Mr Peter van Wulfften Palthe,</li> <li>who is the Netherlands ambassador to Austria.</li> <li>Then there are Mr Marco Benatar, who is a researcher</li> <li>at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels; Ms Anke Bouma, who</li> <li>is a legal counsel with the Ministry of Infrastructure</li> <li>and the Environment; Mr Tom Diederen, who is a legal</li> <li>officer in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Mr Peter</li> <li>Post, who is the transport advisor of the Ministry of</li> </ul>

		00.45 1	
09:41 1	they are our advisers.	09:45 1	happened in the present case. The actions taken against
2	Opening Statement on behalf of the Netherlands	2	the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board fall outside
3	PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: With your permission, I will briefly	3	any margin of discretion the Russian Federation may have had to respond to the protest action of
4	introduce the case. The dispute underlying this case relates to the authorities of the Russian Federation on	4	
5		5	18th September 2013.
6	19th September 2013, without the prior consent of the Kingdom of the Natherlanda, hearding and dataining the	6 7	Mr President, our oral submissions are structured as
7	Kingdom of the Netherlands, boarding and detaining the	7 8	follows. After my introduction, counsel will discuss
8 9	Arctic Sunrise, a vessel flying the Netherlands flag, in the exclusive economic zone of the Russian Federation,		the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and
		9	flag states in an exclusive economic zone.
10	and thereafter detaining the persons on board the ship.	10	In the second part of this morning, the Co-Agent will discuss whether and how conflicts between
11 12	By acting in this way, without our prior consent, the Russian Federation has violated the freedom of	11 12	legitimate activities at sea can be reconciled.
12	navigation and the freedom of protest at sea of the flag	12	-
13		13	In the afternoon session, we plan to give a short
14	state of the Arctic Sunrise, and its right to exercise jurisdiction over the vessel under the United Nations	14	update of the relevant facts, immediately followed by the examination of the witnesses.
15	Convention on the Law of the Sea and under customary	15	At this juncture, I would like to briefly go back to
10	international law.	10	the events leading up to the initiation of this
17		17	arbitration. On 18th September 2013 the Russian
18	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive	18	Federation informed the Netherlands by diplomatic note
20	economic zone, provided that any law enforcement actions	20	that the decision had been taken to seize the Arctic
20 21	are in accordance with international law. Such law	20 21	Sunrise. In the following weeks, the Netherlands
21	enforcement actions can be reviewed on the basis of	21	requested the Russian Federation on several occasions to
22	a three-pronged test: first, the response actions to	22	release the vessel and the persons on board. As set out
23 24	prevent or end a protest action must have a legal basis	23 24	in the memorial between 19th and 29th September 2013,
24 25	in international law; second, such response action is	24 25	the Netherlands sent five diplomatic notes requesting
25	in international law, second, such response action is	23	the requesting
	Page 5		Page 7
09:43 1	carried out in accordance with international law; third,	09:46 1	information and expressing its concern about the
09:43 1 2	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto	09:46 1 2	information and expressing its concern about the situation that had arisen.
2	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian	2 3 4	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and
2 3	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law.	2 3	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign
2 3 4	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the	2 3 4	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the
2 3 4 5	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013,	2 3 4 5	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this
2 3 4 5 6	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings	2 3 4 5 6	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its interests. The Netherlands has done so itself, as will	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the Netherlands informed the Ambassador of the Russian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its interests. The Netherlands has done so itself, as will be illustrated by the Co-Agent later this morning.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the Netherlands informed the Ambassador of the Russian Federation to the Netherlands that it was considering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its interests. The Netherlands has done so itself, as will be illustrated by the Co-Agent later this morning. The Netherlands cannot, however, acquiesce in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the Netherlands informed the Ambassador of the Russian Federation to the Netherlands that it was considering submitting the dispute to arbitration on 4th October at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its interests. The Netherlands has done so itself, as will be illustrated by the Co-Agent later this morning. The Netherlands cannot, however, acquiesce in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the Netherlands informed the Ambassador of the Russian Federation to the Netherlands that it was considering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are also in accordance with international law. The law enforcement actions of the Russian Federation following the protest action consisted of ending the protest action on 18th September 2013, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise on 19th September 2013, and the subsequent initiation of judicial proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board. In part, there was no proper legal basis for these actions, and the way in which these actions were carried out also raises serious questions of international law. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the present proceedings brought against the Russian Federation were not initiated lightly. The right to protest at sea is not unrestricted, we are well aware of that. The Netherlands recognises the legitimate interests of coastal states to exercise sovereign rights in their maritime zones. The Netherlands also recognises that a coastal state may need to resort to law enforcement measures to end a protest action in order to protect its interests. The Netherlands has done so itself, as will be illustrated by the Co-Agent later this morning. The Netherlands cannot, however, acquiesce in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	situation that had arisen. In addition to these diplomatic notes, consultations took place at the level of Ministers, Ambassadors and other senior officials. The Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Russian Federation discussed the dispute twice in this period, namely on 25th September and 1st October. On 25th September 2013, my government informed parliament that, at different levels and on different occasions, the Russian Federation had been requested to provide information on the events of 18th and 19th September. The government indicated to parliament that, in order for it to be able to decide what steps would be taken next, it was necessary to first obtain this information from the Russian Federation. Among the steps indicated was the possible initiation of judicial proceedings under the Law of the Sea Convention. On 1st October 2013, the Russian Federation replied to the Netherlands' diplomatic note of 23rd September of that year. On the morning of 3rd October, the Netherlands informed the Ambassador of the Russian Federation to the Netherlands that it was considering submitting the dispute to arbitration on 4th October at

09:48 1	in a diplomatic note that it was considering initiating	09:51 1	a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of
09.48 1	arbitration as soon as feasible. The next day, the	09.51 1	protest at sea. For this reason, the Netherlands
3	-	2	submitted an additional claim related to the conduct of
4	procedure provided for in Annex VII of the Convention.	4	the Russian Federation with respect to the exercise of
4 5	In our Statement of Claim, the Netherlands requested	4 5	-
	-	5	the freedom of protest at sea. The Netherlands also submitted second and third
6	the Russian Federation to immediately release the ship	0 7	additional claims. The second claim relates to the
7	and the persons on board. The Netherlands indicated		
8	that, if the Russian Federation would not meet this	8	failure of the Russian Federation to timely and fully
9	request, it would ask the International Tribunal for the	9	implement the order of the Law of the Sea Tribunal. The
10	1 1	10	third claim relates to the non-participation of the
11		11	Russian Federation in the present arbitral procedure.
12		12	The three additional claims all arose from conduct
13		13	of the Russian Federation that occurred subsequent to
14	*	14	the submission of the dispute to arbitration on
15	•	15	4th October 2013.
16	*	16	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I finally
17		17	wish to reiterate once again that the Kingdom of the
18	· · ·	18	Netherlands continues to regret that the Russian
19	•	19	Federation does not participate in these proceedings.
20		20	In this respect, my government welcomed your award on
21		21	jurisdiction of 26th November of last year. We had
22		22	hoped that the Russian Federation would reconsider its
23	•	23	position, but alas it has decided otherwise and is still
24	*	24	absent.
25	had been detained were allowed to leave the territory	25	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, at this point
	Page 9		Page 11
09:50 1	and maritime areas under the jurisdiction of the Russian	09:53 1	may I request that you call on Mr Erik Franckx, our
09:50 1 2	Federation.	09:53 1 2	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and
	Federation. On 18th November 2013, and the following days,		counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive
2	Federation. On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of	2	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.
2 3	Federation. On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December,	2 3 4 5	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is
2 3 4 5 6	Federation. On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li><li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Federation.</li><li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li><li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li><li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Federation.</li><li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li><li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li><li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> <li>Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> <li>Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties. The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by 29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in particular by bringing serious criminal charges against</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention. While Part V provides a roadmap for coastal and other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in particular by bringing serious criminal charges against the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise, and by keeping</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention. While Part V provides a roadmap for coastal and other states in general terms, each individual case of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> <li>Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in particular by bringing serious criminal charges against the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise, and by keeping them in pre-trial detention for an extended period of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention. While Part V provides a roadmap for coastal and other states in general terms, each individual case of contending rights and duties must be assessed on its own</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> <li>Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in particular by bringing serious criminal charges against the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise, and by keeping them in pre-trial detention for an extended period of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you.</li> <li>MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties.</li> <li>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties.</li> <li>The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention. While Part V provides a roadmap for coastal and other states in general terms, each individual case of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Federation.</li> <li>On 18th November 2013, and the following days, shortly before the Tribunal issued its order, most of the 30 individuals were granted bail. By 2nd December, all of them were released on bail. And by</li> <li>29th December, they were finally able to leave the territory of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Six months later, on 6th June 2014, the Arctic</li> <li>Sunrise was formally released. On 1st August, the ship was at last able to leave the territory and maritime zones of the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the release of the Arctic Sunrise and the persons who had been on board, as well as their return to their respective home countries, did not provide an adequate resolution of the dispute. Not all claims, as reflected in the Statement of Claim, had been satisfied by the Russian Federation.</li> <li>Furthermore, since the initiation of this arbitration, on 4th October 2013, the Russian Federation has aggravated and extended the dispute. It did so in particular by bringing serious criminal charges against the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise, and by keeping them in pre-trial detention for an extended period of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	counsel, who will discuss the balancing of rights and duties of coastal states and flag states in an exclusive economic zone. Thank you. MR FRANCKX: Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is an honour for me to appear before you on behalf of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. My task this morning leads me to explore an important facet of the exclusive economic zone, that is to say the balancing of competing rights and duties. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea signified the arrival in treaty form of a new and important maritime area. Neither a belt falling under state sovereignty, nor a water expanse fully beyond states' jurisdictional reach, the exclusive economic zone is an area in which the coastal state and other states enjoy a number of rights and perform several duties. The legal positions of these two groups of states are carefully balanced through the works of a fully fledged regime fleshed out in Part V of the Convention. While Part V provides a roadmap for coastal and other states in general terms, each individual case of contending rights and duties must be assessed on its own

25

5			
09:54 1	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, it is	09:58 1	current case.
2	precisely this balance that I ask you to strike in	2	Suffice it to say that the Arctic Sunrise and all
3	weighing the legal positions of the Kingdom of the	3	persons on board were protected from third-state
4	Netherlands and the Russian Federation in the latter's	4	interference by the legal provisions I have listed, and
5	exclusive economic zone. I will demonstrate that in the	5	they were so throughout the duration of their presence
6	case before us, the scale of the balance tips in favour	6	in the Russian exclusive economic zone.
7	of the Netherlands.	7	Exclusive flag state jurisdiction, coupled with
8	My address follows a three-part structure, setting	8	freedom of navigation, form a solid shield against
9	the stage for this balancing act. First, I will outline	9	interference by third states. Such is their combined
10	the rights and duties of the Netherlands in the	10	effect that all states other than the flag state are
11	exclusive economic zone of the Russian Federation. This	11	barred from exercising prescriptive and enforcement
12	will be followed by a refutation of certain arguments	12	jurisdiction over a ship.
13	the Russian Federation has invoked to justify its	13	This general prohibitive rule has long been a pillar
14	actions against Arctic Sunrise, and the persons on	14	of the Law of the Sea and has maintained its resilience
15	board. I will conclude that the measures adopted by the	15	to the present. Save in certain limited instances, only
16	Russian Federation exceed what the balance permits.	16	the prior consent of the flag state can enable a coastal
17	With your indulgence, I propose to shed some light	17	state to exercise lawful jurisdiction over a ship.
18	on the rights of the Netherlands as a flag state in the	18	Allow me to restate the factual record on this
19	Russian exclusive economic zone, these rights under the	19	crucial point: the Netherlands did not authorise the
20	Convention and customary international law for which the	20	Russian Federation to take action against the Arctic
21	Russian Federation is required to have due regard in	21	Sunrise. Only an exception to the exclusive flag state
22	accordance with Article 56.2 of the Convention.	22	jurisdiction could consequently justify the Russian
23	As a ship flying the Netherlands flag, the Arctic	23	Federation's law enforcement measures against the Arctic
24	Sunrise was subject to the Netherlands' exclusive	24	Sunrise and the persons on board. Shortly I will
25	jurisdiction. The exclusive jurisdiction of a flag	25	demonstrate that the path of exceptions is an untenable
	D 12		
	Page 13		Page 15
09:56 1	state is a cornerstone of the Law of the Sea. This rule	09:59 1	one for the Russian Federation to follow.
2	of customary international law stands on firm footing in	2	It would be remiss of me not to mention the
3	the Convention. Article 92.1 of the Convention	3	obligations that the Netherlands was subject to in the
4	enshrines exclusive flag state jurisdiction in the high	4	Russian exclusive economic zone. Indeed, the corollary
5	seas, while Article 58.2 extends this rule to the	5	of rights are duties. In the same vein, the corollary
6	exclusive economic zones.	6	of the Netherlands' rights in respect of the Arctic
7	I should stress that the exclusive flag state	7	Sunrise is the due regard obligation it owes to the
8	jurisdiction is comprehensive, covering both legislative	8	Russian Federation in the exercise of its rights and
9	and enforcement jurisdiction over the ship and	9	duties.
10	everything on it.	10	This much can be deduced from Article 58.3 of the
11	In addition to exclusive jurisdiction, the	11	Convention, which also requires the Netherlands to
12	Netherlands also enjoyed freedom of navigation, and	12	comply with the Russian Federation's laws and
13	other internationally lawful uses of the sea related to	13	regulations. This duty of compliance with municipal law
14	this freedom, in respect of the Arctic Sunrise and the	14	only concerns law and regulations that are in accordance
15	persons on board.	15	with the Convention and other rules of international
16	There can be no doubt as to the applicability of	16	law.
17	this freedom and these uses in the exclusive economic	17	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, let me come
18	zone as a result of Article 58.1, with its further	18	to the second part of my presentation. As I had alluded
19	reference to Article 87 of the Convention. The term	19	to a few minutes ago, exclusive flag state jurisdiction
20	"other internationally lawful uses of the sea" warrants	20	is a paramount rule, but it is not without exceptions.
21	some pause. Its open-ended formulation encompasses	21	In specific circumstances, a coastal state may take law
22	a wide range of permitted uses of the sea. Among the	22	enforcement actions against a foreign-flagged ship
23	many potential uses covered by this term, let me	23	without the flag state's prior consent. The Russian
23 24 25			

sea -- for it is an essential consideration in this 25 to defend its action against the Arctic Sunrise and the

Page 14

Page 16

10:01 1	persons on board. These are assertions which we have	10:04 1	In the M/V "Saiga" (No. 2) case, it observed that:
2	refuted at length in the memorial. We have also	2	"The use of force must be avoided as far as
3	ventured to explain why other exceptions to exclusive	3	possible; and where force is unavoidable, it must not go
4	flag state jurisdiction cannot apply in the present	4	beyond what is reasonable and necessary in the
5	case.	5	circumstances. Considerations of humanity must apply in
6	I believe it would be somewhat unnecessary to	6	the Law of the Sea as they do in other areas of
7	revisit all of these arguments before your Tribunal	7	international law."
8	today. Therefore, mindful of the time allotted to me,	8	Although this passage does not make explicit
9	I propose focusing on the three most relevant arguments.	9	reference to the principle of proportionality, we submit
10	These are in turn: first, Russian jurisdiction over the	10	that it is encompassed by the principle of
11	Prirazlomnaya; two, the right of hot pursuit; and three,	11	reasonableness. Where the use of force in law
12	the right of visit on reasonable suspicion of piracy.	12	enforcement is permitted under the Convention, it is
13	Before embarking on this discussion, I would draw	13	subject to the customary law principles of necessity and
14	your attention to two basic considerations to be borne	14	proportionality.
15	in mind when considering exceptions to flag state	15	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I will start
16	jurisdiction. The first is that these circumstances are	16	by addressing the implications of Russian jurisdiction
17	of an exceptional nature, and should be construed	17	over the Prirazlomnaya, as the Russian Federation has
18	narrowly.	18	given prominence to this argument.
19	Secondly, any law enforcement measures taken in	19	The dispute submitted before your Tribunal is not
20	pursuance thereof must be in accordance with the	20	about the natural resources of the Russian Federation in
21	Convention and other applicable rules of international	21	its exclusive economic zone or on its continental shelf.
22	law. As the Agent indicated in her introduction,	22	The Netherlands acknowledges the Russian Federation's
23	a three-pronged test applies to law enforcement actions	23	exclusive rights to construct, authorise and regulate
24	under the Convention.	24	the construction, operation and use of the
25	First, the response action to prevent or end the	25	Prirazlomnaya. Articles 56, 60 and 80 of the Convention
	Page 17		Page 19
10.02 1		10.05 1	
10:02 1	protest action must have a legal basis in international	10:05 1	provide ample foundation for this purpose.
2	law.	2	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone
2 3	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in	2 3	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of
2 3 4	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law.	2 3 4	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and
2 3 4 5	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions	2 3 4 5	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The
2 3 4 5 6	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international	2 3 4 5 6	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety
2 3 4 5 6 7	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law.	2 3 4 5 6 7	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60,
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement actions under the Convention.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding the Arctic Sunrise.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement actions under the Convention.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding the Arctic Sunrise. Allow me to elaborate on that point. Nobody was
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement actions under the Convention. When the response action involves the use of force, the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea has	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding the Arctic Sunrise. Allow me to elaborate on that point. Nobody was formally arrested on the Prirazlomnaya, or in the safety
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement actions under the Convention.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding the Arctic Sunrise. Allow me to elaborate on that point. Nobody was
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	law. Second, such response action must be carried out in accordance with international law. Third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto must be in accordance with international law. Turning my focus to the requirement that law enforcement actions must be carried out in accordance with international law, I would like to recall the M/V "Virginia G" case, in which the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea observed that: "The principle of reasonableness applies generally to enforcement measures under Article 73 of the Convention. It takes the position that, in applying enforcement measures, due regard has to be paid to the particular circumstances of the case and the gravity of the violation." As stated in our memorial, this principle of reasonableness should not only be applied under Article 73 of the Convention, but to all law enforcement actions under the Convention. When the response action involves the use of force, the International Tribunal on the Law of the Sea has	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	The Netherlands further recognises the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya up until a breadth of 500 metres, as Article 60.5 of the Convention and present applicable international standards permit. The memorial develops in more detail why extended safety zones beyond the 500-metre limit lacked validity at the time of the events. Where then does the source of contention lie? The crux of the disagreement between the parties relates to Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, of the Convention. Paragraph 2 allows the Russian Federation to take measures derived from the jurisdiction it has over the Prirazlomnaya. Paragraph 4 enables the Russian Federation to take appropriate measures in the 500-metre safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya to ensure the safety both of navigation and of the platform. The position of the Netherlands in this respect is straightforward: the law enforcement powers of the Russian Federation deriving from Article 60, paragraphs 2 and 4, cannot serve as a basis for boarding the Arctic Sunrise. Allow me to elaborate on that point. Nobody was formally arrested on the Prirazlomnaya, or in the safety

The Arctic Sunrise Arbitra PCA Case I	tion (Netherl No. 2014-02	ands v Russia) Tuesday, 10th February 2
Mr. Wakar as well as the 29 other persons on board the	10:10 1	With your indulation I graphese to take a closer
Mr Weber, as well as the 28 other persons on board the		With your indulgence, I propose to take a closer
Arctic Sunrise. Following their unsuccessful attempt to	2	look at the safety zone regulations of the Russian
climb the outside structure of the Prirazlomnaya,	3	Federation. Nine days before the boarding of the Arctic
Ms Saarela and Mr Weber were taken on board the Russian	4	Sunrise, the Ministry of Transport of the Russian
coastguard vessel Ladoga as guests. "Guests": this is	5	Federation enacted an order entitled:
not the word of the Netherlands, but of the spokesperson	6	"On the determination of measures for securing the
of the Russian coastguard, an organ whose conduct is	7	safety of navigation in safety zones established around
attributable to the Russian Federation.	8	artificial islands, installations and structures on the
This means that, in the present case, Article 60.2	9	continental shelf of the Russian Federation."
does not come into play. The detention of Mrs Saarela	10	It prohibits all navigation in the safety zone,
and Mr Weber by the Russian authorities only commenced	11	except for a few types of vessels to which the Arctic
upon their return to the Arctic Sunrise. This has	12	Sunrise did not belong. This order was registered with
a consequence: that Article 60.4 cannot be invoked	13	the Ministry of Justice eleven days after the boarding,
either with respect to the Arctic Sunrise, which was	14	and published in Rossiiskaia Gazeta another eleven days
located outside of the safety zone when it was boarded.	15	later.

New legislative initiatives were launched in 16 17

May 2014 because the legislator had not immediately

18 provided penalties for non-compliance. A draft law

19 providing such penalties was provided on first reading,

20 and adopted during the month of September 2014, but not

21 followed up on afterwards. 22

On 29th January 2015, meaning only a couple of days

23 ago, a new proposal was discussed in a Committee of the

24 Duma, this time with much higher monetary sanctions, and

25 including the possible confiscation of the vessel.

Page 23

some discretion to determine what such appropriate	10:12 1	In providing this overview, my intention is not to
measures may entail. However, this margin of	2	scrutinise the legality of such provisions and
appreciation is: first, qualified by the principles of	3	accompanying penalties; rather, I would draw your
necessity and proportionality; second, limited to the	4	Tribunal's attention to the general picture that emerges
pursuit of legitimate concerns; and third, subject to	5	from the Parliamentary discussions. It is quite
international judicial review.	6	apparent that safety zone regulations are being
The peaceful protest did not at any time threaten	7	developed in order to fill the lacunae existing at the
the safety of the Prirazlomnaya or navigation in the	8	time of the Arctic Sunrise incident.
safety zone. 30 persons were subjected to serious	9	The implications of this finding are crucial to our
criminal charges of piracy and hooliganism, and kept in	10	case. When the Russian Federation boarded the Arctic
pre-trial detention for an extended period of time.	11	Sunrise, it appears to have had no legislation in place
I submit to you the following question: can the Russian	12	imposing sanctions if ships did not obey Russian safety
response to the activities of the Arctic Sunrise and the	13	zone regulations. This omission calls into question
persons on board be considered as "appropriate", in the	14	whether the Russian Federation had the legal basis to
sense of the Convention, or "reasonable", as required by	15	even commence hot pursuit.
the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea?	16	Even if the coastal state had good reasons to
Mr President, members of the Tribunal, under	17	believe that its laws and regulations had been violated,
Article 111 of the Convention, a state may engage in hot	18	its exercise of hot pursuit must comply with a set of
pursuit of a foreign ship when it has good reason to	19	cumulative conditions. The Russian Federation failed to
believe that the ship, or one of its boats or other	20	meet a number of these essential requirements in
craft working as a team and using the ship pursued as	21	relation to the Arctic Sunrise.
a mother ship, has violated the laws and regulations of	22	First, I wish to emphasise that Article 111.4
that state. Those laws and regulations include rules	23	requires the pursuing state to issue an order to stop
with respect to safety zones around artificial islands,	24	when the ship, boat or craft is within the relevant
installations and structures.	25	maritime zone. In our case, the relevant maritime zone
Page 22		Page 24

#### 12 I submit to you the following question: can the Rus 13 response to the activities of the Arctic Sunrise and 14 persons on board be considered as "appropriate", in 15 sense of the Convention, or "reasonable", as requir 16 the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea? 17 Mr President, members of the Tribunal, under

18 Article 111 of the Convention, a state may engage

I should note that your Tribunal will be able to

via videoconferencing tomorrow.

take cognisance of Mrs Saarela's testimony of the facts

Should your Tribunal nevertheless conclude that

Ms Saarela and Mr Weber were arrested on the

Prirazlomnaya or in the safety zone around it, the

Netherlands argues that the actions of the Russian

Measures taken in pursuance of Article 60.4 of the

Convention must be "appropriate". A coastal state has

Federation were contrary to the Convention.

Page 21

19 pursuit of a foreign ship when it has good reason to

20 believe that the ship, or one of its boats or other

21 craft working as a team and using the ship pursued

22 a mother ship, has violated the laws and regulation

- 23 that state. Those laws and regulations include rule
- 24 with respect to safety zones around artificial island 25
  - installations and structures.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

10:09 1

10:07 1

10:13	1 is the safety zone around the Prirazlomnaya. The fa	cts 10:17 1	visit, enshrined in Article 110 of the Convention,
	2 show that, by the time the initial order to stop was	2	offers a legal basis for boarding a foreign-flagged
	3 given to the Arctic Sunrise, the rigid hull inflatable	3	ship. This applies in the exclusive economic zone by
	4 boats or "RHIBs" of the Arctic Sunrise must be		virtue of Article 58.2 of the Convention, in so far as
	5 deemed to have been well outside the safety zone of	5	it is not incompatible with Part V of the Convention.
	6 500 metres around the Prirazlomnaya.	6	This right of visit may only be exercised when there
	7 I should add that this signal to stop must be visual		is reasonable ground for suspecting that a foreign ship
	8 or auditory. The initial order, over the wireless, was		has engaged in one of the activities listed in
	9 given when the Ladoga was close to the Prirazlomna	•	sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of Article 110. Most of the
1	10 whereas the Arctic Sunrise was approximately three		grounds listed in this Article are not remotely relevant
	11 nautical miles away. This casts doubt about whethe		to the facts of the present case and need not be
	12 this order constitutes a signal "given at a distance	12	considered any further.
	13 which enables it to be seen or heard by the foreign	13	There is, however, one ground I should submit to
	ship", implying the proximity of the vessels concern		your consideration: piracy. Piracy is a serious crime
	15 Such a visual signal was given at a later stage, it	15	under international law of nations, and has been for
	16 is true. However, by that time, it was certain that	16	centuries. Any allegations of its commission cannot be
	17 none of the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise were in the		taken lightly. In view of the piracy allegations made
	18 500-metre safety zone.	18	in connection with the protests at sea, the Netherlands
	19 Third, an exercise of the right of hot pursuit is	19	believes that the Tribunal would make an important
	20 only lawful if uninterrupted. There are several	20	contribution by dealing with this issue.
	21 indications that the pursuit was in fact interrupted.	21	Allegations of piracy were first made on
	Approximately 36 hours had passed between the iss		20th September 2013 by the Committee of Investigation of
	23 of the radio signal for the Arctic Sunrise to stop by	23	the Russian Federation. Subsequently, on the grounds of
	the Ladoga on 18th September 2013 at 6.20, and the		commission of the offence of piracy under Russian
2	boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by a helicopter on	25	domestic law, criminal proceedings were instituted
	Page 25		Page 27
10.15	1 101 9 1 1 2012 1 19 20	10.10 1	and the manager of bound the Anglis Counting This
10:15	<ol> <li>1 19th September 2013 at 18.26.</li> <li>2 Second, on 19th September 2013, at 11.22, the La</li> </ol>	10:19 1	against the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise. This
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	adoga 2 3	was communicated to the Netherlands in the Russian diplomatic note of 1st October 2013.
	<ul><li>and the Arctic Sunrise entered into a discussion</li><li>concerning the potential release of the two persons</li></ul>		I will limit my review of the crime of piracy under
	<ul> <li>5 had climbed the outside structure of the Prirazlomna</li> </ul>		international law as laid down in Article 101 of the
	6 Third, at 15.55, the Ladoga informed the Arctic	iya. 5	Convention, which reflects customary international law.
	<ul><li>Sunrise that it was awaiting instructions from its</li></ul>	7	In several respects, the actions of the persons on board
	<ul><li>8 superiors regarding the transfer of the above-mention</li></ul>		the Arctic Sunrise related to the Prirazlomnaya fell far
	9 persons.	9	outside the scope of acts intended by Article 101.
	10 All these elements point to a wavering stance on t		The first essential element of an act of piracy is
	11 part of the Russian authorities, which cannot be	10	violence. Acts of violence cannot be ascribed to the
	reconciled with the concept of a hot pursuit.	12	persons involved in the protest of the Prirazlomnaya.
	Fourth, the anonymity of the boarding party and t		At no point during the events of 18th and 19th September
	14 aircraft used to pursue the Arctic Sunrise amounts to		2013 were any of the individuals armed; nor did any of
	15 a violation of the identification requirement under	15	them resort to acts of violence against persons or
	16 Article 111.5 of the Convention, and also of custom		property.
	<ul><li>international law. The use of non-identified vehicle</li></ul>	-	A second element of the international crime of
	and personnel for exercising and enforcement	18	piracy is that the acts in question must be committed
	<ul><li>jurisdiction is a worrisome development in contemp</li></ul>		for private ends. The Arctic Sunrise is a ship
	state practice that clearly surpasses the mere facts or		chartered by Greenpeace International,
	the present case. The importance of this particular	20 21	a non-governmental organisation dedicated to the
	<ul><li>legal requirement for hot pursuit can hardly be</li></ul>	22	protection and conservation of the environment. The
	<ul><li>overestimated, and I would therefore request that yo</li></ul>		peaceful protests of the persons on board the Arctic
	<ul><li>24 Tribunal addresses this concern.</li></ul>	24	Sunrise, which commenced on 18th September 2013 in the
	25 Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the right		exclusive economic zone of the Russian Federation, was

Page 28

5				•••••••
	i.			
10:20	1	part of a campaign: "Save the Arctic". It is clear from	10:24 1	In response to the protest action, the Russian
	2	these goals that the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise	2	Federation failed to strike a reasonable balance between
	3	were acting out of their environmental beliefs, and that	3	the rights and obligations of the flag state and its own
	4	the peaceful protest against the oil-drilling activities	4	as a coastal state.
	5	of the Prirazlomnaya was politically motivated. Such	5	First, the Russian Federation ended the protest
	6	motivated acts lie outside the ambit of the "private	6	action, following which it boarded the Arctic Sunrise.
	7	ends" requirement.	7	Although it may have acted within its rights to end the
	8	Finally, Article 101 of the Convention establishes	8	protest action, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise did
	9	that an act constitutes piracy only if directed against	9	not have a legal basis.
1	10	a ship, an aircraft, or against a person or property on	10	Second, the boarding was not carried out in
1	11	board such ship or aircraft. The facts show that this	11	accordance with international law. In general, law
1	12	two-vessel requirement was not met. Whereas the Arctic	12	-
	13	Sunrise qualifies as a ship, the Prirazlomnaya does not.	13	members of the Tribunal, we have not argued in our
	14	At the time of the events giving rise to the current	14	-
	15	dispute, the Prirazlomnaya was an offshore ice-resistant	15	in its law enforcement action to end the protest at the
	16	fixed platform located on the continental shelf of the	16	Prirazlomnaya, or the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise,
	17	Russian Federation.	17	was unreasonable, not necessary or not proportional.
	18	It is in this respect noteworthy that a recent	18	We are anxious about the reports on the use of
	19	correction was made to the caution note in the Russian	19	knives to damage the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, and
	20	notices to mariners relating to the Prirazlomnaya of	20	•
	21	2014. This replacement of the 2011 version, applicable	21	itself. Irrespective of evidence of such allegations,
	22	to the facts of the present case, specifically adds the	22	· ·
	23	word "Statzionarnaya" to the description of the	23	that the use of force by the Russian Federation was
	24	Prirazlomnaya. This word can be translated as "fixed".	23 24	
	25	However, the English version of this 2014 issue of the	25	However, the boarding was not carried out in
		Page 29		Page 31
	1	notices to mariners remains identical, without any	10:25 1	accordance with international law, as it failed to meet
	2	explicit reference to the fixed nature of the	2	the requirements of Article 111.5 of the Convention.
	3	Prirazlomnaya.	3	Third, the subsequent law enforcement actions taken
	4	For all these reasons, the claims that persons on	4	by the Russian Federation in respect of the persons on
	5	board the Arctic Sunrise had committed or could	5	board the Arctic Sunrise constituted an interference
	6	reasonably have been suspected of having committed	6	with the freedom to protest at sea. Even if
	7	piracy is entirely without merit.	7	interference pursued a legitimate aim, the serious
	8	As set out in our memorial, no other grounds apply	8	charges of piracy and hooliganism brought against the
	9	in the present case which could provide for an exception	9	persons on board, and their extended period of pre-trial
	10	to the general prohibitive rule protecting ships from	10	detention, do not meet the requirements of necessity and
	11	interference by states other than the flag state.	11	proportionality in this context. Therefore, they cannot
	12	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the protest	12	be justified as reasonable law enforcement actions taken
	13	actions on 18th September 2013 consisted of a failed	13	in the exercise of Russian jurisdiction over the
	14	attempt to deploy a survival capsule, and an aborted	14	Prirazlomnaya.
	15	attempt of two persons to scale the Prirazlomnaya. In	15	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, this brings
	16	the course of these actions, neither the RHIBs nor the	16	me to the end of my intervention. I thank you for your
	17	persons on board the RHIBs at any point posed a threat	17	kind attention.
	18	to the safety of the Prirazlomnaya, or the navigation in		THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. What do you want us to
	19	the safety zone around it.	19	do? Because the schedule provides for the morning break
	20	As soon as the persons who had climbed the outside	20	at 11.00. What do you propose that we do, between now
	21	structure of the Prirazlomnaya had been removed, and all	21	and 11.00?
	22	RHIBs from the Arctic Sunrise had moved away from the		PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Thank you. Of course, we are in your
	23	direct vicinity of the platform, any potential negative	23	hands. The next speaker is going to be the Co-Agent.
	24	impact on safety, public order or interest of the	24	I think there might be some benefit in having him do his
2	25	operator of the platform had been averted.	25	whole presentation in one go, rather than have the

Page 32

		-	•
10:27 1	coffee break in the middle, but then I am not sure about	11:05 1	also asked ourselves what we have done in situations
2	the coffee arrangements. It is really in your hands,	2	that are comparable to the protest action of the Arctic
3	sir.	3	Sunrise against the Prirazlomnaya.
4	THE PRESIDENT: What I think we will do is have the break	4	Today, we would like to share with you examples of
5	now, and then come back at 11.00 exactly so that you can	5	our recent practice in responding to demonstrations at
6	then have the Co-Agent make the presentation completely.	6	sea. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I will
7	On that basis then, I will suggest that we break now and	7	start with examples of my government's response to
8	resume at 11.00.	8	recent protest actions by Greenpeace off the coast of
9	(10.28 am)	9	the Netherlands and in Dutch ports. This will be
10	(Short break)	10	followed by examples of our practice as flag state
11	(11.00 am)	11	[towards] vessels that are used by Greenpeace to stage
12	THE PRESIDENT: I will give the floor to the Co-Agent.	12	protest actions.
13	Thank you.	13	The first example is a protest action in the
14	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Mr President, members of the Tribunal,	14	exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. In
15	it is a true honour for me to appear again before this	15	June 2011, Greenpeace placed 27 stones on the bottom of
16	Tribunal representing the Kingdom of the Netherlands.	16	the Klaverbank. Wooden seahorses were attached to three
17	As the Agent indicated, in this part of our oral	17	of these stones. The stones are similar to stones that
18	submissions, I will address whether and how conflicts	18	naturally occur in the area, and did not pose a threat
19	between legitimate activities at sea can be reconciled.	19	to the navigation or the environment.
20	Staging a protest action is a way to exercise	20	The Klaverbank is an area in the North Sea with
21	freedom of expression. One may have reservations as	21	a rich diversity in sedentary species. The purpose of
22	regards a particular manner in which this freedom is	22	the protest action was to raise public awareness of the
23	exercised, but such reservations may never be a reason	23	opinion that the Netherlands does not adequately protect
24	to take the law into one's own hands.	24	the Klaverbank. Greenpeace was especially concerned
25	Such reservations may also not be a reason for	25	about damage caused by bottom trawling.
	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub> = - 22		D 25
	Page 33		Page 35
11:03 1	a state to take law enforcement actions that have	11:06 1	The Minister for the Environment was of the view
11:03 1		11:06 1	
	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In		that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the
2	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law	2	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water
2 3	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the	2 3	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the
2 3 4	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in	2 3 4	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review
2 3 4 5	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.	2 3 4 5	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat
2 3 4 5 6	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law. In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that	2 3 4 5 6	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed
2 3 4 5 6 7	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law. In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its	2 3 4 5 6 7	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law. In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the</li> <li>Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water</li> <li>Act. Against the advice of an administrative review</li> <li>committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat</li> <li>such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive</li> <li>economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed</li> <li>in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory</li> <li>measure.</li> <li>Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the</li> <li>Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water</li> <li>Act. Against the advice of an administrative review</li> <li>committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat</li> <li>such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive</li> <li>economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed</li> <li>in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory</li> <li>measure.</li> <li>Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this</li> <li>decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the</li> <li>Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water</li> <li>Act. Against the advice of an administrative review</li> <li>committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat</li> <li>such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive</li> <li>economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed</li> <li>in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory</li> <li>measure.</li> <li>Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this</li> <li>decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided</li> <li>that the placement of the stones did not amount to</li> <li>dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law. In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the</li> <li>Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water</li> <li>Act. Against the advice of an administrative review</li> <li>committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat</li> <li>such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive</li> <li>economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed</li> <li>in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory</li> <li>measure.</li> <li>Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this</li> <li>decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided</li> <li>that the placement of the stones did not amount to</li> <li>dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In</li> <li>its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Russian Federation, is</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and the Netherlands, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on the rights pertaining to the Netherlands as a flag state. While developing our arguments, we were</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and the Netherlands, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on the rights pertaining to the Netherlands as a flag state. While developing our arguments, we were</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of a response to a protest action without the use of force.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and the Netherlands, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on the rights pertaining to the Netherlands as a flag state. While developing our arguments, we were conscious all the time of our rights as a coastal state.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of a response to a protest action without the use of force. To prevent the recurrence of similar protest actions,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and the Netherlands, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on the rights pertaining to the Netherlands as a flag state. While developing our arguments, we were conscious all the time of our rights as a coastal state.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of a response to a protest action without the use of force. To prevent the recurrence of similar protest actions, the Minister adopted an administrative law enforcement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>a chilling effect on the exercise of this freedom. In our memorial, we have demonstrated that the Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise had such chilling effect, and were not in accordance with international law.</li> <li>In our oral submissions, we will demonstrate that a coastal state may respond to protest actions in its exclusive economic zone, provided that the three-pronged test referred to by the Agent and counsel is met: first, the response action to prevent or end the protest action has a legal basis in international law; second, such response action is carried out in accordance with international law; and third, any subsequent law enforcement actions related thereto are in accordance with international law.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, the Russian Federation, like the Netherlands, is a flag state; and the Netherlands, like the Russian Federation, is a coastal state. In our memorial, we have focused on the rights pertaining to the Netherlands as a flag state. While developing our arguments, we were conscious all the time of our rights as a coastal state.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	that the placement of the stones on the bottom of the Klaverbank amounted to dumping in violation of the Water Act. Against the advice of an administrative review committee, the Minister ordered Greenpeace not to repeat such actions in the territorial sea and the exclusive economic zone of the Netherlands. A penalty was imposed in the event of non-compliance with this compulsory measure. Greenpeace applied for judicial review of this decision and, on appeal, the Council of State decided that the placement of the stones did not amount to dumping and was not in violation of the Water Act. In its reasoning, the Council of State considered relevant: first, the harmless nature of the materials; second, the fact that Greenpeace, for reasons of safety, had passed on the exact co-ordinates of the stones to the competent authorities; and third, the fact that Greenpeace had made public the purpose of the protest action. The case of the Klaverbank is an example of a response to a protest action without the use of force. To prevent the recurrence of similar protest actions, the Minister adopted an administrative law enforcement measure that was subject to judicial review. Due to the

11.00 1		11.11 1	anonications include Creannages See Shanhand
11:08 1	Different circumstances might have led to a different	11:11 1	organisations include Greenpeace, Sea Shepherd
2	result.	2	Conservation Society and Women on Waves. With your permission, I will limit myself to the actions of
3	As for the stones, they are still standing on the	3	
4	bottom of the Klaverbank, but the wooden seahorses have	4	Greenpeace.
5	gone with the wind.	5	Greenpeace has four ships flying the flag of the
6	Other recent examples involve protest actions in	6	Netherlands: the Rainbow Warrior III, the Esperanza, the
7	Dutch ports. In May of last year, three vessels of	7	Argus and the Arctic Sunrise. The objective of
8	Greenpeace tried to delay the arrival of a Russian oil	8	Greenpeace, as stated on its website, is:
9	tanker in the Port of Rotterdam, where it was scheduled	9	"To ensure the ability of the earth to nurture life
10	to discharge its cargo. Incidentally, the cargo	10	in all its diversity."
11	happened to be oil from the Prirazlomnaya.	11	In order to achieve these goals, the organisation
12	Greenpeace activists ignored traffic instructions	12	uses:
13	from the port authorities, after which the police	13	"Non-violent, creative confrontation to expose
14	intervened and ended the protest action. The police	14	global environmental problems, and to force the
15	boarded one of the vessels, the Rainbow Warrior III, and	15	solutions which are essential to a green and peaceful
16	ordered it to move away from the berth and allow the	16	future."
17	tanker to dock. Several activists were arrested and	17	I will now turn to recent examples of protest
18	detained for approximately six hours.	18	actions of Greenpeace involving such "non-violent,
19	The captain of the vessel, and a driver of one of	19	creative confrontations" in the exclusive economic zones
20	the Greenpeace RHIBs involved in the protest action,	20	of other states.
21	were charged for non-compliance with traffic orders.	21	The first example is the protest action of the
22	The public prosecutor is currently considering whether	22	Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone of Spain.
23	to prosecute the captain and the driver on the basis of	23	In November 2014, the Arctic Sunrise conducted a protest
24	these charges.	24	action near Lanzarote and Fuerteventura in the
25	Later that month, there was another protest action	25	Canary Islands against oil exploration in the exclusive
	Page 37		Page 39
	1 460 57		1 450 57
11:09 1	in the Port of IJmuiden. Greenpeace sought to delay the	11:13 1	economic zone of Spain.
11:09 1 2	in the Port of IJmuiden. Greenpeace sought to delay the departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of	11:13 1 2	economic zone of Spain. A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan
			-
2	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of	2	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan
2 3	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After	2 3	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location.
2 3 4	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest	2 3 4	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile
2 3 4 5	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained	2 3 4 5	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore
2 3 4 5 6	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours.	2 3 4 5 6	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands,
2 3 4 5 6 7	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further	2 3 4 5 6 7	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that are used by non-governmental organisations to stage	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs. The Greenpeace RHIBs returned to the Arctic Sunrise with
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that are used by non-governmental organisations to stage protest actions.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs. The Greenpeace RHIBs returned to the Arctic Sunrise with the injured activist. To enable the provision of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that are used by non-governmental organisations to stage protest actions. The Netherlands has a long history of protest	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs. The Greenpeace RHIBs returned to the Arctic Sunrise with the injured activist. To enable the provision of necessary medical care for the injured activist, the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that are used by non-governmental organisations to stage protest actions. The Netherlands has a long history of protest actions conducted by non-governmental organisations using vessels flying the flag of the Netherlands. These	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs. The Greenpeace RHIBs returned to the Arctic Sunrise with the injured activist. To enable the provision of necessary medical care for the injured activist, the Arctic Sunrise abandoned the drilling location and docked in the Port of Lanzarote. Thus, the Arctic
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>departure of a jack-up drilling rig under contract of Gazprom. Divers chained the rig to the quay. After five hours, the police made an end to the protest action, seized property of the activists, and detained several protestors, but not for more than six hours. The public prosecutor has made an offer not to further prosecute the offence after the payment of a penalty. The protest actions in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden took place in internal waters. The response actions illustrate that the Dutch authorities also intervene to prevent or end protest actions if the circumstances so warrant. In these cases, the legal basis for the response action is derived from territorial sovereignty. The subsequent law enforcement actions were reasonable and cannot be said to have had a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of expression.</li> <li>Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we also reviewed our practice as a flag state of vessels that are used by non-governmental organisations to stage protest actions.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	A mobile offshore drilling unit, the Rowan Renaissance, was on its way to a drilling location. Spain had declared a safety zone of one nautical mile around the drilling location. The mobile offshore drilling unit, flying the flag of the Marshall Islands, was accompanied by the Spanish Navy. The protest action involved the occupation of the drilling location by the Arctic Sunrise, and the despatch of RHIBs to impede the advance of the Rowan Renaissance to the drilling location. The Arctic Sunrise positioned itself within 500 metres from the drilling location, but the actions of the RHIBs took place more than 500 metres from the drilling location, and even outside the safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The Spanish Navy deployed several RHIBs that ended the protest action with the use of force in a manner that resulted in injury to one of the Greenpeace activists and damage to one of the Greenpeace RHIBs. The Greenpeace RHIBs returned to the Arctic Sunrise with the injured activist. To enable the provision of necessary medical care for the injured activist, the Arctic Sunrise abandoned the drilling location and

2		-	
11:14 1	Sunrise voluntarily submitted itself to the jurisdiction	11:18 1	the Netherlands. And I remember it well: it was
2	of Spain.	2	Ascension Day, and I took the call from my Norwegian
3	The Spanish authorities arrested the Arctic Sunrise	3	colleague late in the evening that the Esperanza, one of
4	with the possibility of release on bail, and judicial	4	the Greenpeace vessels flying the flag of the
5	proceedings were initiated against the owner and captain	5	Netherlands, occupied a position in a safety zone. The
6	of the vessel. But the persons on board were not	6	safety zone had been established in connection with the
7	detained. By the end of the month, the bill had been	7	preparation and commencement of drilling operations.
8	paid and the vessel was released.	8	The presence of the Esperanza prevented a mobile
9	Spain requested the Netherlands to initiate	9	offshore drilling unit from advancing to the drilling
10	an investigation into the incident. After Spain had	10	location to commence its drilling activities.
11	furnished additional information at the request of the	11	Norway requested the Netherlands to direct the
12	Netherlands, the Dutch Shipping Inspectorate	12	vessel to leave the drilling location and not to hinder
13	investigated the incident. The preliminary observations	13	the projected drilling. Pursuant to this request,
14	of the Inspectorate indicate, amongst other things, that	14	during the night Dutch authorities repeatedly conveyed
15	the RHIBs of the Spanish Navy had not exercised good	15	to Greenpeace, in vain, the urgent request to leave the
16	seamanship by intentionally causing collisions in	16	drilling location. The following day, after having
17	violation of the International Regulations for	17	ordered the vessel to remove itself from the safety zone
18	Preventing Collisions At Sea, and that there was no	18	and the subsequent refusal of the vessel's captain to
19	evidence of bad seamanship of the Arctic Sunrise.	19	comply with the order, the Norwegian coastguard boarded
20	I would like to emphasise at this point that these	20	the vessel and towed it to a position approximately 40
21	observations are of a preliminary nature and subject to	21	nautical miles south of the drilling location. Neither
22	further scrutiny.	22	the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. All
23	In its request for additional information though,	23	persons on board the Esperanza remained on the vessel
24	the Netherlands already voiced concerns with respect to	24	throughout the operations, and the vessel was
25	the conduct of Spain in relation to the incident, in	25	subsequently released.
	Page 41		Page 43
11:16 1	particular the use of force by the Spanish Navy, and the	11:19 1	Although Norway had not obtained the prior consent
2	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile.	2	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the
	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of	2 3	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In
2 3 4	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now	2 3 4	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal
2 3 4 5	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the	2 3 4 5	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the
2 3 4 5 6	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident.	2 3 4 5 6	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to
2 3 4 5 6 7	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen archipelago. Mr President, members of the Tribunal,	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also promised that it would convey once more to Greenpeace
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen archipelago. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, Greenpeace returned to the Barents Sea last year for	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also promised that it would convey once more to Greenpeace its view that the right to protest at sea should be
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen archipelago. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, Greenpeace returned to the Barents Sea last year for another protest action against mineral resource	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also promised that it would convey once more to Greenpeace its view that the right to protest at sea should be exercised in accordance with applicable law. At the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen archipelago. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, Greenpeace returned to the Barents Sea last year for	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also promised that it would convey once more to Greenpeace its view that the right to protest at sea should be
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	establishment of a safety zone of one nautical mile. Taking into account the results of the investigation of the Shipping Inspectorate, my government is now considering requesting Spain to investigate the incident. This protest action took place in an exclusive economic zone. Spain did not take any law enforcement action against the Arctic Sunrise before the vessel voluntarily submitted itself to the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The subsequent law enforcement actions appear to have been reasonable. The response action against the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone raises legal questions though. Even if a legal basis can be found for the response action, and the use of force, my government is concerned that the use of force was not reasonable, as it appears to have been neither necessary nor proportional. The second example: the protest action of the Esperanza in the Barents Sea, south of the Spitsbergen archipelago. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, Greenpeace returned to the Barents Sea last year for another protest action against mineral resource	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	of the Netherlands to board the Esperanza, the Netherlands has not protested against the boarding. In this case, Parts V and VI of UNCLOS provide the legal basis for the response action. The occupation of the drilling location made it impossible for Norway to exercise its sovereign rights. The response action was also reasonable, as the use of force to board the vessel was necessary and proportional, and neither the vessel nor any person on board was arrested. The third example: information from Norway on protest actions of Greenpeace in the Arctic. One month later, Norway informed the Netherlands of possible future protest actions of Greenpeace against petroleum-related activities in the Arctic. Norway requested the cooperation of the Netherlands as the flag state of the vessels that were expected to be involved in those protest actions. In response to this information and the related request, the Netherlands reassured Norway of its readiness to co-operate in this matter. It also promised that it would convey once more to Greenpeace its view that the right to protest at sea should be exercised in accordance with applicable law. At the

11:21 1	" attaches great importance to the right to	11:24 1	complied.
2	peaceful protest, including the exercise of this right	2	A second example: in June 2011, the operators and
3	at sea, as long as safety is ensured and applicable law	3	owners of two oil platforms located in the exclusive
4	is adhered to. This view of the Dutch Government is	4	economic zone of Greenland requested a Dutch court to
5	well-known to non-governmental organisations. The	5	order Greenpeace to immediately end the ongoing campaign
6	government has repeatedly called on the masters of	6	against their platforms, and not to resume them for
7	vessels to ensure that safety of human life at sea is	7	a period of six months. The protest actions consisted,
8	not endangered and international collision avoidance	8	among others, of attaching a survival capsule to the oil
9	regulations are observed. The Netherlands condemns	9	platform Leiv Eiriksson, and the gaining of access of 18
10	dangerous and unlawful behaviour at sea, and confirms	10	activists to this platform.
10	its readiness to deal with unlawful activity in	10	The court reviewed the request by weighing the
11	accordance with applicable domestic and international	11	interests of Greenpeace, that is to call attention to
12	law. The lawfulness of any future protest actions will	12	the environmental dangers of drilling for oil in this
13	be considered on a case-by-case basis. Any restrictions	13	area, against the interests of the operators and owners
15	on the right to protest peacefully have to be in	15	of the oil platforms, that is to carry out their
16	accordance with the obligations laid down in,	16	drilling activities unhindered.
17	inter alia, the International Covenant on Civil and	17	After balancing these interests, taking into account
18	Political Rights and the European Convention on Human	18	that, by the prior protest actions, Greenpeace had
19	Rights, as well as related case law."	19	already been able to attract considerable public
20	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, Greenpeace	20	attention, the court concluded that the balance of
21	has its headquarters in the Netherlands. Civil law	21	interests test worked out in favour of the operators and
22	remedies in the Netherlands, including claims for	22	owners of the oil platforms. The court issued
23	injunctive relief under a penalty, are available to	23	an injunction to the effect that Greenpeace was
24	prevent or end protest actions. Several successful	24	prohibited, under a penalty, from entering a zone of 500
25	civil actions have been brought against Greenpeace	25	metres around the relevant platforms for a period of six
	Page 45		Page 47
11.23 1	before courts in the Netherlands	11:26 1	months. Greenpeace complied.
11:23 1	before courts in the Netherlands. Two examples. The first example: in October 2014.	11:26 1	months. Greenpeace complied. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not
2	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014,	2	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not
	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the		Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is
2 3	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil	2 3	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be
2 3 4	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the	2 3 4	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is
2 3 4 5	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action	2 3 4 5	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action.
2 3 4 5 6	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the	2 3 4 5 6	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means
2 3 4 5 6 7	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest action, the interests of Blueward Shipping carried more	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in law enforcement actions of other coastal states against
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest action, the interests of Blueward Shipping carried more weight than those of Greenpeace. The court issued	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in law enforcement actions of other coastal states against vessels flying its flag.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest action, the interests of Blueward Shipping carried more weight than those of Greenpeace. The court issued an injunction to the effect that Greenpeace was not to	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in law enforcement actions of other coastal states against vessels flying its flag. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are here
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest action, the interests of Blueward Shipping carried more weight than those of Greenpeace. The court issued	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in law enforcement actions of other coastal states against vessels flying its flag.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	Two examples. The first example: in October 2014, Greenpeace announced its intention to protest in the Port of Rotterdam against the arrival of a Russian oil tanker. The protest action was to follow the action earlier that year against the same oil tanker in the same port. Blueward Shipping, the freighter for Gazprom, requested a Dutch court to prohibit Greenpeace from carrying out the protest action. The court reviewed the protest action in light of the principles of necessity and proportionality. With respect to necessity, it considered that Greenpeace had already gained substantial publicity in previous protest actions, and that the planned action would, at best, yield more public exposure. The court further considered that Greenpeace had not made sufficiently clear that this purpose could not be achieved by alternative means without harming the interests of Blueward Shipping. With respect to proportionality, the court considered that, as it concerned a repeated protest action, the interests of Blueward Shipping carried more weight than those of Greenpeace. The court issued an injunction to the effect that Greenpeace was not to	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not arguing that the use of civil law remedies is a condition before other response actions may be resorted to in order to prevent or end a protest action. But we are arguing that there are other effective means to prevent or end a protest action. What we would like to point out is that the Dutch courts give weight to all interests in resolving conflicts between legitimate activities at sea, and that the recurrence of protest actions is relevant in weighing these interests. What we also would like to point out is that Greenpeace complies with court decisions. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are not here today to defend an unrestricted freedom of expression. We have illustrated that competent authorities in the Netherlands, including the government, the police and the courts, have taken measures to prevent or end protest actions; and we have also illustrated that the Netherlands has acquiesced in law enforcement actions of other coastal states against vessels flying its flag. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, we are here

11:28 1	interference with the freedom of expression.	11:31 1	international law, in particular whether the force used
2	When a coastal state prevents or ends a protest	2	to end the protest action was reasonable.
3	action in its exclusive economic zone, such response	3	As for the response actions by Norway and the
4	action must be reviewed on the basis of the	4	Netherlands, they did involve the boarding of vessels.
5	three-pronged test: first, the response action must have	5	We have no indications, however, that these law
6	a legal basis in international law; second, such	6	enforcement actions may not have been carried out in
7	response action must be carried out in accordance with	7	accordance with international law.
8	international law; and third, any subsequent law	8	What we have demonstrated in our memorial, and what
9	enforcement actions related thereto must be in	9	we would like to re-affirm today, is, third, that the
10	accordance with international law.	10	Russian law enforcement actions subsequent to the
11	In the case before you, first, the boarding of the	11	boarding of the Arctic Sunrise were not reasonable, as
12	Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation did not have	12	they were neither necessary nor proportional. They had
13	a legal basis in international law. As demonstrated in	13	a chilling effect on the exercise of the freedom of
14	our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel	14	expression. This sets the case before you apart from
15	outside a safety zone of 500 metres around the	15	the other cases I have reviewed.
16	Prirazlomnaya was subject to the prior consent of the	16	Spain arrested the Arctic Sunrise, and has initiated
17	Netherlands. This sets the case before you apart from	17	judicial proceedings against the vessel and the captain,
18	the other cases I have reviewed.	18	following the protest action off the coast of the Canary
19	The response action by Spain against the RHIBs of	19	Islands in November 2014. But it did not arrest the
20	the Arctic Sunrise in the exclusive economic zone of the	20	persons on board, and it did provide for the release of
21	Canary Islands in November 2014 took place outside the	21	the vessel on bail.
22	safety zone of one nautical mile declared by Spain. The	22	Norway boarded the Esperanza following the protest
23	actions of Spain would seem to have been directed at	23	action in the Barents Sea in May 2014, and towed it away
24	preventing Greenpeace activists from climbing the Rowan	24	from a drilling location. But it released the vessel
25	Renaissance; they did not involve the boarding of the	25	and the persons on board without arresting them or
	Page 49		Page 51
			1 ago 51
11:29 1	Arctic Sunrise or any of its RHIBs. We are still	11:32 1	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or
11:29 1 2	Arctic Sunrise or any of its RHIBs. We are still pondering the legal basis of these actions.	11:32 1 2	
	-		initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or
2	pondering the legal basis of these actions.	2	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.
2 3	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise	2 3	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board. The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in
2 3 4	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found	2 3 4	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board. The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and
2 3 4 5	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.	2 3 4 5	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board. The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours. Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now
2 3 4 5 6	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The response action by Norway against the Esperanza	2 3 4 5 6	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board. The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours.
2 3 4 5 6 7	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V	2 3 4 5 6 7	initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board. The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours. Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pondering the legal basis of these actions. As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain. The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise</li> <li>in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found</li> <li>in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza</li> <li>in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V</li> <li>and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this</li> <li>response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against</li> <li>Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise</li> <li>in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found</li> <li>in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza</li> <li>in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V</li> <li>and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this</li> <li>response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against</li> <li>Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port</li> <li>of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise</li> <li>in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found</li> <li>in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza</li> <li>in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V</li> <li>and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this</li> <li>response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against</li> <li>Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port</li> <li>of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements under the Convention were not met. This sets the case</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements under the Convention were not met. This sets the case before you apart from the other cases I have reviewed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders.</li> <li>But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands would have investigated the incident and probably imposed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements under the Convention were not met. This sets the case before you apart from the other cases I have reviewed. As for the response action by Spain, it did not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands would have investigated the incident and probably imposed administrative sanctions.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements under the Convention were not met. This sets the case before you apart from the other cases I have reviewed.</li> <li>As for the response action by Spain, it did not involve the boarding of a vessel. However, my</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands would have investigated the incident and probably imposed administrative sanctions.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>pondering the legal basis of these actions.</li> <li>As for the subsequent arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in a Spanish port, the legal basis can clearly be found in the territorial jurisdiction of Spain.</li> <li>The response action by Norway against the Esperanza in May 2014 took place at a drilling location. Parts V and VI of the Convention provide a legal basis for this response action.</li> <li>The response actions by the Netherlands against Greenpeace vessels in the Port of Rotterdam and the Port of IJmuiden in May 2014 took place in internal waters.</li> <li>Territorial sovereignty provides the legal basis for these actions.</li> <li>Second, the boarding of the Arctic Sunrise by the Russian Federation was not carried out in accordance with international law. As demonstrated in our memorial and by counsel, the boarding of the vessel was a law enforcement action where the identification requirements under the Convention were not met. This sets the case before you apart from the other cases I have reviewed. As for the response action by Spain, it did not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>initiating judicial proceedings against the vessel or the persons on board.</li> <li>The Netherlands boarded the Rainbow Warrior III in the Port of Rotterdam, arrested several activists, and charged two of them with violation of traffic orders. But the activists were released within a few hours.</li> <li>Mr President, with your indulgence, I will now return to the question I asked myself at the beginning of my statement: what would we have done if we had been in the position of the Russian Federation? What would we have done?</li> <li>It is, I admit, a matter of speculation. But it would have been in line with my government's practice that the Netherlands coastguard would have intervened to prevent or end the protest action. It probably would have tried to prevent the climbers from climbing the platform. It probably would have arrested one or more activists that succeeded in climbing the platform, and possibly one or more activists in the safety zone. And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands would have investigated the incident and probably imposed administrative sanctions.</li> </ul>

Page 52

		1	
11:34 1	established internationally recognised justification.	11:49 1	is that there have not been any relevant developments
11.54 1	And the law enforcement authorities of the Netherlands	2	since the submission of the addendum and corrigendum to
3	would have released the activists within hours, unless	3	the Greenpeace International statement of facts dated
4	the incident had resulted in personal injury.	4	9th January 2015. So there are no new facts to be
5	Mr President, members of the Tribunal, a coastal	5	reported since that date.
6	state may intervene to prevent or end protest actions in	6	Mr President, at this point, the Kingdom of the
0 7	its exclusive economic zone, but it has to respect the	7	Netherlands would like to introduce the witness
8	exclusive economic zone, but it has to respect the exclusive jurisdiction of a flag state over its vessels.	8	testimony of Mr Daniel Simons. Mr Simons is legal
° 9	A response action may interfere with the freedom of	8 9	
9 10	· · · ·	10	counsel with Greenpeace International. His testimony
10	protest at sea, provided that the interference pursues a legitimate aim and is necessary and proportional in	10	will be directed to the statement of facts provided by Greenpeace International dated 15th August 2014, as well
12 13	•	12 13	as the addendum and corrigendum thereto. These documents were annexed to the Netherlands'
13		13	memorial and its second supplemental written pleadings
14		14	respectively. Mr Simons is author of the statement of
15		15	facts, and the addendum and corrigendum thereto. The
10		10	account set out in these documents cover the period
17		17	between 18th September 2013 and 8th January 2015, and
			-
19 20	-	19 20	I may say actually up until today, because there are no new developments since 9th January 2015.
20	proceed with the examination of the witnesses.	20	Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER
21		21 22	Q. Good morning, Mr Simons. Thank you for agreeing to
22	Mr President, we could proceed immediately, after a short adjournment, or after the lunch break. We are	22 23	testify in these proceedings.
23 24	resigned to your decision in this respect. Thank you.	23 24	With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to
24 25	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think your first	24 25	give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the
23	THE I RESIDENT. Thank you very much. I think you hist	2.5	give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the
	Page 53		Page 55
	1 age 55		I age 55
	1 age 35		1 age 55
	1 age 35		I age 35
11:36 1	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed	11:50 1	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness
11:36 1 2	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five	11:50 1 2	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014.
	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank		addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).
2	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.	2 3 4	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three
2 3	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank	2 3	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and
2 3 4 5 6	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.	2 3 4	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am)	2 3 4 5	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed). Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents? A. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge? A. They are. Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much. (11.36 am) (A short break) (11.46 am) MR DANIEL SIMONS (called) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession? THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International. THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.</li> <li>(11.36 am)</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via telephone. Certain files were also sent, video files.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.</li> <li>(11.36 am)             <ul> <li>(A short break)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(11.46 am)             <ul> <li>MR DANIEL SIMONS (called)</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. Could you identify yourself: give your name and profession?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Daniel Simons. I am legal counsel at Greenpeace International.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Before you are examined, you will have to make the declaration that is before you. Please go ahead and read it.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for that declaration. Now you can examine the witness.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via telephone. Certain files were also sent, video files. So that's one source.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.</li> <li>(11.36 am)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via telephone. Certain files were also sent, video files. So that's one source.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.</li> <li>(11.36 am)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via telephone. Certain files were also sent, video files. So that's one source.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>suggestion is more acceptable. We will proceed immediately, but with a short adjournment of five minutes, and then you can examine the witnesses. Thank you very much.</li> <li>(11.36 am)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and the witness statement of Mr Simons dated 30th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Simons, could you please take a look at the three documents that were just put in front of you, and confirm that you are the author of these documents?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Simons, can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. They are.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain the sources of the information underlying the statement of facts and the addendum and corrigendum thereto?</li> <li>A. The statement of facts is based on a range of different sources of information which are also referenced in the witness statement. In the first place, during the events, so between 16th and 19th September 2013, the ship was in communication with our office, primarily via telephone. Certain files were also sent, video files. So that's one source.</li> </ul>

Page 56

11:52 1	it also relies, after the release of the Arctic 30, on	11:55 1	there is a danger of breach of some articles. And in
2	discussions that I have had with several of them	2	the Russian text they mention Articles 60, 147 and 259;
3	sorry, when I say the "Arctic 30", I refer to the 30	3	whereas in the Greenpeace statement, paragraph 14, you
4	crew members of the Arctic Sunrise. So after their	4	are saying Articles 60, 17 and 260. Could you possibly
5	release, it has been possible to speak with them, and	5	explain this small discrepancy?
6	that is another source for the statement of facts.	6	A. I am not sure how the discrepancy arises. What I can
7	Finally, after the return of the Arctic Sunrise to	7	clarify is what the statement of facts is based on: it
8	port, a number of different sources of information were	8	is based on a note taken on the ship during the events,
9	found on board: memory cards, from which files have been	9	which was written out and which was sent to me.
10	extracted, and those are another source of the statement	10	I remember being informed that these articles had been
11	of facts.	11	read out. So it's possible that an error occurred in
12	The addendum and corrigendum relies primarily on	12	-
13	information extracted from items returned by the	13	explanation. I couldn't say exactly what the reason
14	Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation to the	14	
15	control of Greenpeace International. So again, we are	15	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I am satisfied with this answer.
16	talking about memory cards, for example, which contain	16	THE PRESIDENT: In that case, do you have any questions in
17	audio video, audio. It also relies on documents that	17	re-direct?
18	have come into our possession relating to domestic legal	18	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: No, we don't, Mr President.
19	proceedings after the finalisation of the statement of	19	THE PRESIDENT: As I said, you have the right to re-direct,
20	facts of 15th August 2014.	20	if necessary. But you don't?
21	Q. Thank you, Mr Simons. Could you explain your personal	21	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Not at this stage, Mr President.
22	involvement in obtaining this information that you have	22	THE PRESIDENT: In that case, unless anybody else has
23	just described?	23	a question, the Tribunal will release you. But as
24	A. Yes. I was involved I am the legal adviser to the	24	I have said earlier, we may want to ask you some further
25	Save the Arctic campaign at Greenpeace International.	25	questions, so if you happen to be here, we would like
	Page 57		Page 59
	1 age 57		1 age 57
			-
			-
11.53 1	I was involved in the nun-un to the events of	11:57 1	
11:53 1	I was involved in the run-up to the events of 18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that	11:57 1	you to remain at our disposal.
2	18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that	2	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.
2 3	18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.	2 3	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed.
2 3 4	18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards. I was charged with assembling a defence team,	2 3 4	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called)
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li><li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the</li></ul>	2 3	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)
2 3 4	<ul><li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li><li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called)
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li><li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li><li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li><li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you to remain at our disposal. THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted) THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk. THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal defence, and retained by the Stichting Greenpeace</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness. Questions from THE TRIBUNAL</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr President, if I am allowed to, I would like to ask the witness about a certain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal defence, and retained by the Stichting Greenpeace Council to provide legal services in connection with the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness. Questions from THE TRIBUNAL</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr President, if I am allowed to, I would like to ask the witness about a certain discrepancy which I discovered between the effectual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal defence, and retained by the Stichting Greenpeace Council to provide legal services in connection with the criminal investigation by the Investigative Committee of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness. Questions from THE TRIBUNAL</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr President, if I am allowed to, I would like to ask the witness about a certain discrepancy which I discovered between the effectual statement by Greenpeace and this order of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal defence, and retained by the Stichting Greenpeace Council to provide legal services in connection with the criminal investigation by the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation in the north-west federal</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>18th September as a legal adviser, and continued that involvement afterwards.</li> <li>I was charged with assembling a defence team, a legal defence team to represent the 30 persons of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian criminal proceedings, and to instruct that team. As part of that role, I spent some time in Murmansk, and I attended hearings of the Leninsky District Court and the Murmansk Regional Appeals Court. So in that capacity I gained some personal knowledge of the manner in which the criminal proceedings were conducted.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Simons. This concludes my examination of this witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I would like now to ask the members of the Tribunal if they have any questions to be directed at this witness. Questions from THE TRIBUNAL</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr President, if I am allowed to, I would like to ask the witness about a certain discrepancy which I discovered between the effectual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>you to remain at our disposal.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I will remain at your disposal, thank you.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. MR ANDREY SUCHKOV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, and thank you very much for coming. First of all, I would like you to make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Declaration for fact witness. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I now ask the Co-Agent to begin the direct examination?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Andrey Vladimir Suchkov. Mr Suchkov is a lawyer specialised in criminal defence, and retained by the Stichting Greenpeace Council to provide legal services in connection with the criminal investigation by the Investigative Committee of</li> </ul>

Page 60

### Day 1

## Day 1

12:01	1	the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on	12:05 1	And I had contacts with the majority and afterwards
	2	behalf of Greenpeace.	2	with all of those Arctic 30 activists.
	3	Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	3	When I got involved in the case and studied the
	4	Q. Good morning, Mr Suchkov.	4	documents, I found out that the vessel, Arctic Sunrise,
	5	A. (In English) Good morning.	5	was very close to the Prirazlomnaya platform in the
	6	Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.	6	Pechora Sea. And if I am not mistaken, on
	7	With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to	7	September 18th 2013, the vessel was detained.
	8	give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the	8	After that, the vessel and its crew was escorted to
	9	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness	9	Murmansk, after a week or so. And only after that, all
	10	statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).	10	the necessary documents for the detention were executed.
	11	Mr Suchkov, could you please take a look at the	11	After that, the crew were presented with the
	12	three documents that were just put in front of you, and	12	charges. Two days later, the charges were made, and the
	13	confirm that you are the author of the witness	13	preliminary charges were in accordance with
	14	statement?	14	Article 227.3 of the Criminal Code of the Russian
	15	A. (Interpreted) I am familiar with these documents, and	15	Federation. This is piracy. This is the exceptionally
	16	I am indeed the author of the witness statement. I see	16	heavy category of offence in the Russian Federation.
	17	my signature on the copy of the document.	17	After the charges were made, all the Arctic 30
	18	Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. In your witness statement, you	18	activists were in pre-trial detention. Some of them
	19	confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of	19	were in a pre-trial detention facility in Murmansk, and
	20	facts are true and accurate. The addendum and	20	some in Apatity, which is about 180/200 kilometres off
	21	corrigendum thereto contains additional information and	21	Murmansk.
	22	adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the	22	For the interviews and all the other necessary
	23	statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the	23	steps, they were escorted to Murmansk. Allow me to say
	24	addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the	24	that it was quite a hardship to bring them to Murmansk.
	25	best of your knowledge?	25	They had to be brought by car, and people were kept in
		Page 61		Page 63
		~		
12:03		A. Yes, the facts provided by these documents are known to	12:07 1	cages, and the cars were extremely cold, so they had to
12:03	2	the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement,	2	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were
12:03	2 3	the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness	2 3	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded
12:03	2 3 4	the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to	2 3 4	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions.
12:03	2 3 4 5	the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.	2 3 4 5	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their
12:03	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement,</li><li>I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness</li><li>myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to</li><li>me from the documents of the criminal case.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel.
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases.
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. As soon as I became part of the case, I familiarised</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental shelf. So in fact, it was not a foundation to qualify
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. As soon as I became part of the case, I familiarised myself with the documents which were accessible to me at</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental shelf. So in fact, it was not a foundation to qualify the actions of Arctic 30 as piracy.
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. As soon as I became part of the case, I familiarised myself with the documents which were accessible to me at that time. After that, I monitored the developments of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental shelf. So in fact, it was not a foundation to qualify the actions of Arctic 30 as piracy. That became obvious for the Russian authorities.
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. As soon as I became part of the case, I familiarised myself with the documents which were accessible to me at</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental shelf. So in fact, it was not a foundation to qualify the actions of Arctic 30 as piracy.
12:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the best of my knowledge. In my witness statement, I enumerate the paragraphs where it was the witness myself. I also listed the paragraphs which are known to me from the documents of the criminal case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board, following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, I will be happy to explain. I was invited to be a part of the defence team, but not straight from the beginning: from November 4th 2013. My role was to organise the criminal defence, to coordinate the work of the defence lawyers on the Russian side who provided the defence for Arctic 30, and I was also invited to represent the interests of Greenpeace International. I also had individual contracts with all the 30 activists, to represent them and defend them in this case.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. As soon as I became part of the case, I familiarised myself with the documents which were accessible to me at that time. After that, I monitored the developments of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	suffer that, they had to go through that. They were kept in very cold circumstances, and under crowded conditions, and under unsanitary conditions. Later on, the decision was made to requalify their activities. In essence, their activities were qualified wrongly under the Criminal Code. There were no indicia of piracy. Article 227 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation envisages responsibility for actions against a vessel, but the drill platform was not a vessel. And I found the previous court decisions, and there were two of them, taken in different stages, in Commercial Courts. There are quite different types of courts in the Russian Federation dealing with commercial cases. If I am not mistaken, in the previous decisions the case was about taxes: how do you pay taxes? Is Prirazlomnaya platform a vessel or is it immovable property? The decision was made that the platform cannot be towed, so it was a part of the continental shelf. So in fact, it was not a foundation to qualify the actions of Arctic 30 as piracy. That became obvious for the Russian authorities.

The Court Reporter Ltd www.thecourtreporter.eu

5			
12:08 1	invoke a mild article in the Criminal Code.	12:13 1	pre-trial detention period, and all the remaining 29
2	Nevertheless, the consequences are quite harsh,	2	activists were freed.
3	including prison sentence. Therefore, the actions of	3	So in the beginning they demanded bail, and
4	the Arctic 30 were requalified as hooliganism. This is	4	afterwards I had to make arrangements for the bail. We
5	a grave violation of public order, demonstrating	5	were also surprised by the fact that, at the Primorsky
6	disrespect to the public order.	6	court, Judge Yermolayeva who took the decision in the
7	Next, the Arctic 30 were charged with the use of	7	first place to prolong the pre-trial detention of
8	weapons. They had special contraptions to throw out the	8	Colin took a number of decisions in very similar
9	towing lines; these were seen as weapons. They [were	9	situations for other Arctic 30 activists, and they were
10	also charged with] invoking religious and other types of	10	not prolonged.
11	hatred. So hooliganism, with extra circumstances, was	11	I would like to attract your attention to the fact
12	applied until December 2013, when it was revoked.	12	that the courts in their decisions make no
13	After the investigative steps in Murmansk, the	13	differentiation between the roles of the Arctic 30. So
14	investigative authority made a decision that all Arctic	14	all the court decisions were rubberstamped decisions:
15	30 activists were to be transferred to St Petersburg.	15	they just changed the surnames of the activists,
16	They were transported by railroad transport, which was	16	everything else was just a copy and paste exercise.
17	a very hard and unpleasant procedure. Upon arrival at	17	They had one general file, and all the decisions were
18	St Petersburg, they were placed in three different	18	taken from there. So from the point of view of the law,
19	pre-trial detention facilities.	19	I cannot give any logical explanation for why the same
20	Two months into the investigation, the question	20	judge, using the same texts, took one decision in one
21	arose as to prolonging the pre-trial detention period.	21	case, and completely the opposite decision in the
22	So two regional courts of the pre-trial detention	22	subsequent cases.
23 24	facilities where the Arctic 30 activists were kept,	23 24	So in the three days when the measures were considered, pre-trial detention or bail, I was facing
24 25	being Primorsky and Kalininsky courts, looked into this matter.	24 25	a very complicated situation. I commuted between the
23	matter.	23	a very complicated situation. I commuted between the
	Page 65		Page 67
12:11 1	The Primorsky court looked into the case of Colin	12:14 1	two courts, and sometimes I had to appeal to one of the
12:11 1	The Primorsky court looked into the case of Colin Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by	12:14 1	two courts, and sometimes I had to appeal to one of the judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just
	-		
2	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by	2	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just
2 3	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky	2 3	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the
2 3 4	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant	2 3 4	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings.
2 3 4 5	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its	2 3 4 5	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not in line with Russian legislation.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic Sunrise activists.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not in line with Russian legislation. So Colin Russell had his pre-trial detention period	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic Sunrise activists. Looking at the historical facts of similar
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not in line with Russian legislation. So Colin Russell had his pre-trial detention period prolonged. However, all of a sudden the prosecutor's	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic Sunrise activists. Looking at the historical facts of similar amnesties, I can conclude that amnesty for those
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not in line with Russian legislation. So Colin Russell had his pre-trial detention period	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic Sunrise activists. Looking at the historical facts of similar
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	Russell, and his pre-trial detention was prolonged by two months. In these proceedings, both in Kalininsky and Primorsky courts, I was not a part of the defendant team; I was a representative of Greenpeace International. I had power of attorney, as somebody who provides the bail. So the defendant team was basing its position on the norms of law and the facts of the case. Under the present legislation, they were not supposed to apply any measures of pre-trial detention because, if the facts are established, they need to prove that this person will continue his unlawful actions or that there is risk of absconding. Neither of the facts were present, and neither of the facts were proven. However, at this stage we insisted on replacing the pre-trial detention by a lighter measure. Moreover, the custody in this case was not the best possible situation. I mean that the physical circumstances were not optimal. The cells were crowded, and they were not in line with the standards established by the European Court of Human Rights, and they were not in line with Russian legislation. So Colin Russell had his pre-trial detention period prolonged. However, all of a sudden the prosecutor's	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	judges and say, could you please delay the sitting, just to give me an opportunity to be present in all the 30 sittings. But I managed, I coped. As a result, we had one prolongation of the pre-trial detention, and 29 bails. Later on, it took us several weeks to lodge appeals, and in Colin Russell's case, the court decision was changed, he was freed from pre-trial detention, and he was freed on bail. Subsequently, by halfway through December, the amnesty it was a planned exercise, the amnesty in connection with the anniversary of the Russian Constitution, and up to the very last moment, I was monitoring the development of the events, and on the side of the state Duma of the Russian Federation, we did not see any mention of the Arctic Sunrise case, so were not sure that the amnesty will be applicable to the Arctic 30 activist cases. At the very end, Article 213.2, so hooliganism, was included into the amnesty, thus including the Arctic Sunrise activists. Looking at the historical facts of similar amnesties, I can conclude that amnesty for those

		<u>r</u>	
12:16 1	this is the first case, it has never happened before.	12:20 1	MR BURMESTER: Mr Suchkov, thank you for that information,
12.10 1	So this criminal case was stopped first for each of the	12.20 1	it was very valuable. Can I ask you a couple of things?
		3	The charge of piracy was changed to a charge of
3	30 activists separately, because of the amnesty.		hooliganism. I think you at one stage said that it was
4	So the conclusion is that the Russian Federation	4	
5	state believes them to be guilty, however the amnesty	5	a mild article, or a less serious offence than piracy.
6	applies to them, and the Arctic 30, at the very end of	6	Is hooliganism the sort of offence that protestors are
7	December of that year, received their belongings, and	7	regularly charged with, or is it an unusual offence, in
8	they could go home.	8	your experience, for conduct like this?
9	The criminal proceedings went on for another year,	9	A. Well, yes, my first answer is hooliganism is a less
10	and on September 24th 2014 was stopped, so there had	10	grave offence than piracy. This is the conclusion
11	been some investigative actions, and as far as I knew,	11	I make judging from the possible consequences: talking
12	from my contacts with investigators, I received minimal	12	about piracy, we are talking ten years in prison, while
13	information, I understood that there were expert	13	hooliganism, we are talking about five years in prison.
14	opinions asked also concerning the equipment and	14	Frequently, the article is applied well, yes, you are
15	instruments, they received the expert's opinion about	15	quite right, this is the article which is used in
16	USB sticks, telephones, and so on and so forth.	16	uncertain cases. This is the article applied to
17	It took the time until the end of the criminal	17	activists, and different protests in connection with
18	proceedings, so I will reiterate that a year after the	18	political or economic protests, or strikes.
19	proceedings were stopped, only one year, under the	19	Talking about not applicability but the legal
20	Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, the term has to	20	science, I would say that this article is a point of
21	be prolonged and the prolongation can take place under	21	contention among criminal scientists, and if you forgive
22	certain conditions, so you need the explanation. So up	22	me, sometimes they say that this is the bin of the
23	to a year, the prolongation can be done by the authority	23	Criminal Code, because they throw everything in it which
24	that conducted the proceedings in the beginning, that is	24	you cannot bring under something else.
25	the north-west department of the Investigative	25	MR BURMESTER: Thank you for that. Can I ask you a further
	Page 69		Page 71
	1 450 09		1 450 / 1
12:18 1	Committee.	12:22 1	question? You have mentioned some of the conditions in
12:18 1	Committee. After that, the prolongation was up to the central	12:22 1	question? You have mentioned some of the conditions in terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly
			-
2	After that, the prolongation was up to the central	2	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly
2 3	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow.	2 3	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation
2 3 4	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility,	2 3 4	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there
2 3 4 5	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask	2 3 4	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly
2 3 4 5 6	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary	2 3 4 5 6	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being
2 3 4 5 6 7	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the	2 3 4 5 6 7	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you. A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee, by the PR department of the Investigative Committee.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee, by the PR department of the Investigative Committee.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in this case.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee, by the PR department of the Investigative Committee.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in this case. This concludes my examination of the witness,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee.</li> <li>I can state that this had an impact on the case.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in this case. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee.</li> <li>I can state that this had an impact on the case.</li> <li>I talked to the investigators, and you know, I used to be an investigator myself in extremely grave cases, and</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow. Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer. If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events. Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in this case. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President. THE PRESIDENT: Would any of my colleagues want to ask	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee.</li> <li>I can state that this had an impact on the case. I talked to the investigators, and you know, I used to be an investigator myself in extremely grave cases, and I investigated serious crimes, and I can say that the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>After that, the prolongation was up to the central authority of the Investigative Committee in Moscow.</li> <li>Either Moscow did not want to take the responsibility, or the north-western department did not dare to ask Moscow; nevertheless, that was the end of the primary proceeding. So I believe if it were not for the necessity to prolong the investigative term, the case would have been dragged out for longer.</li> <li>If I have missed something, I would be happy to add. So these are the facts which were stated in the statement of facts, which I signed. However, there is also the question of assessment of certain events.</li> <li>Being the head of the lawyers' team, and being an expert in the criminal law in the Russian Federation, I am quite happy to give you my opinion on the legal aspect of the mentioned events. Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr Suchkov. I think this suffices for now, as an introduction of the events that you witnessed in this case.</li> <li>This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would any of my colleagues want to ask a question?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>terms of transporting the Arctic 30. We have a fairly full account of the Russian authorities' investigation and some of their decisions and so on. Is there anything that struck you at the time as particularly unusual in the way in which the Arctic 30 were being processed? There was certainly a lot of them, and so that no doubt imposed certain additional complexities. But is there anything unusual that you would particularly draw attention to in the way in which the Arctic 30 were processed? Thank you.</li> <li>A. You know, the case was unusual, because it was the centre of attention of the press and the mass media. At the time, it was the most frequently commented case, commented by the authority, including the President and the President's administration. Almost on a weekly basis, the commentary was provided by the Investigative Committee. I can state that this had an impact on the case. I talked to the investigators, and you know, I used to be an investigator myself in extremely grave cases, and I investigators were not free to take their own decisions.</li> </ul>

12:24 1	order, even simple things, like scheduling the	12:28 1	navigating around the platform, trying not to break the
2	interviews. So these are the decisions which	12.20 1	three mile boundary. Talking about the RHIBs, if they
3	an investigator takes independently himself, in a swift	3	entered the 500m area, well, we need to consider the
4	manner.	4	issue of the security and safety of the platform. We
5	In this case, investigators asked for delays, asked	5	shouldn't be looking at whether they entered the zone or
6	for breaks, and sometimes, a decision could have been	6	not, we need to be looking at the issue of safety, did
7	taken in a split second, but it still took them several	7	they violate the safety of the platform or not?
8	days to take this decision.	8	We are talking about inflatable boats, so this is in
9	I will repeat myself, the final steps of the case	9	fact a big inflatable RHIBs, so potentially they
10	are also very unusual, and the last stages of the	10	couldn't have been of any danger to the platform. It is
11	amnesty were quite unusual, and the list of the articles	10	like one of those inflatable rubber bumpers between the
12	on which the amnesty would apply, including hooliganism,	12	boat and the quay. So the protest action of some of
13	was unusual.	13	those Arctic 30 activists were not a threat to the
	MR BURMESTER: Thank you. I have nothing further.	14	safety of the platform. There was no real damage.
	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr President, if I am allowed, I would	15	There was no threat and the threat did not materialise.
16	like to ask the witness for his comment. This is	16	DR SÁNCHEZ: I would like to ask the witness if what he just
17	exactly with a date, when this area, zone, closed to the	17	said about the situation of the 500m area, and the fact
18	navigation, extending 500m around Prirazlomnaya, was	18	that there were no sanctions in force provided by the
19	established. Because in the order of	19	legislation up to that time, were these arguments that
20	24th September 2014, we are having a statement, and	20	were used in the criminal proceedings by the Arctic 30
21	I quote:	21	through their lawyers?
22	"Pursuant to the notice to marines no 6623 dated	22	A. These arguments were used, and these arguments were
23	10th December 2011 by the Department of Navigation and	23	voiced, and the arguments were reiterated, they were
24	Oceanography of the Russian Federation, Ministry of	24	repeated in our pleadings, when we voiced the protest
25	Defence, an area deemed dangerous for navigation had	25	against the arrest of the people and against the seizure
	Page 73		Page 75
12:26 1	been established within the radius of three miles from	12:30 1	of the vessel.
2	Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone	2	They were not the first line arguments, I must say.
2 3	Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms."	2 3	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of
2 3 4	Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such	2 3 4	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone</li><li>closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms."</li><li>In your opinion, really this notice created such</li><li>a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian
2 3 4 5 6	Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.	2 3 4 5 6	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li><li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li><li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions envisaged</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions envisaged for entering this zone. There are still no sanctions for that. The state Duma of the Russian Federation is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should apply a different order than what was being applied at
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions envisaged for entering this zone. There are still no sanctions for that. The state Duma of the Russian Federation is discussing this bill and they are planning to introduce</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should apply a different order than what was being applied at the time.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions for that. The state Duma of the Russian Federation is discussing this bill and they are planning to introduce this law, and prescribe sanctions for violating the law.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should apply a different order than what was being applied at the time. DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions envisaged for entering this zone. There are still no sanctions for that. The state Duma of the Russian Federation is discussing this bill and they are planning to introduce</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should apply a different order than what was being applied at the time.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Prirazlomnaya, including the establishment of a zone closed to navigation extending 500m from the platforms." In your opinion, really this notice created such a zone or not? I would be very grateful for your reaction to this question.</li> <li>A. Right, thank you very much for your question. To my opinion, the zone prohibited to navigation was not established. This particular document was meant to ensure the safety for the navigation, and I know what I am talking about, because my first education is the marine education, and I served in the commercial fleet. This document has a role of recommendation. The third miles were determined by the nature of the vessels, I mean the inertia of a seafaring vessel prescribes three miles, so this is the so-called good seamanship. This is something you need to do to ensure the safety and security.</li> <li>500m is not something you need, something that will prohibit navigation. There are no sanctions for that. The state Duma of the Russian Federation is discussing this bill and they are planning to introduce this law, and prescribe sanctions for violating the law.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	They were not the first line arguments, I must say. The first line arguments said that the jurisdiction of the Russian Federation did not apply to this particular area. This was outside the waters of the Russian Federation, the sovereignty of the Russian Federation ended there, because the sovereignty of the Russian Federation is valid for a certain area. The exclusive economic zone is governed by a dedicated regime and this is what we said. There is just one article envisaging very minor sanctions, and this is the violation of the order in the exclusive economic zones. It is prohibited to fish, it is prohibited to hunt on sea animals, it is prohibited to conduct scientific research, and to mine underground resources. So under Russian criminal court, there are sanctions for these violations. Everything else falls under the jurisdiction of the international law, and the international law is applicable in that area. This is something we wrote in our appeals, and anyway we should apply a different order than what was being applied at the time. DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.

10.20 1	ather mostions in the light of the answers that he has	14.02 1	DDOEE880D I EEEDED. Thankson
12:32 1 2	other questions in the light of the answers that he has given to these questions?	14:03 1	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you. Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER
2	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. No, at this	23	Q. Good afternoon, Mr Vasilyev. Thank you for agreeing to
	stage, we don't have any further questions for this		
4		4	testify in these proceedings.
5	witness. Thank you.	5	With the permission of the Tribunal I would like to
6	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. So we can then	6	give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the
7	ask you to step down, but as I have said before, we	7	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness
8	would like you are you going to be around in Vienna	8	statement dated 29th August 2014. (Handed).
9	or are you going to go away?	9	Mr Vasilyev, could you please take a look at the
10	A. I have to leave, and I would like to request the court	10	three documents that have just been put in front of you
11	to relieve me. I will be available to provide	11	and confirm that you are the author of the witness
12	additional clarifications by video conference.	12	statement?
13	THE PRESIDENT: Yes. If necessary, we will ask you further	13	A. Yes, I confirm that I am indeed the author.
14	questions by video conference. But for the moment, you	14	Q. Thank you, Mr Vasilyev. In your written statement, you
15	can stand down. Thank you very much.	15	confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of
16	A. Thank you.	16	facts are true and accurate. The addendum and
17	THE PRESIDENT: Does that bring us to the end of your	17	corrigendum thereto contain additional information and
18	presentation this morning? We are a little ahead of	18	adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the
19	time, but I think we have all ended, so I would suggest	19	statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the
20	that we break for lunch, and come back at 2.00 and	20	addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the
21	hopefully we will be able to make progress, to continue	21	best of your knowledge?
22	with the examination of witnesses?	22	A. I confirm the accuracy of the paragraphs indicated in my
23	So we break now for lunch, and come back at 2.00.	23	statement of facts, with all the qualifications and
24	Thank you very much.	24	reservations made by me at the time I signed that
25	(12.34 pm)	25	statement.
	Page 77		Page 79
12:34 1	(Adjourned until 2.00 pm)	14:05 1	Q. Thank you. Could you now please explain your personal
12:34 1 2	(Adjourned until 2.00 pm) (2.00 pm)	14:05 1 2	Q. Thank you. Could you now please explain your personal involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic
2	(2.00 pm)	2	involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic
2 3	(2.00 pm) THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please	2 3	involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest
2 3 4	(2.00 pm) THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?	2 3 4	involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>(2.00 pm)</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li><li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called)</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>(2.00 pm)</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li><li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called)         <ul> <li>(Evidence interpreted)</li> </ul> </li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li><li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Action of the area of the accurate?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the seizure of the vessel by Judge Krivonosov. In addition</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law. In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the seizure of the vessel by Judge Krivonosov. In addition to that, our company and I am providing comments in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law. In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the seizure of the vessel by Judge Krivonosov. In addition to that, our company and I am providing comments in the framework of paragraph 83 analysed the decision</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law.</li> <li>In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the seizure of the vessel by Judge Krivonosov. In addition to that, our company and I am providing comments in the framework of paragraph 83 analysed the decision to initiate administrative punishment for the captain of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I open the session again. Could you please call the next witness?</li> <li>MR SERGEY VASILYEV (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, and good afternoon. The Kingdom of the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Sergey</li> <li>Aleksandrovich Vasilyev. Mr Vasilyev is an associate with the Sokolov, Maslov and Partners law firm in Moscow that provided legal services to Stichting Greenpeace counsel in connection with the arrest of the Arctic Sunrise in the Russian Federation. His testimony will also be directed to his involvement in the legal proceedings in the Russian Federation on behalf of Greenpeace.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I will ask you, please, to read the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>involvement in the legal proceedings against the Arctic Sunrise and the persons on board following the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Thank you. As a lawyer of the legal law firm Sokolov, Maslov and Partners, at the request of Greenpeace International, together with my colleagues in the law firm I provided legal assistance for the early release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel. Our firm and Greenpeace International were limited solely to maritime matters, because our company specialises in the area of maritime law. In the framework of this activity, we conducted a number of legal actions that were laid down in the statement of facts, and I confirm them subsequently.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?</li> <li>A. First of all, I have to confirm the fact that on October 7th 2013 there was a decision passed on the seizure of the vessel by Judge Krivonosov. In addition to that, our company and I am providing comments in the framework of paragraph 83 analysed the decision</li> </ul>

Page 80

14:08 1	made efforts using our powers as representatives for the	14:12 1	not being asked to give an opinion. We are asking you
2	company, Stichting Phoenix, which is the owner of the	2	whether you found that this was regular or usual, in the
3	vessel. We sent numerous applications and papers to the	3	circumstances of the case.
4	Investigative Committee to ensure an early release of	4	A. One second, I have to give some thought to your
5	the vessel.	5	question. (Pause). Mr President, thank you very much
6	In addition to that, at the request of our client we	6	for your question. Regarding the accuracy of the facts
7	put together an appeal drawing the attention of a number	7	contained in the statement of facts, I do confirm that
8	of governmental bodies to the fact that the Arctic	8	we made efforts to ensure early access to the vessel by
9	Surrise vessel held at the Murmansk port is there	9	the technical personnel or surveyors who would be
10	without proper technical assistance, creating a risk to	10	authorised to establish the technical state of the
10	the environment.	10	vessel.
11	Also in the framework of our activity, we interacted	11	It is true that on the part of the Russian
12	with the Investigative Committee to ensure an early	12	authorities we received answers that the safety of the
13	release of the Arctic Sunrise vessel.	13	vessel was provided for to the full extent necessary.
15	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Vasilyev. This concludes	15	I would like to emphasise once again that, from
16	my examination of the witness, Mr President.	16	a legal point of view, I cannot [testify as to whether]
10	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. May I ask if my	10	non-access to the vessel is a typical or unusual
18	colleagues have any questions?	17	practice. The question that you asked to me, I believe
18	Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	18	that this is a question that pertains to the case
20	MR BURMESTER: Mr Vasilyev, in some of the paragraphs of the	19 20	itself, because this is not a typical case, and that is
20	statement of facts, there are references to the attempts	20 21	why any generally acceptable facts cannot be used and no
21	to secure the release of the vessel, and applications	21	assessment can be made of them.
22	which were unsuccessful. The attitude of the		THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for that answer. I will
23	Investigative Committee was to reject access for	23 24	ask whether, in the light of this, you want to ask any
24 25	surveying and maintenance purposes, and they indicated	24 25	other questions by way of re-direct.
23	surveying and mannenance purposes, and mey indicated	23	other questions by way of re-direct.
	Page 81		Page 83
14:10 1	that safety would be assured by the Russian authorities,	14:15 1	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. At this stage,
14:10 1	that safety would be assured by the Russian authorities, and that there was therefore no reason for access for	14:15 1	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. At this stage, we don't have any further questions for this witness.
2	and that there was therefore no reason for access for	2	we don't have any further questions for this witness.
2 3	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.	2 3	we don't have any further questions for this witness. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.
2 3 4	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this	2 3 4	we don't have any further questions for this witness. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes,	2 3 4 5	we don't have any further questions for this witness. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then. THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are
2 3 4 5 6	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance. In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the behaviour of any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the Russian legislation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the Bussian legislation. And I am not authorised to provide any advice or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the Behaviour of any persons in the framework of the Russian legislation. And I am not authorised to provide any advice or consultations regarding Russian law.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the Behaviour of any persons in the framework of the Russian legislation. And I am not authorised to provide any advice or consultations regarding Russian law.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. Please could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the Behaviour of any persons in the framework of the Russian legislation. And I am not authorised to provide any advice or consultations regarding Russian law.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: Thank you for that, I understand your answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>and that there was therefore no reason for access for surveying and maintenance.</li> <li>In your experience as a maritime lawyer, was this the sort of attitude you would have expected where ships have been detained for criminal investigation purposes, that the Russian authorities would normally assume responsibility for maintaining safety and not allow access by the owner for those purposes? Or was this unusual in your experience?</li> <li>A. Mr President, members of the Tribunal, I would like to specifically emphasise the fact that I am appearing here as a witness that is to say as a physical person who can confirm a statement of certain facts contained in this statement of facts. My procedural status is a witness; I am here in this capacity. And sir, in this capacity, I don't take it upon myself to provide comments [on] inappropriateness of the behaviour of any persons in the framework of the Russian legislation. And I am not authorised to provide any advice or consultations regarding Russian law.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: Thank you for that, I understand your answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nevertheless, I think that the question you were asked was whether this was a regular thing, a usual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>we don't have any further questions for this witness.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: In that case we will ask you, if you are going to be in Vienna, to be available for the possibility that we may ask you any further questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Mr President, you mean in the course of tomorrow? Is this my understanding?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, this is not a problem for me.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much then.</li> <li>Do you intend to call any further witnesses?</li> <li>MR PETER WILLCOX (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your presence.</li> <li>I would like to ask you to kindly make the declaration which is on your desk.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. Please could you ask him to identify himself for the record?</li> </ul>

14:19	1	Mr President, the Kingdom of the Netherlands would	14:22 1	A. So I should go paragraph by paragraph?
14.19		like to introduce the witness testimony of Mr Peter	14.22 1	Q. You don't have to refer specifically to the paragraphs.
	2 3	Henry Willcox. Mr Willcox was the captain of the Arctic	3	I would like you to give a description of the events as
		Sunrise during the protest action on 18th September 2013	4	
	4			you experienced them, and that then correspond with
	5	against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be	5	those paragraphs.
	6	directed to his overall responsibility as the master of	6	A. Well, we approached the Prirazlomnaya, I believe, on the
	7	the vessel.	7	morning of the 18th, at approximately 4.00 in the
	8	Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	8	morning. We sent five small boats to the rig, with the
	9	Q. Good afternoon, Mr Willcox. Could you please identify	9	intention of putting a banner up on the side of the rig.
	10	yourself?	10	-
	11	A. My name is Peter Willcox. I was captain of the Arctic	11	year before. I was not there the year before, but we
	12	Sunrise at the time of the action.	12	
	13	Q. Thank you. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these	13	
	14	proceedings.	14	
	15	With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to	15	
	16	give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the	16	0
	17	addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness	17	
	18	statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).	18	e a
	19	Mr Willcox, will you just take a look at the three	19	•
	20	documents that were put in front of you and confirm that	20	
	21	you are the author of the witness statement?	21	get lines up to various points. The climbers
	22	A. Yes, sir, I am the author of the witness statement.	22	Miss Saarela went up one side, Mr Weber went up the
	23	Q. Thank you, Mr Willcox. In your witness statement, you	23	other side. Miss Saarela didn't came down again, and
	24	confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of	24	then joined Mr Weber on the single side of the rig.
	25	facts are true and accurate. The addendum and	25	During this time, the reaction of the Russian forces
		D 95		Dec. 07
		Page 85		Page 87
14:20	1	corrigendum thereto contain additional information and	14:24 1	was dramatically more aggressive than we had anticipated
14:20	2	adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the	14:24 1	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the
14:20		adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the		or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of
14:20	2 3 4	adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the	2 3 4	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig.
14:20	2 3	adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?	2 3	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never
14:20	2 3 4	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my</li></ul>	2 3 4	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They
14:20	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner.
14:20	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li><li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board.
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways.
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north,
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all.
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. As in this statement here?</li> <li>Q. The statement makes reference to the paragraphs that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all. It seemed like a logical thing to do to calm the
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. As in this statement here?</li> <li>Q. The statement makes reference to the paragraphs that you have confirmed to be true and accurate, and what I am</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all. It seemed like a logical thing to do to calm the situation down, also because we were interested in
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. As in this statement here?</li> <li>Q. The statement makes reference to the paragraphs that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all. It seemed like a logical thing to do to calm the situation down, also because we were interested in getting our two colleagues back on board from the
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. As in this statement here?</li> <li>Q. The statement makes reference to the paragraphs that you have confirmed to be true and accurate, and what I am</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all. It seemed like a logical thing to do to calm the situation down, also because we were interested in getting our two colleagues back on board from the
14:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, the statements are correct to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir. I was master of the Arctic Sunrise. I am responsible for everything that happens on and off the boat.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr Willcox, could you then describe in your own words the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the question again, please?</li> <li>Q. The question is whether you could describe, in your own words, the facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate.</li> <li>A. As in this statement here?</li> <li>Q. The statement makes reference to the paragraphs that you have confirmed to be true and asking you to do is to describe in your own words what</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	or experienced the year before. I don't think that the climbers spent more than 10 or 15 minutes on the side of the rig. I would like to emphasise again that it was never our intention for the climbers to go on the rig. They were to go up the side and unfurl a banner. They came down, Miss Saarela and Mr Weber were picked up by a coastguard boat from their lines, from one of the small boats, and taken to the coastguard cutter. The rest of the small boats returned to the Arctic Sunrise, where we put them on board. Later that morning, the Russian coastguard vessel announced that they wished to board us for an inspection. We declined the offer. They spent an hour or so firing shots across our bow and threatening us in other ways. At about noon, we moved 20 miles off to the north, away from the rig, with the idea of cooling the whole situation down. We had been stunned by their aggressive reaction. We weren't prepared or expecting it at all. It seemed like a logical thing to do to calm the situation down, also because we were interested in getting our two colleagues back on board from the

Pages 85 to 88

14:25 1	discussion might be possible when we pulled away from	14:29 1 mate on watch at the time told me that we had been told
14.25 1	discussion might be possible when we pulled away from	2 of an inspection team, but I honestly can't remember
2	the rig. We pulled away from the rig. After five hours the	3 what he said or not.
4		
	Russian coastguard boat stopped answering the radio. So we went back and circled the rig at a distance of	<ul> <li>4 PROFESSOR SOONS: My second question concerns your position</li> <li>5 as the master of the Arctic Sunrise, and of course also</li> </ul>
5		
6	between three and four miles, until the following	6 in that position supervising the activities of the RHIBs
7	afternoon, when at about 6.00 some troops rappelled out	7 that had gone to the platform. Also based perhaps on
8	of a helicopter on to the deck of the Arctic Sunrise and	8 your previous experience, what were in your view the
9	took control of it.	9 known effects of such an action near and on trying to
10	The Arctic Sunrise the next day was towed to	10 climb the platform for the operations going on or the
11	Murmansk, when we were taken ashore and arrested for	11 activities going on on the platform? What would be the
12	piracy.	12 effect of that happening for what was going on on the
13	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Willcox. This completes	13 platform?
14	my examination of the witness, Mr President.	14 A. Well, the effects of our demonstration would have no
15	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Do my colleagues have	15 effect on the activities of the platform. The climbers
16	any questions?	16 were never intending to set foot on top of the platform.
17	Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	17 They were going to restrict their location to the sides
18	PROFESSOR SOONS: Mr Willcox, I have two questions relating	18 of the platform. It had never been our intention to
19	to your part and the Greenpeace statement of facts that	19 disrupt the production in any way or approach any person
20	you are a witness to. The first deals with what is	20 on the platform. It was simply to go on the side and
21	stated in paragraph 46 of the Greenpeace statement of	21 hang a banner. Because of our experience the year
22	facts. My question to you is: did you, as master of the	before, we suspected that they would fire water hoses at
23	vessel, have any uncertainty about the status of the	us, and a few other things like that, but we did not at
24	approaching helicopter and the people descending from	any time expect to actually disrupt the production on
25	the helicopter, what their status and intent were?	the platform.
	Page 89	Page 91
14.27 1	A No obsolutely no idea. At the time the helicenter	14:21 1 MD DUDMESTED: Mr Willook, you say you ware in charge of all
14:27 1	A. No, absolutely no idea. At the time the helicopter	14:31 1 MR BURMESTER: Mr Willcox, you say you were in charge of all
2	approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some	2 communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs
2 3	approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,	<ul><li>2 communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li><li>3 from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li><li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out</li></ul>	<ul> <li>2 communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>3 from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>4 communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li><li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>2 communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>3 from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>4 communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>5 A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li><li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li><li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li></ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li><li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li><li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li><li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li><li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li><li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li><li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on</li></ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there, I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter. There were no markings on the helicopter that I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at</li> <li>first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> <li>very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board. Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board. Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> <li>very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> <li>very we could not identify who was coming on board. Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board. Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the helicopter was carrying this inspection team?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> <li>put it that way, between Arctic Sunrise RHIBs and RHIBs</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the helicopter was carrying this inspection team?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the helicopter was carrying this inspection team?</li> <li>A. I would suppose so. I was not on the bridge when that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> <li>put it that way, between Arctic Sunrise RHIBs and RHIBs</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> <li>very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the helicopter was carrying this inspection team?</li> <li>A. I would suppose so. I was not on the bridge when that radio call was received. The first I knew about it was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> <li>put it that way, between Arctic Sunrise RHIBs and RHIBs</li> <li>from the Russian ship, ramming and attempts to stop the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>approached, I was down in the gym, trying to get some exercise. I was called to the bridge. I got there,</li> <li>I believe, shortly before the first person rappelled out of the helicopter.</li> <li>There were no markings on the helicopter that</li> <li>I could see. We naturally suspected it was some sort of</li> <li>Russian military helicopter, and the troops that came on board the boat were completely unidentified, which actually caused a bit of nervousness on our part at first. They had no insignia whatsoever, so it was</li> <li>very we could not identify who was coming on board.</li> <li>Some of the crew suspected they were a private security force. I am not sure I ever was told officially who they represented, whether they were coastguard or FSB or whatever.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: In paragraph 46 it states that there was a message from the Ladoga to heave to and to receive an inspection team. Didn't you expect that the helicopter was carrying this inspection team?</li> <li>A. I would suppose so. I was not on the bridge when that radio call was received. The first I knew about it was when I was told that they were hovering overhead. And</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>communications to and from the ship, and with the RHIBs</li> <li>from the Arctic Sunrise. Were you in constant</li> <li>communication with them and giving them instructions?</li> <li>A. No, I actually was not giving instructions to the RHIBs;</li> <li>that would have been the job of the action coordinator</li> <li>at the scene. I have to okay the plan, and send them on</li> <li>their way, but because the Arctic Sunrise was three</li> <li>miles from the RHIB I did not try to direct the action</li> <li>itself. It was too far for me to see. It was</li> <li>impossible for me to know what was going on at the time.</li> <li>It would be an illogical job role for me to try to</li> <li>fulfil, from three miles away.</li> <li>So I had a very good idea of what they were going to</li> <li>do; I had worked with most of the people on board</li> <li>before. But as to actually directing the action, it was</li> <li>not my job. My responsibility, yes, but not my job.</li> <li>MR BURMESTER: I understand that. In terms of having</li> <li>an understanding of what they might do, there are</li> <li>reports that there were obviously "clashes", if I can</li> <li>put it that way, between Arctic Sunrise RHIBs and RHIBs</li> <li>from the Russian ship, ramming and attempts to stop the</li> <li>Russians preventing the people getting up. So there was</li> </ul>

		1	
14:33 1	A. Well, I think yes, we would have the again, I will	14:36 1	responsible for everything that happens on and off the
2	say that we were surprised by the reaction of the	2	boat.
3	Russian forces, because of what had happened the year	3	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
4	before. Certainly they knew us, they had been on board	4	DR SÁNCHEZ: So I wonder whether you were then in touch
5	the boat two weeks before, this same coastguard vessel.	5	permanently with the five boats once they departed the
6	They knew who we were, they knew we didn't have weapons.	6	vessel.
7	We expected water hoses and maybe a few things to be	7	A. I believe I was in touch with the three bigger boats.
8	thrown off the rig. We did not expect the Russian boats	8	The boats used two different sizes of radio. The bigger
9	to start cutting our RHIBs with knives or firing	9	boats have a 25-watt VHF radio which has a range
10	machine-guns near our position. That was a complete	10	given that antenna and situation of generally between
11	surprise.	11	15 and 20 miles.
12	Does that answer your question?	12	The smaller boats use a 5-watt radio and a much
13	MR BURMESTER: Yes, thank you.	13	smaller antenna. It is more than likely at a distance
14	THE PRESIDENT: Mr Willcox, where exactly were you during	14	of three miles, especially if they were on the back side
15	this whole exercise?	15	of the rig, I would not have communication with them.
16	A. I was on the bridge of the ship at a distance of about 3	16	But I certainly did have communication with most of the
17	or 3.5 miles away.	17	boats at the site of the rig.
18	THE PRESIDENT: So how were you able to see what was	18	DR SÁNCHEZ: Were they under instructions to report to you
19	happening?	19	whatever happened?
20	A. I couldn't see what was happening. I was only listening	20	A. No, not necessarily. They were keeping us loosely
21	to the radio and trying to judge what was happening from	21	appraised. We heard about the machine-gun fire. But
22	what I was hearing on the radio.	22	the job of the activists at the rig was to get the
23	THE PRESIDENT: So what you are telling us is, according to	23	climbers up and in position, and that's what they were
24	you, what you heard on the radio?	24	working on doing. They did not have a mandate to report
25	A. Yes, I was not able to see what was going on.	25	back to me at any specific time.
	Page 93		Page 95
	rage 95		
	Ũ		
14.34 1		14.38 1	
14:34 1	THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your	14:38 1	DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the
2	THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure	2	DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?
2 3	THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had	2 3	DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities? A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on
2 3 4	THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?	2 3 4	<ul><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities? A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li><li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li><li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li><li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Obviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dobviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the 500-metre zone?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description, sent by email to the office, so that they know what's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the 500-metre zone?</li> <li>A. No, it was anticipated that the small boats would cross</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description, sent by email to the office, so that they know what's going on. And I certainly did that in this case.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the 500-metre zone?</li> <li>A. No, it was anticipated that the small boats would cross the 500-metre limit. That was always the plan.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description, sent by email to the office, so that they know what's going on. And I certainly did that in this case.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You did?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the 500-metre zone?</li> <li>A. No, it was anticipated that the small boats would cross the 500-metre limit. That was always the plan.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description, sent by email to the office, so that they know what's going on. And I certainly did that in this case.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You did?</li> <li>A. I would expect so.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You have already said that it was your responsibility, but it was not your function, to ensure that they were acting according to the plan that had been approved by you?</li> <li>A. That would be correct. We go through quite a rigorous action proposal. That gets discussed in Amsterdam, it gets discussed on the ship. In this particular case, Amsterdam had requested or expected the ship to stay outside the 500-metre area around the rig. I chose to stay outside the three-mile area because I was hoping it would keep the situation cooler. I was hoping that, if we didn't get even close with the ships, the reaction from the Russian forces would be more relaxed and not so aggressive.</li> <li>Dbviously, that was a dramatic miscalculation on my part. But that's why I stayed so far away.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: If they were going to hoist something, a banner, on the platform, how were they going to be able to do that at the same time without crossing the 500-metre zone?</li> <li>A. No, it was anticipated that the small boats would cross the 500-metre limit. That was always the plan.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Not even whatever reaction came from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. Well, they did let us know. I mean, I was aware from on the ship that there was machine-gun fire, knives, guns being pointed at people. So I was aware what was going on.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You were aware of whatever communication the RHIBs received from the Russian authorities?</li> <li>A. If the message had been over the VHF radio, yes, I think I would have known about it. I'm not aware of any such messages. I honestly, sir, can't remember</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Or orders?</li> <li>A. Yes, I don't remember any. But if it had come over the radio, I probably would have heard it, but I wasn't aware of one.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Were you making notations of everything that was happening?</li> <li>A. No, it's my practice not to do that. I generally write it down shortly after the action, and do a description, sent by email to the office, so that they know what's going on. And I certainly did that in this case.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: You did?</li> </ul>

-		I	
14:39 1	A. I can't remember what I put in the email, sir.	14:43 1	that things were said; I just don't have the detailed
2	I suspect that I would have loosely estimated the time.	2	information to know what was said between our RHIBs and
3	But a detailed record as to what happened when, I did	3	the Russian coastguard.
4	not keep written on the bridge.	4	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President.
5	DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much. I am satisfied.	5	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think that we will,
6	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I understand that the first contact	6	as I said, ask you to be available, because we may want
7	between Arctic Sunrise and the patrol boat Volga were	7	to ask you any further questions. We may also not ask
8	already established on the 16th and 17th, before the	8	you, but we just want you to be available.
9	18th, yes?	9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr President.
10	A. Yes, that's correct.	10	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed.
11	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: The Russian officers from the patrol	11	MR DIMITRI LITVINOV (called)
12	ship Volga, in their testimony it is stated that they	12	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming. I ask you
13	received it is not clear when; presumably on the	13	to identify yourself, and then you will also have to
14	17th certain information or assurance, it is not	14	
15	clear also whether from you or personally or from the	15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. My name is Dimitri
16	ship as such, that you don't have any intention to	16	
17	violate anything. Could you comment on this?	17	this case I was a lead campaigner on board the Arctic
18	A. I don't think that was said by me. I wouldn't it	18	
19	seems possible that it was said. When we were first	19	THE PRESIDENT: Please read the declaration first.
20	contacted by the Russian coastguard boat we were	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Declaration for witnesses.
21	50 miles away, and we weren't being honest about our	21	I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that
22	intentions: we were actually on course for the Northern	22	I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
23	Sea route, and we were hoping to surprise them a little.	23	the truth, and that my statement will be in accordance
24	But with the equipment on board the boat, the	24	-
25	long-distance tracking devices that we voluntarily have	25	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Please, go ahead.
20		20	
	Page 97		Page 99
14:41 1	turned on all the time, it's virtually impossible to	14:47 1	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The Kingdom of
2	surprise any government about the location of the boat.	2	the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness
3	We have a VHF AIS which has a range of 20-30 miles, and	3	testimony of Mr Dimitri Litvinov. As Mr Litvinov
4	we have the Arctic long-sea long-range I believe	4	indicated, he was the lead campaigner on the Arctic
5	it's called an "AIS", and that's received by	5	Sunrise during the protest in September 2013 against the
6	governments. So anybody knows where we are.	6	Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be primarily directed
7	So we were a little bit ahead of schedule when we	7	to his responsibility for planning and executing the
8	got down near the rig, but it really didn't matter what	8	protest action.
9	we did: we were not going to surprise anybody, with all	9	Mr Litvinov remained on board the Arctic Sunrise
10	our tracking devices on.	10	during the entire protest action.
11	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Thank you for your answer.	11	Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER
12	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Do you want to ask any further	12	Q. Good afternoon, Mr Litvinov. Could you please identify
13	questions? Yes, please.	13	yourself?
14	Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER	14	A. Yes, sir. My name is Dimitri Litvinov, and I am
15	Q. Thank you, I have two further questions. Mr Willcox,	15	an employee of Greenpeace Nordic. And I, as you have
16	you said you did not direct the action at the platform.	16	stated, was on board the Arctic Sunrise on behalf of
17	Could you please indicate who was responsible for	17	Greenpeace International in the role of a lead
18	directing the actions at the platform?	18	campaigner.
19	A. Yes, that would be Frank Hewetson, who is expected to	19	Q. Thank you, Mr Litvinov, and thank you for agreeing to
20	testify shortly.	20	testify in these proceedings. With the permission of
21		21	the Tribunal, I would like to give the witness a copy of
22	the best of your knowledge, of any orders being given by	22	the statement of facts, the addendum and corrigendum
23	the Russian coastguard to the RHIBs of the Arctic	23	thereto, and his witness statement dated
24	Sunrise when the action was ongoing at the platform?	24	26th August 2014. (Handed).
25	A. No, I wasn't aware of any such orders. I have no doubt	25	Mr Litvinov, could you please take a look at the
	Do co 09		Daga 100

14:48 1	three documents that were just put in front of you, and	14:53 1	headquarters of Gazprom and to the operators of the
2	confirm that you are the author of the witness	2	Prirazlomnaya platform.
3	statement?	3	Should I continue with the same level of detail?
4	A. Yes, sir, I hereby confirm that I am indeed the author	4	Q. Yes.
5	of the witness statement in front of me.	5	A. After the inflatables left the Arctic Sunrise, we also
6	Q. Thank you. In your witness statement you confirm that	6	observed activity on board the Russian coastguard
7	specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true	7	vessel, and the boats that were in the water confirmed
8	and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto	8	to us or reported to us that they were witnessing
9	contains additional information and adjustments. Can	9	preparations and indeed the launch of RHIBs from the
10	you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts,	10	coastguard vessel as well.
11	as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and	11	It's quite a distance to the platform from the
12	corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your	12	Arctic Sunrise, so I could not see very clearly what was
13	knowledge?	13	going on there. We were trying to observe it through
14	A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge, the contents of the	14	binoculars, but we did hear the reports on our radio
15	two documents are correct.	15	from our boats of the events.
16	Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement	16	Fairly soon, we heard the report from one of the
17	in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the	17	boats saying that the coastguard boats have arrived and
18	Prirazlomnaya?	18	they are interfering with our boats, and pretty soon
19	A. My primary role during that day was to remain on the	19	after that we heard that there are guns drawn and being
20	bridge of the ship, in consultation with the captain of	20	pointed at us, knives being drawn. We tried to
21	the ship, to coordinate receipt of information and	21	ascertain whether or not our boats are being detained,
22	transmit information to the activists at sea, as well as	22	but we were assured no.
23	to communicate with the coastguard vessel and with	22	It was also approximately the same point that it was
23 24	representatives of the Prirazlomnaya platform.	23 24	reported to us via radio that an attempt has been made
25	Q. Thank you. Then could you describe in your own words	25	to scale the rope that was deployed underneath the
25	Q. Thank you. Then could you describe in your own words	25	to scale the tope that was deployed underhead the
	Page 101		Page 103
14.50 1		14.55 1	
14:50 1	the facts that you have confirmed to be true and	14:55 1	helicopter pad on the platform. It was not immediately
2	accurate?	2	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard
2 3	accurate? A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document,	2 3	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that
2 3 4	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of</li></ul>	2 3 4	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told. At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>accurate?</li><li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li><li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats have been launched, and what we intend to do.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then at some point also, in consultation with we have
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats have been launched, and what we intend to do.</li> <li>At the same time, I took contact via satellite</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then at some point also, in consultation with we have decided that, okay, there is not much more we can do, we
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats have been launched, and what we intend to do.</li> <li>At the same time, I took contact via satellite telephone with my colleagues, who assured me that</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then at some point also, in consultation with we have decided that, okay, there is not much more we can do, we will call the boats back to the ship.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats have been launched, and what we intend to do.</li> <li>At the same time, I took contact via satellite</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then at some point also, in consultation with we have decided that, okay, there is not much more we can do, we
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>accurate?</li> <li>A. The sequence of events that is set out in this document, starting with a period before, actually, the arrival of the vessel at the area of the platform. Then the contacts that we had from the ship with the coastguard vessel before the arrival, as presented here, this is exactly as I remember it being told.</li> <li>At around quite early in the morning, around 4.30 or so, on the 18th, we have already been at the site for some time. And we were holding a pattern in a circle around Prirazlomnaya, about a distance of a bit more than three nautical miles.</li> <li>At that point, we launched inflatables, who were tasked with delivering climbers to the platform, where they were to scale underneath the structure beneath the helicopter pad and deploy banners that were designed to communicate a protest against the dangerous practice of oil drilling in the Arctic.</li> <li>At that point also we called the Prirazlomnaya on channel 16, and informed them of the fact that our boats have been launched, and what we intend to do.</li> <li>At the same time, I took contact via satellite telephone with my colleagues, who assured me that</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	clear to what extent it was successful. We heard a report that a climber is in the water, and then that a climber has been retrieved from the water. After some time, it was reported to us on the bridge that a climber is going up on to a line that is attached to the mooring lines on the other side of the platform. There were further reports that there is a dangerous activity being undertaken by the Russian coastguard which is threatening the climbers, and we were asked to communicate to the Russian coastguard vessel and to urge them to stop any activities that are endangering the activists. We did that: I called up the coastguard vessel with that request. Then we began receiving reports that there are shots being fired, and that a climber or both climbers were in the water, and then that the climbers were apprehended, were in the boats, in the RHIBs of the coastguard. A little bit before that time also at least one of the boats returned to the ship with some of the photo and video footage that was taken on the water. And then at some point also, in consultation with we have decided that, okay, there is not much more we can do, we will call the boats back to the ship.

-		1	
		17.00 1	
14:57 1	that took place earlier, which is that we also after	15:02 1	preventive fire.
2	the first attempt to scale the side of the platform	2	We responded that we felt that that was
3	began deployed a safety pod. That was a large	3	a disproportionate threat, and I tried to argue on the
4	it's a structure made of plastic that was being towed	4	radio with the representative of the coastguard, saying
5	behind one of the inflatable boats towards the platform.	5	that for a vessel of a country to even threaten and
6	The plan was to hoist it up on to one of the climbing	6	certainly to fire upon an unarmed vessel in
7	lines and use it as a shelter for the activists, as we	7	international waters is actually tantamount to an act of
8	had from previous experience expected that fire hoses	8	war, and that I encouraged them very much to check
9	and projectiles would be deployed against them, so as	9	with their superiors and make sure that they are
10	a protective measure. However, we did not manage to	10	actually allowed to do this, both to issue the threat
11	deliver the pod to the platform: very soon after leaving	11	and to actually fire.
12	the vicinity of our vessel, the towing line parted so we	12	We could observe this I should say that this
13	had to retrieve the pod.	13	threat was repeated on a number of occasions, and we
14	After the order was given to the boats to return, we	14	could observe that the coastguard vessel, while
15	began the process of retrieving the boats, putting them	15	maintaining the same course and the same distance from
16	back on board and how shall I put it? "emergency	16	us, pulled a little bit further ahead of us. And we
17	counselling", perhaps, for the crew. People were in	17	could see quite clearly that they were conducting
18	a bit of shock from having guns pointed at them. And	18	operations on the forward deck, removing the cover from
19	seeing what they felt was a very dangerous situation	19	a gun, which was then swivelled in our general
20	that was created for some of their colleagues, for the	20	direction; not pointing at the Arctic Sunrise as far as
21	climbers, and having knives stuck into the inflatables,	21	we could see, but in the general direction of Arctic
22	people were quite shaken up.	22	Sunrise.
23	But eventually the situation was stabilised. It was	23	The threat was repeated again after the guns seemed
24	clear that the action did not succeed, we did not manage	24 25	prepared. We replied in the same manner, saying that we
25	to do all we planned to do. We were kind of stable, but	25	do not believe that they have the right to board us
	Page 105		Page 107
		15.04 1	
15:00 1	we had the situation where two of our number was on	15:04 1	without invitation, we do not extend this invitation,
2	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think	2	and that this threat of violence is completely
2 3	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We	2 3	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that
2 3 4	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually	2 3 4	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in
2 3 4 5	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the	2 3 4 5	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being
2 3 4 5 6	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at	2 3 4 5 6	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime,
2 3 4 5 6 7	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop	2 3 4 5 6 7	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course and speed, fairly close, 50 metres, maybe 75, inside of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to follow that order, and they do not have the right to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course and speed, fairly close, 50 metres, maybe 75, inside of the circle between the Arctic Sunrise and the platform.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to follow that order, and they do not have the right to fire on an unarmed ship in international waters, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course and speed, fairly close, 50 metres, maybe 75, inside of the circle between the Arctic Sunrise and the platform. They repeated the order for us to stop our vessel and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to follow that order, and they do not have the right to fire on an unarmed ship in international waters, and that we want our crew members back, and then they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course and speed, fairly close, 50 metres, maybe 75, inside of the circle between the Arctic Sunrise and the platform. They repeated the order for us to stop our vessel and accept the inspection a number of times. And at some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to follow that order, and they do not have the right to fire on an unarmed ship in international waters, and that we want our crew members back, and then they would fire. Altogether I believe we counted 11 volleys that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	board the coastguard vessel. So we were trying to think about what will be the next step, what do we do. We need to get the people back, and we were actually preparing to call the coastguard vessel, to start the discussion. We were called by the coastguard vessel at some point, and they ordered us to heave to, or to stop the vessel, to refrain from launching any inflatables, to not scuttle the ship it seemed like they were reading from a piece of paper and to stand by for an inspection. After consultation, we have called them back and said that we do not believe that we have any reason to accept an inspection from their vessel, and we have asked them to return the detained members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise. At this point we were back in the holding pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the same distance as before, and the coastguard vessel was pacing us, matching our course and speed, fairly close, 50 metres, maybe 75, inside of the circle between the Arctic Sunrise and the platform. They repeated the order for us to stop our vessel and accept the inspection a number of times. And at some point they started saying that, unless we do this, then	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	and that this threat of violence is completely unacceptable. We also tried to impress upon them that everything that's happening here is not happening in secret, that everything that is being done is being documented and sent back to land, in a near live regime, going both to the authorities of the flag state, the Netherlands, as well as to the mass media. Then at some point they fired a volley. You could very clearly see the muzzle flashes and hear the reports of the guns. I should also add that in practically all of the responses to the demands from the Russian side, we would also add that we are demanding that they return two of the crew members that they had on board. This pattern continued after the first volley was fired. In other words, the coastguard would issue yet again the threat and the order, we would reply that we will not we do not believe that we are bound to follow that order, and they do not have the right to fire on an unarmed ship in international waters, and that we want our crew members back, and then they would fire. Altogether I believe we counted 11 volleys that were fired across the bow of our ship. This whole

15:06 1	Towards the end or after this sequence of events,	15:10 1	were not sure what would happen, and we were quite
2	at one point I was actually down in the mess, and I was	2	worried that we wanted to make sure that our support
3	called back up to the bridge because yet another contact	3	team on land was aware of this in case there would be no
4	was being requested by the coastguard. And this time	4	further communication later.
5	they issued a similar threat or a similar order,	5	But eventually the Russian vessel, instead of
6	rather. The threat was different: they said that,	6	opening fire, actually pulled up again so they were
7	unless we followed the order to heave to and accept	7	directly parallel with us, and then they resumed the
8	inspection, they would fire directly upon the ship; and	8	previous pattern of threatening to do warning fire
9	that we were advised to move the crew away from the	9	rather than direct fire on to the ship.
10	stern towards the forward section of our ship; and that	10	At a later point this became, as I said,
11	if we did not comply with this, and there were any	11	a pattern. But at a later point we were called up
12	damage to any person, that it would be our own	12	again, and it seemed it was a different tone, and we were asked if they were okay, we were informed that
13 14	responsibility.	13 14	
	We yet again tried to entreat with the Russian	14	the coastguard was willing to discuss the terms for the return of our crew members. I think it was put
15 16	coastguard that they do not do that, and that we tried to appeal both to our understanding of their	15	something like that they felt that the situation was
10	obligation under law, but also just to their conscience,	10	quite agitated so close to Prirazlomnaya so, if we
17	and say, "You know who we are, we're not we're doing	17	wanted to discuss this, they suggested that we proceed
18	this with open face. And you've been on board this ship	18	away from Prirazlomnaya and we could discuss it there.
20	two weeks before: you know there is no weapons here.	20	We immediately agreed. They provided us with a set of
20	You saw our boats, you saw the people in them. This	20	co-ordinates 20 miles north of the position of
21	is how can you actually threaten to shoot at us?"	21	Prirazlomnaya. We proceeded there, and were accompanied
22	And they basically just repeated the threat.	22	by the coastguard vessel the whole time.
23	At that point, I was requested by the captain to	23 24	When we arrived at the point, we called up the
25	inform them of the fact that on the aft deck, about	25	vessel and asked them what will be the process. You
		-	
	Page 109		Page 111
15:08 1	halfway between the stern and the midships area, where	15:11 1	know, we demanded again: we requested that our crew is
15:08 1 2	halfway between the stern and the midships area, where the hangar starts, are a number of barrels,	15:11 1 2	know, we demanded again: we requested that our crew is released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are
2	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels,	2	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are
2 3	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline	2 3	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet
2 3 4	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that	2 3 4	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow."
2 3 4 5	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious	2 3 4 5	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or
2 3 4 5 6	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that.	2 3 4 5 6	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then	2 3 4 5 6 7	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility for any damage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired, but it was almost identical language of threat that was
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility for any damage. We were also at the time quite feverishly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired, but it was almost identical language of threat that was being issued, and we would just respond saying that we
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility for any damage. We were also at the time quite feverishly communicating with our land base, informing them of	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired, but it was almost identical language of threat that was being issued, and we would just respond saying that we really want to talk about our people coming back.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility for any damage. We were also at the time quite feverishly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired, but it was almost identical language of threat that was being issued, and we would just respond saying that we
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	the hangar starts, are a number of barrels, silver-coloured barrels that contained gasoline petroleum, and to inform the coastguard of this and to ask them to please do their best, if they do fire at the ship, to avoid firing near those barrels, since that could lead to an explosion, and fire, and very serious damage and a very dangerous situation. I did that. Then you could see that the coastguard vessel then pulled back so that the guns were now lined up with the stern, and the guns swivelled again. We were quite nervous. The crew was ordered to evacuate the aft area and move forward. We sent some crew members to make sure that everyone was rounded up and indeed moved forward. The whole time we tried to keep discussion with the coastguard ship, trying to convince them to not shoot at the ship. But their response was basically just seemed like it was just being read from a piece of paper. It was mainly the same thing: that we are ordered to stop; if we don't, they will fire; and people need to move, otherwise it will be our responsibility for any damage. We were also at the time quite feverishly communicating with our land base, informing them of	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	released to us. And we were told that, "Well, we are not ready to discuss this now; we don't have orders yet from Moscow." We waited about an hour, I believe. We called up again, and we received an identical answer. So this pattern continued for a total of four or five hours or so. And eventually we said, "Okay, obviously this is not doing us any good." So we, in consultation, decided we would go back to Prirazlomnaya, in part because we felt that this perhaps would put a bit of pressure on to the coastguard to actually resolve the situation faster. Basically at that point we were ready to go home, the action was over. But we could not leave our people, of course. So we wanted to just get the people back and go back to Kirkenes. The coastguard did become quite agitated on the radio after we went back, and they followed us. And then it sort of went back for a bit to the same pattern. I don't believe that there were any more volleys fired, but it was almost identical language of threat that was being issued, and we would just respond saying that we really want to talk about our people coming back.

15:13 1	to mention before this. During the fairly early stage	15:17 1	Federation for a number of years, I had a number of
15.15 1	of the pattern of threats/volleys, we also contacted the	2	quite broad experience of working together with the
3	coastguard with a specific request to deliver medicine	3	security and armed forces in Russia, often in a very
4	to Sini Saarela, who is one of the two climbers on board	4	cooperative manner, but I did not recognise well,
4 5	the coastguard vessel. Sini Saarela has a medical	5	there were no insignia on the men, neither of rank nor
	-		of service branch. He was masked with a balaklava, and
6 7	condition which requires her to take regular medication.	6	
7	And I must say that the coastguard agreed immediately to	7	had a very big weapon. I am not a weapon expert, but it
8	accept that, and they instructed us to lower a boat and	8	didn't look familiar to me, from my best experience of
9	to deliver the package with medicine. We also	9	observing or working in Russia.
10	negotiated that we could deliver some vegan food and	10	He was just gesturing to the rest of us with the
11	some books to our crew on board their vessel.	11	weapon, without saying anything, just gesturing us away
12	In any event, going back to the sequence of events,	12	from the rope. And then more and more troopers started
13	after we returned from the point 20 miles north, and	13	coming down. I believe another line was deployed, so
14	fell into the pattern around Prirazlomnaya, the	14	there were at least two lines that the troopers were
15	situation yet again went back to stability. We didn't	15	coming down from. Then they started shouting in
16	know what was going to happen. We were, I guess,	16	Russian, "Get down, get down on deck". My concern was
17	waiting for the events to develop, and hoping that	17	to get to the bridge at that point as quickly as
18	enough public pressure was being generated	18	possible, partially to make sure that this new
19	internationally to speed the resolution of the problem	19	development is communicated to land as soon as possible,
20	with our crew aboard the coastguard vessel.	20	to make sure that that has happened, and also just to be
21	There was quite a lull. Basically nothing was	21	at the place where a takeover of a ship could be
22	happening; we were just in a pattern. And then at some	22	expected to occur.
23	point I heard a lot of running around in the alleyways	23	So I began to run on the port side towards the
24	outside. Again at that point I was in the mess, and	24	stairway to the bridge wing, and I could see some
25	people started shouting, "Helicopter, helicopter". My	25	uniformed troopers already up there before me. Looking
	Page 113		Page 115
			1.450 1.10
15:15 1	-	15:19 1	
15:15 1	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was	15:19 1 2	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite
2	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the	2	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that
	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them		over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the
2 3 4	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of	2 3	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one
2 3 4 5	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes	2 3 4	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and
2 3 4	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over	2 3 4 5 6	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions.
2 3 4 5 6 7	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been	2 3 4 5 6 7	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in	2 3 4 5 6 7	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing. Then we saw some lines deploying or I saw a line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to speak with the trooper that was guarding the entrance,
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing. Then we saw some lines deploying or I saw a line deploying from the helicopter, and I saw a trooper slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to speak with the trooper that was guarding the entrance, saying, "I need to speak" I was speaking English at
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing. Then we saw some lines deploying or I saw a line deploying from the helicopter, and I saw a trooper slide down that line and land on the deck of the Arctic	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to speak with the trooper that was guarding the entrance, saying, "I need to speak" I was speaking English at that point, I should say, although I do speak Russian.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing. Then we saw some lines deploying or I saw a line deploying from the helicopter, and I saw a trooper slide down that line and land on the deck of the Arctic Sunrise. I ran out at this point, I have also run	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. More we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to speak with the trooper that was guarding the entrance, saying, "I need to speak" I was speaking English at that point, I should say, although I do speak Russian. I started saying, "I need to speak to the officer",
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	first surmise was that it was a helicopter that was coming in to remove Marco Weber and Sini Saarela, the two climbers, from the coastguard vessel to bring them to land. And I have to admit that there was a bit of a sense of relief: okay, then this situation becomes resolved here; and then we can, in a way, hand it over to the land team to support the activists who have been detained on land, and we can just go back. That turned out to not be the case. The shouting in the alleyways was becoming more and more agitated, and I went out on deck and I could see an Mi-8 helicopter hovering quite low over the helicopter landing pad at the stern of the Arctic Sunrise, and the side slide door was open. I could see faces of masked camouflaged men, clearly armed, leaning out. And they were shouting down something; you could not hear anything because of the noise of the rotor blades. There were a number of members of the crew of the Arctic Sunrise were standing underneath it, to prevent the helicopter from landing. Then we saw some lines deploying or I saw a line deploying from the helicopter, and I saw a trooper slide down that line and land on the deck of the Arctic	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	over my shoulder, I could see also that there were quite a number of troopers on the helicopter deck, and that most if not all of our crew were lying on the deck, and some of the troopers were kneeling with one knee on their backs. There was a lot of shouting, and guns were being pointed in different directions. As I started up the stairs, I could see Frank Hewetson right outside the entrance to the bridge. And I could see a trooper behind him, and then I saw Frank get pulled down. I saw his head disappear and his feet go up. Then I felt a hand on my shoulder, and kind of pushed me back pulled me back and pushed me forward. So I stumbled and fell on top of Frank, and felt a boot step on my back and go over me. Once we caught our breath, I tried to enter the bridge. The bridge was quite full. There were at that point six or seven troopers, perhaps, and maybe four or five members of the crew, including the captain and at least two of the mates, and a few others. I tried to speak with the trooper that was guarding the entrance, saying, "I need to speak" I was speaking English at that point, I should say, although I do speak Russian.

Page 116

-		1	
15:21 1	signalled away with a gun, and then eventually I suppose	15:25 1	them were immediately recognisable as coastguard, some
2	an order was given to allow me to enter, so I was pulled	2	of them were still masked, some of them were not.
3	inside.	3	I tried again to ask, "Are you coastguard? Are you
4	Then I could just observe the process of them	4	Spetsnaz? What branch of Spetsnaz are you? Are you
5	securing the bridge. It seemed that the highest the	5	private security for Gazprom?" That's actually
6	primary concern for them, for the troopers, was to take	6	something that I thought was quite plausible at the
7	away any sort of video recording equipment, photo	7	time.
8	recording equipment. So any camera, a smartphone with	8	Once the coastguard came on, there was an officer,
9	a lens, a small digital photo camera, all of that was	9	I believe he was a captain of first rank, which
10	just the first thing to go. Then it was the	10	I think it is fairly common practice would be the
11	communication equipment. It just seemed very I don't	11	rank for the commander of a ship. He was not in a very
12	know if "rehearsed" is the right word, but they were	12	good mood. He was quite aggressive, much more
13	quite prepared; they knew what they were doing. It was	13	forthcoming than the troopers who occupied us, sort of
14	very methodical.	14	yelling and shouting and gloating, "Okay, we finally got
15	So yes, they would go for VHF and UHF and other	15	you". And he said, "Alright, captain, you are now going
16	bands, and satellite communication. And quite rough:	16	to be taken to Murmansk". I think he said, "Your ship
17	they would seek to turn the piece of equipment off; if	17	is under arrest. So are you going to go under your own
18	they didn't immediately see the on/off switch, they	18	steam, or will you tow us?"
19	would yank on a cord; if that didn't help them, a knife	19	I remember Peter saying Peter Willcox, the
20	would be taken out and the cord would be sliced, I saw	20	captain of the Arctic Sunrise, I remember him saying,
21	that on at least one occasion.	21	"I would be happy to go under our own steam", which
22	We could see we were told to move away from the	22	obviously at this point it seems to me that he did not
23	windows, signalled to move away from the windows and the	23	expect that answer. Peter said, "But of course I'll
24	portholes. But you could see a little bit of what was	24	need to communicate with the operator of the ship that
25	happening on the upper deck, and you could see also that	25	has employed me. I'm not it's a big piece of
	Dogo 117		Page 110
	Page 117		Page 119
15:23 1	some of the journalists who were on board were being	15:27 1	equipment. I don't just make a decision where it goes.
15:23 1 2	some of the journalists who were on board were being roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from	15:27 1 2	equipment. I don't just make a decision where it goes. I need to inform them of the fact that that, under
	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from		I need to inform them of the fact that that, under
2	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck	2	
2 3	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from	2 3	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk".
2 3 4	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud	2 3 4	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And
2 3 4 5	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and	2 3 4 5	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said,
2 3 4 5 6	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that.	2 3 4 5 6	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam,
2 3 4 5 6 7	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the	2 3 4 5 6 7	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio. At some point the situation did sort of stabilise.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage troopers with no insignia, but there was also some
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio. At some point the situation did sort of stabilise. Okay, we were on the bridge, things were calmed down on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage troopers with no insignia, but there was also some coastguard walking around. And we could also see that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio. At some point the situation did sort of stabilise. Okay, we were on the bridge, things were calmed down on the deck below us. And then more people came on board.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage troopers with no insignia, but there was also some coastguard walking around. And we could also see that they were going into different cabins, and hear quite
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio. At some point the situation did sort of stabilise. Okay, we were on the bridge, things were calmed down on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage troopers with no insignia, but there was also some coastguard walking around. And we could also see that
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	roughed up, and their equipment was being grabbed from them. And you could also hear that on the deck immediately below the bridge there was quite a loud confrontation: you could hear doors breaking and equipment breaking and things like that. The whole time I was trying to speak with the members of this occupying team, really trying to figure out who they were. I know Russian coastguard: as I said, I have worked with Russian coastguard in the past on joint efforts to apprehend pirate fishermen in the Barents Sea, and on a number of other occasions. These did not look like any coastguard that I have ever seen before. They didn't look like land border guards either. Again, no insignia, masked, heavy guns; and a very how shall I put it? professional demeanour, more so than I am used to seeing at that point from the Russian military. They had very limited communication with us, basically just, "Move away from this, move away from that". And their communication with each other was also quite limited, mostly in code and on the radio. At some point the situation did sort of stabilise. Okay, we were on the bridge, things were calmed down on the deck below us. And then more people came on board.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	I need to inform them of the fact that that, under orders from armed forces, I am proceeding to Murmansk". He said, "No, no, no, you're not calling anybody". And there was a bit of a back and forth, where Peter said, "I will be happy to go, I will go under my own steam, but I do need to speak with the land base and tell them that we're doing this." And the officers basically said "Okay, well then you're refusing. Fine, we'll take you under tow." After that an order was issued, and everyone was taken from the bridge except Pete Willcox, who remained on the bridge. And we were taken the remainder of us was taken into the mess, where the rest of the crew also at that point had been gathered. We were kept there under armed guard. And fairly soon after that, the two crew members who were on board the coastguard vessel were brought back to us. We were very happy to see them, they were safe and sound. We could see a lot of people walking around the alleyways outside. It mostly was the camouflage troopers with no insignia, but there was also some coastguard walking around. And we could also see that they were going into different cabins, and hear quite

-			
15.00 1	drives lite that So it coursed along that some cost of	15.22 1	alectronic motorial such as my commuter my compare
15:28 1	things like that. So it seemed clear that some sort of	15:32 1	electronic material, such as my computer, my camera,
2	a search of the ship was taking place.	2	were all gone. We started gathering the crew started
3	We were kept for a number of hours in the mess, and	3	gathering again in the mess, in the lounge, and speaking
4	then we were taken out one by one from the mess and	4	with each other, comparing experiences. And you heard
5	taken to a laundry room, which is quite a small room,	5	about the fact that everyone who had any privately owned
6	and quite an intimidating process of personal search	6	alcohol found that the alcohol was missing, and that
7	ensued there. Basically, you were brought in, you were	7	a lot of people were missing cash. I did not have any
8	ordered to keep your hands behind your back, and	8	cash missing, and I did have some cash in my wallet, but
9	a trooper was walking ahead of you, and a trooper with	9	this is what I heard reported by others.
10	a gun was walking behind you, issuing orders in English,	10	So we remained in that state for a number of days as
11	very curt orders, just, "Right, left, downstairs, stop."	11	we were being towed towards Murmansk. I apologise,
12	And then you were brought into the laundry room.	12	I realise I went beyond the time limit that you actually
13	And when you first came in, what you saw is	13	asked for, so please tell me if you need me to continue.
14	a trooper with the balaklava mask on, and army boots and	14	Q. I don't think we are pressed for time. If there is
15	army pants, and no clothes on the top except for a thin	15	anything important you would like to add, I think you
16	stripey T-shirt, and big tattooed hairy arms; quite	16	can do so. But so far, thank you for that comprehensive
17	an intimidating scene, while you have two guys with guns	17	account. If you would like to proceed but I think
18	behind you at the doorway. Then a very thorough	18	you should try to bring this initial statement to
19	personal search took place, at least of myself, and	19	a close.
20	I understand also from reports of others that they	20	A. Yes, sir. What I have described summarises the sequence
21	underwent the same process.	21	of events during the period that you indicated, so I am
22	Then after the search we were put into the lounge,	22	fine now, thank you.
23	which was the area immediately next door to the laundry	23	Q. Thank you, then, for that comprehensive account of what
24	room. And after a while, after this processing has	24	happened at that time.
25	taken place, all of the crew except for Peter Willcox,	25	Mr Litvinov, I have two further questions for you.
	D 121		D 102
	Page 121		Page 123
15:30 1	the captain of the vessel, were gathered in the lounge.	15:34 1	First, you were the campaign leader. Were you involved
15:30 1 2	the captain of the vessel, were gathered in the lounge. We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we	15:34 1 2	First, you were the campaign leader. Were you involved in directing the action at the platform?
2	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we	2	in directing the action at the platform?
2 3	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back	2 3	in directing the action at the platform? A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader.
2 3 4	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was	2 3 4	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess,	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks;	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>in directing the action at the platform?</li><li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was quite a mess. The cabins were very thoroughly searched,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and the details of an action at sea or on land for that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was quite a mess. The cabins were very thoroughly searched, with personal possessions strewn all over the deck,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and the details of an action at sea or on land for that effect is usually delegated to the action coordinator.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was quite a mess. The cabins were very thoroughly searched, with personal possessions strewn all over the deck, drawers pulled out.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and the details of an action at sea or on land for that effect is usually delegated to the action coordinator. I should add that we always strive to achieve</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was quite a mess. The cabins were very thoroughly searched, with personal possessions strewn all over the deck, drawers pulled out. I could see immediately that a number of my personal	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and the details of an action at sea or on land for that effect is usually delegated to the action coordinator. I should add that we always strive to achieve a triumvirate, if you will. So the lead campaigner, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	We were kept there for again a few hours, and then we were taken up as a group. I believe we were taken back up into the mess first, and then an announcement was made to us that, okay, we are allowed to leave the mess, and were allowed to be in alleyways on two of the decks; we were not allowed on the upper deck or the bridge; we were not allowed on the outside decks at all; and we were allowed in the cabins that were on the two decks, where we had the freedom of movement. We enquired, "What about the people who have cabins that are outside of that area?" And they said, "We don't care, they are not allowed to go there." At that point already the ship was under tow and moving. I believe that we were informed that we were heading towards Murmansk. We tried to speak with the troops, but they were really not very forthcoming. The crew members who could access the cabins, I among them, went back to our cabins, to well basically it was quite a mess. The cabins were very thoroughly searched, with personal possessions strewn all over the deck, drawers pulled out. I could see immediately that a number of my personal belongings were no longer there. Primarily was	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>in directing the action at the platform?</li> <li>A. Yes, thank you. Yes, indeed, I was the campaign leader. The responsibility of the lead campaigner on board the ship is primarily directed towards communication of the campaign to the outside world, but also ensuring that the campaign is carried out in a way that is most likely to ensure successful communication. So I was certainly involved in the thinking about, the discussions and the design of the action and the activities, but so things like, for example, thinking about what should be on the banner, the text on the banner, what is the best way to display the banner in such a way that you get the best image. But the detailed logistical carrying out of the action, that was not within my primary sphere of responsibility, no.</li> <li>Q. Whose responsibility was that?</li> <li>A. The primary responsibility for anything that happens on a ship of course is the captain's. The decisions and the details of an action at sea or on land for that effect is usually delegated to the action coordinator. I should add that we always strive to achieve a triumvirate, if you will. So the lead campaigner, the action coordinator and the captain speak very openly</li> </ul>

15:37 1	decision. But of course, if the situation is such that	15:40 1	you must have been one of the designers of the action?
2	there is no time for this consultation, then it is clear	2	A. Indeed.
3	that the decision-making is with the action coordinator	3	PROFESSOR SOONS: What did you anticipate would be the
4	on the details of the action.	4	effects, especially if your action would have been
5	Q. Who was the action coordinator?	5	successful, for the ongoing operational activities of
6	A. Frank Hewetson was the action coordinator on that trip.	6	the platform?
7	Q. Thank you. On that understanding, can I ask you whether	7	A. Yes, sir, thank you very much for the question.
8	you were aware of any orders being given by the Russian	8	Mr President, to address the first question, I cannot
9	coastguard to the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise? Was	9	speak for the captain of the Arctic Sunrise who allowed
10	there any visual or auditory signal to the RHIBs to	10	the inspection at a previous occasion, a week or so
11	stop?	11	before; I was not on board at that point.
12	A. I could not see what was happening on the water. We did	12	PROFESSOR SOONS: I asked the question to you because in
13	try to ascertain whether or not the RHIBs were being	13	your witness statement you include paragraph 13 of the
14	arrested, especially when the guns came out. And it was	13	statement of facts.
14	reported to us that: no, they were not being arrested;	14	A. Indeed. I was the coordinator of the land response team
15	they were being shooed away, more like that. But	15	for that portion of the expedition, so I was in contact
10	because I was not in the water, I cannot say I saw it		with the ship. So I was informed of that decision, and
	-	17	-
18	myself.	18	of the subsequent events as they were unfolding.
19	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Litvinov.	19	However, I was not directly privy to that decision as it
20	This concludes my examination of the witness,	20	was being made. So having said that, I do it has
21	Mr President.	21	been explained to me what it was based on, and I'll be
22	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Do my colleagues have	22	happy to share that.
23	any questions?	23	The main difference, I think, between the two
24	Questions from THE TRIBUNAL	24	events, as we saw it, is that the second time our vessel
25	PROFESSOR SOONS: Thank you, Mr Litvinov. I have two	25	was in the waters of the Northern Sea Route. We were
	Page 125		Page 127
	1 age 125		1 age 127
15:38 1	questions. One deals with the initial refusal to allow	15:42 1	still quite far outside the territorial waters when this
15:38 1	1	15:42 1	still quite far outside the territorial waters when this
2	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with	2	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the
2 3	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action	2 3	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to
2 3 4	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.	2 3 4	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in
2 3 4 5	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you	2 3 4 5	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous.
2 3 4 5 6	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept	2 3 4 5 6	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions
2 3 4 5 6 7	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather	2 3 4 5 6 7	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from you about your discussion on the morning of the 18th</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that we were there to expose at that point has already been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform. First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel. The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts. On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from you about your discussion on the morning of the 18th about whether or not to allow an inspection at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that we were there to expose at that point has already been done. We have indeed succeeded at that point; or,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from you about your discussion one.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that we were there to expose at that point has already been done. We have indeed succeeded at that point; or, I should say, the vessel at that point has succeeded in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from you about your discussion on the morning of the 18th about whether or not to allow an inspection at that moment. That is question one.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that we were there to expose at that point has already been done. We have indeed succeeded at that point; or, I should say, the vessel at that point has succeeded in bearing witness to and communicating the seismic exploration that was being carried out in that area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>an inspection of the vessel, and the second deals with the anticipated effects of the attempted aborted action for the operations of the activities on the platform.</li> <li>First, the refusal. In your statement just now, you said, "After consultation, we decided not to accept an inspection", and by using the word "we", I gather that you were part of the persons on board the Arctic Sunrise who were discussing whether or not, on the morning immediately after the aborted attempt, and after the order to stop was given, to allow inspection of the vessel.</li> <li>The reason I would like you to elaborate a little bit more on the reasons for not allowing the inspection have also to do with paragraph 13, which is part of your written statement that you are aware of, paragraph 13 of the Greenpeace statement of facts.</li> <li>On 26th August you allowed, under protest, an inspection by the Russian coastguard, also after there was a threat to use force first. So I think we would be interested in hearing a little bit more from you about your discussion one.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	occurred. However, it was not we felt that the Russian Federation had a bit more of an authority to enforce rules that they more or less they saw fit in that [territory]. Perhaps that is erroneous. We did ask on a number of occasions for permissions to enter the Northern Sea Route, and were refused on the grounds that we did not feel were real. So we were aware at that point that we were in breach already of Russian regulation that is really is not a bad thing. The regulation is put into place actually to protect marine environment, so we did not feel that it was morally acceptable for us to challenge that further. I think that was one of the big reasons. There was clearly a conviction on the part of the captain, that indeed the coastguard would open fire, he communicated that clearly, and it was also felt that we would not further serve the needs of the campaign by insisting that we do not leave, because the communication to the outside world of the problem that we were there to expose at that point has already been done. We have indeed succeeded at that point; or, I should say, the vessel at that point has succeeded in bearing witness to and communicating the seismic

1		1	
15:44 1	This was a bit different from the situation that we	15:48 1	also present, and they actually made it clear that they
		13.48 1	did not have orders nor did they feel that they had the
2	found ourselves in later on. Again, quite a significant		right to interfere with this; that this was between the
3	difference was the fact that we felt, okay, we really	3	-
4	are in international waters, where Russia has a much	4	operator and Greenpeace.
5	more limited jurisdiction. Yes, we are inside the	5	PROFESSOR SOONS: Thank you. But from the statement of
6	economic zone; however, we did not feel that our	6	facts, the supplement, we understand that the platform
7	activities at that point were economic in nature. So	7	became fully operational in December 2013, so three
8	while, yes, indeed we also understood that perhaps	8	months after the action. Again my question is: what
9	a breach of the safety zone was taking place, that this	9	kind of anticipated effects would a Greenpeace action
10	0 0	10	[have on] the work on the platform?
11	these regulations by boarding the ship. So we felt we	11	A. Yes, sir, thank you. I think I do understand the
12		12	question now. We did not, in all honesty, expect to be
13		13	able to interfere or to prevent the platform from
14		14	operation. The purpose of it was not to stop the
15		15	platform operating, but to register protest, and to
16		16	communicate that protest and to bear witness.
17	c	17	Clearly, our presence there was very annoying and
18		18	disturbed the daily operations in the sense that the
19		19	platform would have needed to deal with us. But we did
20	•	20	not hope that our action would actually significantly
21	start going along with what we felt were unreasonable	21	slow down their ability to drill for oil.
22	demands while they are holding our people in a way	22	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Mr Litvinov, I understand that you
23	hostage, perhaps.	23	have participated in a number of protests, actions, and
24	So I hope that sheds some light on the first	24	so on. So you are an expert on equipment which is used
25	question.	25	during such protests. Bearing this in mind, I would
	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub> = = 120		Dec. 121
	Page 129		Page 131
15:46 1	The second question, of what it was that we were	15:50 1	like to ask you perhaps a naive question. During this
15:46 1 2	The second question, of what it was that we were trying to do and we were quite open with this, from	15:50 1 2	like to ask you perhaps a naive question. During this action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of
	-		
2	trying to do and we were quite open with this, from	2	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was
2 3	trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition	2 3	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards,
2 3 4	trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of	2 3 4	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from</li><li>the very beginning of the expedition</li><li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of</li><li>the action, because that is clearly stated for the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li><li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li><li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world.</li> <li>I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world. I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have an exact guess of what other steps the operators would</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous. I'm afraid I am not able to answer the second</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world. I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have an exact guess of what other steps the operators would resort to. The one thing we did not expect is the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous. I'm afraid I am not able to answer the second question, which ones of the line throwers were deployed.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world. I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have an exact guess of what other steps the operators would resort to. The one thing we did not expect is the direct involvement of the coastguard, I must say,</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous. I'm afraid I am not able to answer the second question, which ones of the line throwers were deployed. I was not involved in the actual equipping of the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world. I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have an exact guess of what other steps the operators would resort to. The one thing we did not expect is the</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous. I'm afraid I am not able to answer the second question, which ones of the line throwers were deployed. I was not involved in the actual equipping of the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>trying to do and we were quite open with this, from the very beginning of the expedition</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: My question is not about the purpose of the action, because that is clearly stated for the longer term: to convince Gazprom not to continue, et cetera, et cetera. But my question relates to, based on your experience, actually what do you expect would happen when you are climbing a platform? Business as usual on board the platform, or would it not be business as usual on board the platform?</li> <li>A. We did have experience of doing a very similar action at the same installation the year before. So we expected that the reaction would be similar to the sequence of events in 2012. Basically, what that amounted to was the attempt eventually successful by the operators to wash off, to remove the activists from the platform. This was also the reason why we wanted to actually deploy a safety pod, is to enable us to stay longer, to continue to communicate to the rest of the world. I think it was fairly open-ended. We did not have an exact guess of what other steps the operators would resort to. The one thing we did not expect is the direct involvement of the coastguard, I must say,</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>action on the 18th, line throwers were used. The use of line throwers, can you create a danger for the guards, or not at all? I understand that Arctic Sunrise was equipped with four such line throwers. Were all of them were used on the 18th, or only two or three? I don't know. I would be very grateful if you could answer this question.</li> <li>A. Yes, sir, thank you very much, Mr President. The line throwers that were used in that action well, to say that under no circumstances they could cause damage would not be correct. Anything can cause damage, any tool or shovel, anything like this. But I think that the way that they were being used and planned to be used, it would have to be quite a serious sequence of accidental events that would lead to serious damage to anyone.</li> <li>The projectile is quite soft and small, the power is not very high. It is just designed to put the line and a weight a distance in the air. So it certainly would never occur to me that they were in any way dangerous. I'm afraid I am not able to answer the second question, which ones of the line throwers were deployed. I was not involved in the actual equipping of the</li> </ul>

15:52 1	PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: Thank you.	15:56 1	Q. Mr Litvinov, plan A was to suspend a survival capsule
2	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Let me ask one	2	from the side of the platform. Plan A failed. What was
3	question. The captain was asked if he heard that	3	plan B? Plan B consisted of an aborted attempt to climb
4	1	4	the platform. But was the plan to reach the deck of the
5	5	5	platform, or to suspend the climbers from the side of
6		6	the platform?
7	8 5 5	7	A. Yes, thank you. Both plans involved scaling the side of
8		8	the platform in order to and attempt to stay for as
9	1 6	9	long as possible while displaying a message. The first,
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	plan A, was to attempt to do it under the structure
11		11	underneath the helicopter platform. And then plan B
12		12	would be to attempt to do it from other possible pieces
13	•	13	of the structure coming out from the hull, such as the
14	· · ·	14	mooring line attachments, things like that, on another
15	0	15	part of the hull. From what we could see, that was
16		16	something that was quite possible, to do it on the side that is directly opposite from the helicopter structure.
17 18		17	It was not an attempt to actually enter the platform,
10	-	18 19	get on to the deck, and go on to the platform. That was
20	▲ · ·	19 20	not one of the plans.
20		20 21	Q. Thank you. For how long was the expectation that they
21		21	would be there?
23		22	A. If we were to have gotten up the survival pod, we would
23		23	have been able to stay there, given the ability to
25	-	25	resupply it, for quite some time: certainly days,
	Page 133		Page 135
15:54 1	MR BURMESTER: Can I follow up on that? In paragraph 46 of	15:58 1	probably weeks. Based on our experience from the
2	the statement, there is reference to receiving	2	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be
2 3	the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just	2 3	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up
2 3 4	the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of	2 3 4	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there
2 3 4 5	the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter	2 3 4 5	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the
2 3 4	the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?	2 3 4 5 6	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li><li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li><li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li><li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li><li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li><li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li><li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li><li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving <ul> <li>an inspection team, a message to that effect, just</li> <li>before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of</li> <li>that message being sent just before the helicopter</li> <li>arrived?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, <ul> <li>I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at</li> <li>that specific point, information that an inspection team</li> <li>was about to come, not at all. At the point when the</li> <li>helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But</li> <li>I think I would have thought that, if such a message</li> <li>were to have been received on the bridge, then either</li> <li>myself or the captain or both would have been called to</li> <li>the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection</li> </ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as I recall, such a warning.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as I recall, such a warning.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving <ul> <li>an inspection team, a message to that effect, just</li> <li>before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of</li> <li>that message being sent just before the helicopter</li> <li>arrived?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, <ul> <li>I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at</li> <li>that specific point, information that an inspection team</li> <li>was about to come, not at all. At the point when the</li> <li>helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But</li> <li>I think I would have thought that, if such a message</li> <li>were to have been received on the bridge, then either</li> <li>myself or the captain or both would have been called to</li> <li>the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection</li> <li>is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on.</li> <li>So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as</li> <li>I recall, such a warning.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we have any more questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you so much. In that case, I think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving <ul> <li>an inspection team, a message to that effect, just</li> <li>before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of</li> <li>that message being sent just before the helicopter</li> <li>arrived?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, <ul> <li>I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at</li> <li>that specific point, information that an inspection team</li> <li>was about to come, not at all. At the point when the</li> <li>helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But</li> <li>I think I would have thought that, if such a message</li> <li>were to have been received on the bridge, then either</li> <li>myself or the captain or both would have been called to</li> <li>the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection</li> <li>is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on.</li> <li>So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as</li> <li>I recall, such a warning.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we have any more questions.</li> <li>But I will ask whether you have any further</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you so much. In that case, I think that we can dispose of you now. But again, like I told</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as I recall, such a warning.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we have any more questions. But I will ask whether you have any further questions to ask by way of re-direct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you so much. In that case, I think that we can dispose of you now. But again, like I told previous witnesses, if you are going to be around in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as I recall, such a warning.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we have any more questions. But I will ask whether you have any further questions to ask by way of re-direct?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, there is one</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you so much. In that case, I think that we can dispose of you now. But again, like I told previous witnesses, if you are going to be around in Vienna up to tomorrow, we would like you to be at our</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the statement, there is reference to receiving an inspection team, a message to that effect, just before the helicopter approaches. Were you aware of that message being sent just before the helicopter arrived?</li> <li>A. Right, I understand the question. Thank you, sir. No, I definitely do not recall hearing at that point, at that specific point, information that an inspection team was about to come, not at all. At the point when the helicopter arrived, I was sitting in the mess. But I think I would have thought that, if such a message were to have been received on the bridge, then either myself or the captain or both would have been called to the bridge immediately; if we were told, "An inspection is coming", in the same way that it was done earlier on. So the answer is: no, I did not hear, as far as I recall, such a warning.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I don't think that we have any more questions. But I will ask whether you have any further questions to ask by way of re-direct?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President, there is one point I would like to clarify with Mr Litvinov.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>previous year, we were quite unsure how long we would be able to maintain presence if we did not get the pod up because, as we did see in fact in our attempt, there would be quite strong reaction from the operators of the rig. I think the best we could have hoped for: a number of hours, perhaps a day, maybe two.</li> <li>Q. The supplies available in the survival capsule would have enabled the people in there to stay for how long on the side of the platform before they would need to be resupplied?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that question, I am afraid. I don't know the answer to that. I think that perhaps Mr Frank Hewetson will be able to answer that more than I. It would have been a number of days, but I don't think that there was enough to really stay there for weeks and weeks without resupplying.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: I have no further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you so much. In that case, I think that we can dispose of you now. But again, like I told previous witnesses, if you are going to be around in Vienna up to tomorrow, we would like you some more</li> </ul>

15:59 1 but just in case we decide to ask you any more	16:25 1 contain additional information and adjustments. Can you
2 questions. So make yourself available. Thank you v	5 5
3 much.	3 adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and
4 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I will of co	
5 make myself available all day tomorrow, and today.	5 knowledge?
<ul><li>6 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. (Pause).</li></ul>	<ul><li>6 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes, that's correct.</li></ul>
7 I understand that you have two more witnesses to	<ul><li>7 Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement</li></ul>
8 come this afternoon. I think this is very helpful to	in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the
9 us, but I would suggest that we have a short break of	9 Prirazlomnaya?
10 about 15 minutes, to enable us to get together, and al	-
11 to enable you to get them together. Thank you very	11 worked for Greenpeace for 25 years, and that's my job
12 much. So we will break for 15 minutes.	12 title, that's my role.
13 (4.01 pm)	12 utile, unit's my role. 13 Q. Thank you. Could you describe in your own words the
14 (A short break)	14 facts that you have confirmed to be true and accurate?
15 (4.23 pm)	15 A. Yes, indeed. The morning of that day, the 18th, I was
16 MR FRANK HEWETSON (called)	16 waiting on the bridge and in the hold of the Arctic
17 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming,	
17 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for coming, 18 you all for coming back so quickly. I will ask you to	18         the horizon, to give us enough light to see where we
<ul><li>identify yourself, and then to make the declaration</li></ul>	19 were going, and also for the cameras that we were going
20 which is on your desk.	<ul><li>to be using to be able to document the entire day.</li></ul>
20 which is on your desk. 21 THE WITNESS: My name is Frank Hewetson, and I s	
declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall s	-
<ul><li>the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth,</li></ul>	23 as quickly as we could to the Prirazlomnaya oil rig. We
24 and that my statement will be in accordance with my	
25 sincere belief.	take too long; we were going at top speed. And our
Page 137	Page 139
16:24 1 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Please go ahea	1. 16:27 1 intention there was to get access to the side of the oil
<ul><li>16:24 1 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Please go ahea</li><li>2 PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li></ul>	e
	5
<ol> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> </ol>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,
<ol> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The I</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> </ol>	ingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.
<ul> <li>2 PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The I</li> <li>3 the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>4 testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>5 Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>6 Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> </ul>	Lingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.
<ul> <li>2 PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The I</li> <li>3 the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>4 testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>5 Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>6 Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>7 against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> </ul>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> </ul>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> </ul>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,
<ul> <li>2 PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>3 the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>4 testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>5 Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>6 Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>7 against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>8 primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>9 carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>10 Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched22from the Arctic Sunrise. After that, we went around and
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that</li> </ul>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched22from the Arctic Sunrise. After that, we went around and23around and around the oil rig, trying to get a line up
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that</li> <li>specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched22from the Arctic Sunrise. After that, we went around and23around and around the oil rig, trying to get a line up24the side to hang a banner. Every time we did, we were
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that</li> </ul>	Kingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched22from the Arctic Sunrise. After that, we went around and23around and around the oil rig, trying to get a line up
<ul> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The H</li> <li>the Netherlands would like to introduce the witness</li> <li>testimony of Mr Francis Patrick Michael Hewetson.</li> <li>Mr Hewetson was the action coordinator on board the</li> <li>Arctic Sunrise during the protest in September 2013</li> <li>against the Prirazlomnaya. His testimony will be</li> <li>primarily directed to his supervision of the actions</li> <li>carried out from the RHIBs.</li> <li>Direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Thank you for agreeing to testify in these proceedings.</li> <li>With the permission of the Tribunal, I would like to</li> <li>give the witness a copy of the statement of facts, the</li> <li>addendum and corrigendum thereto, and his witness</li> <li>statement dated 26th August 2014. (Handed).</li> <li>Mr Hewetson, could you please take a look at the</li> <li>three documents that were just put in front of you, and</li> <li>can you confirm that you are the author of the witness</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that</li> <li>specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true</li> </ul>	Cingdom of2rig, and to hang a banner. We had gone through these3plans. I was specifically in charge of those plans.4I was in charge of executing those plans on that day,5with a team of people that had volunteered to come with6me.7It did not take very long for two RHIBs to be8launched from the Ladoga coastguard vessel. They9arrived on site quite soon after our arrival, and10immediately engaged with us. From what I remember,11there was not much talking, there was an instant12engagement.13It was quite aggressive; I would say that we were14slightly taken by surprise on the aggression.15Nevertheless, we were there to protest against drilling16in the Arctic, a campaign that I particularly feel17extremely attached to, and we wanted to make sure that18we got a banner on to that oil rig to show our protest.19During the course of those few minutes, our boats20were stabbed by knives, guns were raised; and shortly21afterwards, three other RHIBs turned up, also launched22from the Arctic Sunrise. After that, we went around and23around and around the oil rig, trying to get a line up24the side to hang a banner. Every time we did, we were

16:29	1	climbers gained access.	16:32 1	went back to the Arctic Sunrise and then tried to
	2	Shortly after they gained access to the side of the	2	return, but confronted the leaving last two RHIBs,
	3	oil rig I actually correct myself: man-made island;	3	I think, from the Arctic Sunrise?
	4	it's not a rig, it's a man-made island the situation	4	A. Yes.
	5	became one that I thought: we have two people, it might	5	PROFESSOR SOONS: The decision to leave by those two RHIBs
	6	be possible to hang a banner. And there was quite a lot	6	was taken by the people on board the RHIBs, just to move
	7	of intent shown by the coastguard to grab our two	7	away as soon as possible?
	8	cameramen and their camera equipment. I made a decision	8	A. Yes.
	9	at that stage to take the cameras and the cameramen back	9	PROFESSOR SOONS: Is it possible to reconstruct how much
	10	to the Arctic Sunrise. I did that as quickly as	10	time it took for them to leave from the platform, close
	11	I could. I deposited both those two people and their	10	to the platform, where they were, to outside the
	12	equipment. I was on the bridge for a period of time	12	500-metre safety zone?
	12	with Captain Pete Willcox and Dima Litvinov. I then	12	A. Well, I was not there at that time.
	13	made my way back to Prirazlomnaya.	13	PROFESSOR SOONS: No, but from yes.
	15	I was expecting to get there and still see our two	14	A. I know that one of the vessels was damaged by the
	16	people hopefully hanging a banner. I was actually	15	ramming all of our vessels were stabbed, all of the
	17	confronted with RHIBs returning from the rig, from the	10	vessels had damage; but one of the engines had been
	17	platform. And from then, I understood what had	17	damaged, and I think they would probably be capable of
		-	18	15 knots, maybe. So I couldn't really guesstimate too
	19 20	transpired. Then all together we came back to the	19 20	well but I would say at 15 knots, 15 minutes to get to
	20	Sunrise, and one by one those RHIBs were put back on		
	21	board.	21	a mile away. PROFESSOR SOONS: Thank you.
	22	Q. Thank you, Mr Hewetson. I have one further question for	22	
	23	you at this stage. You said there was not much talking	23	DR SÁNCHEZ: From what you have just told us, the coastguard
	24	between the people on board the RHIBs of the Russian	24	boats that approached you did not give you any specific
	25	coastguard and your RHIBs. Are you aware that at any	25	instructions, they did not demand anything from you;
		Page 141		Page 143
		-		
16:31	1	point in time the Russian coastguard gave any orders to	16:34 1	they only accompanied your boats and tried to interfere
16:31	1 2	point in time the Russian coastguard gave any orders to the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory	16:34 1 2	they only accompanied your boats and tried to interfere with what you were doing. But you were not told
16:31		the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory		
16:31	2	the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?	2	with what you were doing. But you were not told
16:31	2 3	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming</li></ul>	2 3	with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?
16:31	2 3 4	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li><li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think</li></ul>
16:31	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li><li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li></ul>
16:31	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li><li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li></ul>
16:31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li><li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li><li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think,</li></ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li><li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li></ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li><li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li><li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li> <li>I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes,</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li> <li>I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further questions for Mr Hewetson. The first question relates</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Do my colleagues have any questions?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li> <li>I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further questions for Mr Hewetson. The first question relates to the time it takes to get away from the platform.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Do my colleagues have any questions?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li> <li>I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further questions for Mr Hewetson. The first question relates to the time it takes to get away from the platform. You were not there, but your estimate is 15 minutes</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Do my colleagues have any questions? Questions from THE TRIBUNAL</li> <li>PROFESSOR SOONS: Mr Hewetson, I understand from the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that. But they were not clear. It is not in English. And I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further questions for Mr Hewetson. The first question relates to the time it takes to get away from the platform. You were not there, but your estimate is 15 minutes to get a mile away, taking into account the slowest of</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the RHIBs of the Arctic Sunrise, any visual or auditory signal to stop?</li> <li>A. Certainly not initially. There was an immediate ramming of my boat. Also I don't speak Russian. So there was a bit of screaming, but there was never an attempt to jump on to my boat, to seize my boat, to disable the engine, to take control of my boat, which I would have thought a normal process of arrest would have been some such. There was just a lot of screaming, a lot of very high volume shouting.</li> <li>Q. They tried to chase you away from the platform?</li> <li>A. They chased us around. And when we were staying still, quite often they came alongside, and were looking at what we were doing. But quite often, they were just sitting next to us actually. But there was eventually five of us and two of them, so they had problems in deciding who to chase.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson. This concludes my examination of the witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Do my colleagues have any questions?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>with what you were doing. But you were not told anything as to what you were expected to do?</li> <li>A. Certainly not in English, absolutely not. And I think they were there clearly, their presence was to prevent us, I don't think there is any denying that.</li> <li>But they were not clear. It is not in English. And</li> <li>I am afraid to say the immediate communication, I think, was one of shouting at an extremely high level, one that</li> <li>I could not nor could Denis Sinyakov, who is a Russian speaker who was in my RHIB, he could not understand it either.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Did you say that you speak Russian?</li> <li>A. No, I am saying sorry, none at all.</li> <li>DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Nobody has any more questions, so I will ask you whether you want to ask another question? Yes, please, go ahead.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr President. I do have two further questions for Mr Hewetson. The first question relates to the time it takes to get away from the platform. You were not there, but your estimate is 15 minutes</li> </ul>

Page 142

16:36	zone of 500 metres, so that means less than five minutes	16:42 1	testimony of Mr Philip Edward Ball. Mr Ball is a
	2 to get 500 metres away. And actually, when the RHIBs	2	
	3 departed, they were not next to the platform; they were	3	
	4 closer to the Ladoga, which was 200 or 300 metres away	4	
	5 from the platform?	5	
	6 A. From what I understand, yes.	6	-
	7 Q. So then we are down to two minutes to get outside of the	7	
	8 safety zone?	8	
	9 A. That sounds about right to me, yes.	9	-
	10 Q. Thank you very much. It is my understanding that you	10	-
	also were involved in the transport of medication from	11	
	12 the Arctic Sunrise to the Ladoga, the medication that	12	-
	<ul><li>was destined for Sini Saarela. Could you describe the</li></ul>	13	-
	14 events related to that supply of medication?	14	
	15 A. Yes, we were concerned that she only had one day's	15	
	16 medication with her. That is because she didn't carry	16	
	<ul><li>so much on her body. I was worried that we were unaware</li></ul>	17	A. Yes, Mr President, my name is Philip Edward Ball.
	18 of how long they were going to be kept on board the	18	
	<ul><li>Ladoga. So we engaged with VHF communication with the</li></ul>	19	
	20 bridge, with the captain, and we arranged for	20	
	21 a drop-off, a bag of food and medication.	21	
	22 Myself and Annie Jensen, the third mate, got into	22	
	<ul><li>the one RHIB that was still working, still functioning</li></ul>	23	-
	24 properly, and we made our way over to the Ladoga. This	24	-
	25 was, I think, maybe four miles outside Prirazlomnaya.	25	
		_	
	Page 145		Page 147
16:38	1 And we approached their port side, the pilot door was	16:43 1	confirm that you are the author of the witness
16:38		16:43 1 2	confirm that you are the author of the witness statement?
16:38			-
16:38	2 opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed	2	statement?
16:38	<ol> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> </ol>	2 3	statement? A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness
16:38	<ul> <li>2 opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>3 men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>4 we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts,</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts,</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>statement?</li><li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li><li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> <li>desk?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare</li> <li>upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> <li>desk?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> <li>desk?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare</li> <li>upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the</li> </ul>
	2opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed3men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And4we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the5vessel, and then departed.6PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.7At this stage I have no further questions for the8witness, Mr President.9THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will10again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be11around, we would like you to make yourself available12just in case we want to ask you any more questions.13THE WITNESS: Of course.14MR PHILIP BALL (called)15THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify16yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your17desk?18THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare19upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the20truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and21that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere22belief.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the Prirazlomnaya oil rig and took part in a peaceful direct action. On the way there, the survival pod that one of the</li> </ul>
	2opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed3men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And4we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the5vessel, and then departed.6PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.7At this stage I have no further questions for the8witness, Mr President.9THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will10again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be11around, we would like you to make yourself available12just in case we want to ask you any more questions.13THE WITNESS: Of course.14MR PHILIP BALL (called)15THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify16yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your17desk?18THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare19upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the20truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and21that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere22belief.23THE PRESIDENT: You can go ahead, please.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the Prirazlomnaya oil rig and took part in a peaceful direct action.</li> <li>On the way there, the survival pod that one of the boats had been towing, the tow line broke. And at that</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> <li>desk?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare</li> <li>upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the</li> <li>truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and</li> <li>that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere</li> <li>belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You can go ahead, please.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The Kingdom of</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the Prirazlomnaya oil rig and took part in a peaceful direct action.</li> <li>On the way there, the survival pod that one of the boats had been towing, the tow line broke. And at that point the plan changed to continue the action without</li> </ul>
	2opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed3men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And4we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the5vessel, and then departed.6PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.7At this stage I have no further questions for the8witness, Mr President.9THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will10again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be11around, we would like you to make yourself available12just in case we want to ask you any more questions.13THE WITNESS: Of course.14MR PHILIP BALL (called)15THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify16yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your17desk?18THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare19upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the20truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and21that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere22belief.23THE PRESIDENT: You can go ahead, please.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the Prirazlomnaya oil rig and took part in a peaceful direct action.</li> <li>On the way there, the survival pod that one of the boats had been towing, the tow line broke. And at that</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>opened, and I came alongside. There were masked, armed</li> <li>men with guns in their hands and one with a camera. And</li> <li>we said, "Good morning", and we put the bag on to the</li> <li>vessel, and then departed.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Hewetson.</li> <li>At this stage I have no further questions for the</li> <li>witness, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. In that case, we will</li> <li>again ask you to step down. But if you are going to be</li> <li>around, we would like you to make yourself available</li> <li>just in case we want to ask you any more questions.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Of course.</li> <li>MR PHILIP BALL (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. Could you identify</li> <li>yourself, and then read the declaration which is on your</li> <li>desk?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr President. I solemnly declare</li> <li>upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the</li> <li>truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and</li> <li>that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere</li> <li>belief.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: You can go ahead, please.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr President. The Kingdom of</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, I can confirm that I am the author of this witness statement. Yes, Mr President.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. In your witness statement, you confirm that specific paragraphs of the statement of facts are true and accurate. The addendum and corrigendum thereto contains additional information and adjustments. Can you confirm that the contents of the statement of facts, as adjusted and supplemented by the addendum and corrigendum thereto, are correct to the best of your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I can, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could you explain your personal involvement in the protest action on 18th September 2013 against the Prirazlomnaya?</li> <li>A. I was present on the Arctic Sunrise as an activist and a deckhand. And on the 18th, myself and the other people involved in the action went to the base of the Prirazlomnaya oil rig and took part in a peaceful direct action.</li> <li>On the way there, the survival pod that one of the boats had been towing, the tow line broke. And at that point the plan changed to continue the action without</li> </ul>

16:45 1	to the side of the oil rig, onto the structure of the	16:48 1 A. Nothing to my knowledge. And certainly I would imagine
2	oil rig itself.	2 that, if an official order would be given, it would be
3	We were met with resistance from the coastguard, who	3 done in a clear way, and there was nothing that was
4	were using their boats in a quite dangerous manner, and	4 clear in any sense of the word.
5	threatening us with knives and safety flares, distress	5 PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you, Mr Ball. This concludes my
6	flares being fired within the safety zone. And they	6 examination of the witness, Mr President.
7	pulled guns out and pointed them at various members on	7 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
8	the boats.	8 Questions from THE TRIBUNAL
9	The boat that I was in was rammed by one of the	9 MR BURMESTER: Mr Ball, can I just clarify, so there was no
10	coastguard vessels, and it damaged the engine in a way	10 order so far as you were aware to stop, or anything like
11	that made it impossible for us to actually take it out	11 that? There was disruption to your boat, and what it
12	of gear. So the boat was only able to keep going	12 was trying to do, but there was no attempt to board it
13	forwards, and that because of safety implications of	13 or seize it; is that what you said? Or is that your
14	not being able to avoid other boats, it meant that we	14 understanding? They didn't actually try and stop you
15	then had to remove ourselves from the melee, as it were,	15 and arrest you, even though you were at least concerned
16	so that we wouldn't run the risk of endangering anybody	16 that that may happen. But in what they did while you
17	or crashing into one of the coastguard vessels. So for	17 were actually present in the zone, you didn't see that
18	safety reasons we took a more distant approach to the	18 attempt actually occur?
19	action from that point.	19 A. No, that's right. Mr President, I would say that the
20	At the point when the two climbers who had managed	20 attempts that were made by the coastguard were merely of
21	to get on to the side of the rig were threatened with	21 a practical nature: to prevent us doing what they
22	guns, and then the guns were fired, that was when the	22 perceived to be what we were trying to do, i.e. to get
23	action itself was deemed to be over. And when they were	23 ropes on to the structure of the oil rig, and to get
24	arrested, taken to the coastguard vessel, the Ladoga, we	24 climbers on to the oil rig itself. And anybody who is
25	then made our way back to the Arctic Sunrise. We had no	25 used to working with boats like that will know that they
	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub> = - 140	Dec. 151
	Page 149	Page 151
16:47 1	reason to stay there, and we also had reason to suspect	16:50 1 are quite easy to stop: there is a kill cord that you
16:47 1	reason to stay there, and we also had reason to suspect	16:50 1 are quite easy to stop: there is a kill cord that you
2	that we would be there would be an attempt made to	2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our
2 3	that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back	<ul><li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li><li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li></ul>
2 3 4	that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this</li></ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how</li></ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li><li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by,</li></ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>structure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li><li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>structure.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li><li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li><li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00.</li> <li>I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>16 A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>structure.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>arrested.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>structure.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>arrested.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> <li>rig?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear signs or anything that I could hear that I could</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>structure.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>arrested.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>rig?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear signs or anything that I could hear that I could understand. And the guys on those RHIBs had balaklavas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>16 A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>17 there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> <li>18 rig?</li> <li>19 THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I am not sure I understand the question specifically.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that Vas shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear signs or anything that I could hear that I could understand. And the guys on those RHIBs had balaklavas on, so there was no it was very difficult to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>16 A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>17 there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> <li>18 rig?</li> <li>19 THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I am not sure I understand the question specifically.</li> <li>21 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: In your answer, you used this phrase</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear signs or anything that I could hear that I could understand. And the guys on those RHIBs had balaklavas on, so there was no it was very difficult to communicate. So I wasn't able to get any kind of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>16 A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>17 there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> <li>18 rig?</li> <li>19 THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I am not sure I understand the question specifically.</li> <li>21 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: In your answer, you used this phrase</li> <li>22 that two climbers were arrested and sent to the Ladoga.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that we would be there would be an attempt made to detain us as well. So we made the decision to go back to the Arctic Sunrise at that point.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I have one further question for you at this stage. So the entire action at the platform took how long approximately?</li> <li>A. We launched shortly after 4.00, and we were back by, I believe, about well, certainly before 7.00. I can't remember the exact timings.</li> <li>Q. So your estimate is that you were in the water approximately three hours. And in the course of those three hours, you had close encounters with the RHIBs of the Ladoga. Were there any communications between you and the people on board the RHIBs of the Ladoga?</li> <li>A. There was nothing intelligible that I could understand. It was anything that was shouted was in Russian, any sign language wasn't understandable, there were no clear signs or anything that I could hear that I could understand. And the guys on those RHIBs had balaklavas on, so there was no it was very difficult to communication from them in any way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 can turn off. And there was no attempt made to stop our</li> <li>3 boats. We did feel that they would quite possibly try</li> <li>4 and detain one of us by pulling us out of our boats, but</li> <li>5 that never occurred. Everything we saw was focused</li> <li>6 specifically on moving our boats away from where we were</li> <li>7 trying to be, and preventing ropes from going on to the</li> <li>8 structure.</li> <li>9 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: I would like only to have</li> <li>10 a confirmation from you, because if I understand</li> <li>11 properly, answering the questions posed by the Co-Agent,</li> <li>12 you have stated clearly that the two climbers were</li> <li>13 arrested.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: You confirm this?</li> <li>16 A. Mr President, I believe I am being asked to confirm that</li> <li>17 there were two climbers arrested on the side of the oil</li> <li>18 rig?</li> <li>19 THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I am not sure I understand the question specifically.</li> <li>21 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: In your answer, you used this phrase</li> <li>22 that two climbers were arrested and sent to the Ladoga.</li> <li>23 As you probably know, there is an interesting discussion</li> </ul>

Page 150

			<i>,</i>
16:51 1 they were arrested, you are cor	<u> </u>	55 1	DR SÁNCHEZ: Thank you.
2 possible positions which on thi		2	THE PRESIDENT: Do you have any further questions for the
3 A. Mr President, I would say that	_	3	witness?
4 impossible to understand what		4	PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Yes, Mr President.
5 intentions were fairly clear: that		5	Re-direct examination by PROFESSOR LEFEBER
6 people from the side of the rig,	-	6	Q. Mr Ball, are you a lawyer? Do you have any legal
7 come down at gunpoint, and ga	ave them no option other	7	background, any legal training?
8 than to go into the coastguard I	RHIB. And whilst the	8	A. Mr President, I have no legal training other than being
9 word obviously was not used "	arrest", nothing was	9	involved in some events with Greenpeace in the past.
10 intelligible, it was quite clear to	o me that they had	10	Q. Mr Ball, while you were there and when you witnessed, as
11 been arrested. They were not i	nvited to come into the	11	you said in your own words, that the two climbers were
12 RHIB; they were forced at gun	point.	12	captured at gunpoint, you said the word "arrest" was not
13 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: So	o I would say that a very important	13	being used. Did you observe that their rights were read
14 part of your statement is that the	ney were not invited to	14	to them?
15 step down into the boat, but the	ey were somehow forced to	15	A. Mr President, I was not aware that anything that could
16 step down into the boat of the c		16	be understood was being presented to any of us, least of
17 A. Yes, and the coastguard were	forcibly hauling on the	17	all the climbers. To my knowledge, neither of the
18 rope that the climbers were har	nging on. They didn't	18	climbers spoke any Russian, so anything that was said to
19 realise that that prevented them	n from descending,	19	them was unintelligible. From a language point of view,
20 because of the way the climbin	g gear worked, but they	20	I would be surprised if anybody who could speak Russian
21 were trying to force them into	the boat. I would have	21	would be able to understand what was being said either.
22 expected, if they had been gues	sts, an invitation would	22	There was a lot of shouting, it was very unclear, and
have been a little more polite.		23	no, again from my experience, I would imagine or I would
24 PROFESSOR SYMONIDES: TH	nank you.	24	understand that having your rights read to you would be
25 DR SÁNCHEZ: You said that th	ey were taken at gunpoint and	25	quite a formal procedure, and they would ensure that you
D 152			Dec. 155
Page 153			Page 155
C			
16:53 1 then it didn't seem that the	y were free to leave and go 16:5	57 1	were understanding what was being said to you. There
	e	57 1 2	were understanding what was being said to you. There was no attempt made to make any form of understanding
16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they 2 back to their own boats. T	e		
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the	2	was no attempt made to make any form of understanding
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that,	2 3	was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, n the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility	2 3 4	was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, n the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The second second</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the work</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, n the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the w</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The second second</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li><li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the w</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li><li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed,</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The second second</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li><li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li><li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li></ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the w</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga w</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the w</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga w</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the v</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga v</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radia</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, ar</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, ar</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The second second</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the w</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga w</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radiistication of</li> <li>14 there was no option of</li> <li>15 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations were</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the wast</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga wast</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, and</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious it those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people woul</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the v</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga v</li> <li>12 as an activist and a deckhar</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, ar</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, at</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists I</li> <li>20 friend of them, I was concertise.</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The second second</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast of that these two people would rentirely dependent on the weak these two persons would be sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While detained on the Ladoga weak these time on the bridge, and the date with the situation, at the there was no option of back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations were provided that there was no option of the the there was no option of the that there was no option of the tha</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis supply, so the rest of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. They</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the wast</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga wast</li> <li>13 what went on with the radiust and a deckhart</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, at</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists back to the and the situation of the situation of the situation of the situation of the some time on the situation of</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists back to the and the situation of the situatio</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis supply, so the rest of the and there was considerable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I ask the Agents, in the light of the fact that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the wast</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga wast</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, and</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists</li> <li>20 friend of them, I was conce</li> <li>21 health, because she needed</li> <li>22 and she only had one day's</li> <li>23 crew were also concerned,</li> <li>24 worry about her being take</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis supply, so the rest of the and there was considerable n away from her medication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I ask the Agents, in the light of the fact that we have gone through all the witnesses for today, what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast of that these two people would rentirely dependent on the variable of the set two persons would be sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While detained on the Ladoga variable variable of the set with the situation, at the set with the situation, at the there was no option of back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations were about getting our activists back to make the set of the</li></ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis supply, so the rest of the and there was considerable n away from her medication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I ask the Agents, in the light of the fact that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>16:53 1 then it didn't seem that they</li> <li>2 back to their own boats. The</li> <li>3 various testimonies and in</li> <li>4 there was an exchange with</li> <li>5 Arctic Sunrise and the coast</li> <li>6 that these two people would</li> <li>7 entirely dependent on the wast</li> <li>8 these two persons would be</li> <li>9 Sunrise or not. Is that your</li> <li>10 A. Yes, Mr President. While</li> <li>11 detained on the Ladoga wast</li> <li>13 what went on with the radii</li> <li>14 some time on the bridge, and</li> <li>15 to date with the situation, and</li> <li>16 that there was no option of</li> <li>17 back to the Arctic Sunrise.</li> <li>18 Some conversations wer</li> <li>19 about getting our activists</li> <li>20 friend of them, I was conce</li> <li>21 health, because she needed</li> <li>22 and she only had one day's</li> <li>23 crew were also concerned,</li> <li>24 worry about her being take</li> </ul>	hen we have read in the the memorial that after that, in the coastguard, between the stguard, as to the possibility d be released. So it was will of the coastguard whether e able to go back to the Arctic r perception of things? e the two climbers were being whilst in my official capacity and, I was not involved in o conversations, I did spend and I was informed and I was up and it was clear and obvious those two making their way e happening over the radio back and as a personal erned over Ms Saarela's medication on a daily basis supply, so the rest of the and there was considerable n away from her medication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>was no attempt made to make any form of understanding whatsoever, other than a few shouts of something. But at that point, no, there was nothing of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Can you then confirm that Mr Weber and Ms Saarela were arrested in accordance with Russian domestic law and in accordance with international human rights standards?</li> <li>A. I don't believe that they were. They were detained, and to me, it felt more like a capture, just being grabbed, rather than anything formal.</li> <li>PROFESSOR LEFEBER: Thank you very much. No further questions, Mr President.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: No, I think that is enough, we have got enough. So we will ask you also to stand down, but if you are going to be around today and tomorrow, we would like you to be available, because we may want to ask you some further questions. There is no guarantee that we will want to do that, but just in case.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President. I am available tomorrow, so I am at your disposal, if you need me to come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much indeed. May I ask the Agents, in the light of the fact that we have gone through all the witnesses for today, what</li> </ul>

16:59 1	PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Sir, I was about to say, you took the	17:03 1	witnesses. Of course, at the same time, if we wish to
2	words out of my mouth, because I just wrote down we	2	do so, it would be perhaps more elegant to inform them
3	had the same question before us. I just wrote down that	3	tonight that we wish to recall them tomorrow, or rather,
4	I would like to raise the question as to how do we	4	if we are all certain that we don't wish to do that, we
5	proceed next, and you are in effect raising more or less	5	might as well tell them tonight as well. However,
6	the same question.	6	I have not had the opportunity to discuss exactly that
7	For us, it looks as though we have exhausted today's	7	issue with my delegation so far.
8	work, there is one witness remaining, and that is the	8	I would say my submission would be perhaps half
9	person who is going to testify tomorrow morning by video	9	an hour at the very most, or something like that, it
10	link, so I think we can only more or less draw	10	will need some tweaking tonight, on the basis of what we
11	conclusions from the whole of the testimony when we have	11	have heard, but perhaps not much more than that. But
12	listened to her tomorrow morning.	12	I am saying this really tentatively, I haven't had the
13	At the same time, we have listened that you have	13	opportunity to talk to all my learned colleagues around
14	carefully left the possibility open for witnesses to	14	me.
15	return, and indeed it may be that based on the later	15	That suggests to me we listen to the witness
16	testimony, we would like to go back to the earlier	16	tomorrow, maybe suspend a bit, and then maybe move to
17	testimony and raise perhaps some further questions.	17	the final statement, including the submission, unless
18	I would say on a personal basis, a number of the	18	there is any further development. Is there anything
19	witnesses have presented their own views and their own	19	else that you would like us to reflect on, because that
20	experiences, I think that adds more depth to what is	20	is, of course we have presented our thinking, we have
21	initially presented as a legal problem, but with the	21	sent you our written comments, but it might be that on
22	testimony you see the whole picture of the events, and	22	the basis of the testimony, there are further questions.
23	it is quite impressive to talk to people who have been	23	THE PRESIDENT: When I said that at this point, we do not
24	there when all these events took place.	24	intend to call any witnesses, what I meant was that we
25	Of course, somewhere during tomorrow, I will present	25	have not decided to call any particular witness, but
	D 177		D. (10)
	Page 157		Page 159
	rage 157		Page 159
17:01 1		17:04 1	
17:01 1	our final submission, but what steps do we take before	17:04 1	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you
2	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have	2	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any
2 3	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?	2 3	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult
2 3 4	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise	2 3 4	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the
2 3 4 5	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am	2 3 4 5	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening.
2 3 4 5 6	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.	2 3 4 5 6	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not
2 3 4 5 6 7	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really. THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really. THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really. THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?</li> <li>Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?</li> <li>Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?</li> <li>Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?</li> <li>Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses?</li> <li>Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? <b>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD:</b> Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis of the testimony we have heard today, we may wish to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LUNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think we will let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis of the testimony we have heard today, we may wish to reflect a little bit on the testimony.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think we will let you know by the end of the day if we want to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis of the testimony we have heard today, we may wish to reflect a little bit on the testimony.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think we will let you know by the end of the day if we want to ask questions from any other witness, and then tomorrow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis of the testimony we have heard today, we may wish to reflect a little bit on the testimony.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think we will let you know by the end of the day if we want to ask questions from any other witness, and then tomorrow morning, we will operate on that basis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>our final submission, but what steps do we take before we get there? Does perhaps the Tribunal already have an idea as to whether you wish to recall witnesses? Because I think that very much informs how we organise our work tomorrow. Just some general thoughts, I am afraid. I have no clear idea really.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I think you are absolutely right, I don't think that we would want to ask any further questions from any of the witnesses at this stage, I don't think so, but tomorrow, we will have one witness, who will be examined by video conference, and after that, what I wanted to find out was what you propose to do. Do you have a long statement that you want to make, or do you propose to make only a short statement of conclusion? What should we plan for tomorrow, in the light of the fact that, as I said, we do not intend to ask any questions from any of the witnesses?</li> <li>PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: I am not sure my statement is necessarily very long, but I do think that on the basis of the testimony we have heard today, we may wish to reflect a little bit on the testimony.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	I think we will, as you quite rightly suggested, let you know by the end of today whether we want to ask any further questions from any witness. So we will consult and then let you know well in advance, certainly by the end of this evening. You have also indicated that your statement will not be more than half an hour, so we can now perhaps say that barring any questions which we may want to ask of witnesses, we are not likely to extend into the afternoon. So we will have a session tomorrow morning, we will have one witness examined by video conference, we will then let you know whether we have any further questions for any of the witnesses, and then after that, you will then be able to make your statement, and that will be it. So we can plan for tomorrow morning, up to the time when it is necessary, but no more than tomorrow morning. Will that be acceptable to you? PROFESSOR LIJNZAAD: Yes, I think that sounds like a good agenda for tomorrow. Thank you, sir. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. I think we will let you know by the end of the day if we want to ask questions from any other witness, and then tomorrow

Page 158

17:06 1	lunchtime will be.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
13		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 161	

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

Tuesday, 10th February 2015

· /					
A	19:22	45:25 46:14 47:7	101:9 139:1	aggression 140:14	Ambassadors 8:4
abandoned 40:24	acquiesce 6:24	47:18 48:4,11,20	148:8	aggressive 88:1,20	<b>ambit</b> 29:6
ability 39:9 131:21	acquiesced 48:21	48:22 49:9,23	address 13:8 33:18	94:14 119:12	amnesties 68:24
135:24	act 13:9 28:10 29:9	50:2,10,14 51:3,6	127:8	140:13	amnesty 68:12,12
able 4:3 8:14 10:7	36:4,13 107:7	51:10 53:6 64:9	addressed 1:18	agitated 111:17	68:18,21,24 69:3
10:11 21:16	acted 31:7	64:23 65:3 66:13	addresses 26:24	112:18 114:10	69:5 73:11,12
47:19 77:21	acting 5:11 29:3	69:11 80:14	addressing 19:16	ago 16:19 23:23	amount 9:22 36:12
93:18,25 94:19	94:3	98:18 131:23	adds 29:22 157:20	agreed 111:20	amounted 36:3
131:13 132:22	action 5:24,25 6:5	138:8 147:7	adequate 10:16	113:7	130:15
135:24 136:3,14	6:6,21 7:4 15:20	activist 40:22,23	adequately 35:23	agreeing 55:22	amounts 26:14
139:20 149:12,14	16:25 17:25 18:1	68:19 148:17	adhered 45:4	61:6 79:3 85:13	ample 20:1
150:22 154:8	18:3,23 31:1,6,8	154:12	adjourned 78:1	100:19 138:13	Amsterdam 94:6,8
155:21 160:14	31:15 33:20	activists 37:12,17	161:7,10	147:18	analysed 80:22
aboard 113:20	34:11,11,13 35:2	38:5 40:20 47:10	adjournment 53:23	ahead 54:15 77:18	Andrey 3:10 60:4
aborted 30:14	35:13,22 36:19	49:24 52:4,6,18	54:2	98:7 99:25	60:18
126:3,10 135:3	36:21 37:14,20	52:19 53:3 62:18	adjusted 61:23	107:16 121:9	<b>animals</b> 76:14
above-mentioned	37:25 38:5,14	63:2,18 65:15,23	79:19 86:3	138:1 144:18	<b>Anke</b> 1:16 4:19
26:8	39:21,24 40:7,18	67:2,9,15 68:22	101:11 139:3	146:23	Annemarieke 1:17
absconding 66:13	42:7,9,13,16,20	69:3 71:17 75:13	148:10	<b>aim</b> 32:7 53:11,12	4:24
<b>absence</b> 3:10 52:25	42:24 44:5,7 46:5	95:22 101:22	adjustments 61:22	air 132:20	Annex 1:1 9:4
absent 11:24	46:5,10,11,15,22	104:13 105:7	79:18 86:2 101:9	aircraft 26:14	annexed 55:13
absolutely 90:1	46:25 48:5,7 49:3	114:7 130:17	139:1 148:8	29:10,11	<b>Annie</b> 145:22
144:4 158:7	49:4,5,7,19 50:6	154:19	administration	AIS 98:3,5	anniversary 68:13
accept 106:14,23	50:9,19,22,25	activities 7:12	72:16	alas 11:23	announced 46:3
109:7 113:8	51:2,18,23 52:15	22:13 27:8 29:4	administrative	ALBERTO 1:10	88:14
126:6 129:12	53:9 62:8 75:12	33:19 42:25	36:4,23 52:22	alcohol 123:6,6	announcement
acceptable 54:1	80:4 85:4,12 86:9	43:10 44:15	80:23	Aleksandrovich	122:4
83:21 128:13	87:10,19 91:9	47:16 48:10 64:6	admit 52:12 114:4	78:10	<b>annoying</b> 131:17
160:17	92:6,9,16 94:6	64:6 91:6,11,15	admonish 3:3	ALFRED 1:9	<b>anonymity</b> 26:13
access 47:9 81:24	96:19 98:16,24	104:12 124:10	adopted 9:17 13:15	alia 45:17	answer 2:18 59:15
82:2,9 83:8	100:8,10 101:17	126:4 127:5 129:7	23:20 36:23	allegations 27:16	71:9 82:22 83:23
122:18 140:1	105:24 112:15	activity 45:11	advance 40:10 160:4	27:17,21 31:21	93:12 98:11
141:1,2	124:2,10,15,20 124:21,24 125:3	80:13 81:12	advancing 43:9	<b>alleyways</b> 113:23 114:10 120:21	112:6 119:23 132:7,22 134:17
accessible 62:23	124.21,24 125.3	103:6 104:9	advice 36:4 82:20	122:6	136:12,13,14
accidental 132:16	127:1,4 130:5,12	acts 10:25 28:9,11	advice 50.4 82.20 advised 109:9	allotted 17:8	150:12,15,14
accompanied 40:7	131:8,9,20 132:2	28:15,18 29:6	adviser 57:24 58:2	allow 15:18 20:23	answering 89:4
111:22 144:1	132:10,25 133:13	actual 132:24	advisers 5:1	37:16 63:23 82:8	152:11
accompanying	138:5 139:8,10	add 25:7 70:10	advisor 4:9,11,23	117:2 126:1,11	answers 1:24 77:1
24:3	147:9,10 148:15	108:12,14 123:15	Affairs 4:10,11,22	126:23	83:13
account 42:3 47:17	148:19,21,24	124:22	4:24,25 8:6	allowed 9:25 58:20	antenna 95:10,13
55:17 72:3	149:19,23 150:6	Added 129:18	afraid 132:22	73:15 107:10	anticipate 127:3
123:17,23 144:24	actions 5:19,20,22	addendum 55:2,12	136:12 144:8	122:5,6,7,8,9,13	anticipated 88:1
accounts 53:17 accuracy 79:22	5:23 6:2,4,10,11	55:16 56:1,10,15	158:6	126:18 127:9	94:21 126:3
83:6	6:25 7:1 13:14	57:12 61:9,20,24	aft 109:25 110:12	allowing 126:14	131:9
83:0 accurate 61:20	16:22 17:23 18:5	79:7,16,20 85:17	afternoon 7:13	allows 20:12	anxious 31:18
62:21 79:16	18:9,22 21:22	85:25 86:4	78:8 79:3 85:9	alluded 16:18	anybody 59:22
80:17 85:25	28:7 30:13,16	100:22 101:8,11	89:7 100:12	alongside 142:14	98:6,9 120:4
86:16,20,23	31:12 32:3,12	138:16,25 139:3	137:8 138:11,12	146:2	149:16 151:24
101:8 102:2	34:1,4,8,15 35:8	147:22 148:7,10	147:13,14 160:10	alright 3:17 94:23	155:20
138:25 139:14	35:12 36:6,22	addition 1:20 8:3	agenda 160:19	119:15	anyway 76:21
148:7	37:6 38:9,11,12	14:11 80:20 81:6	Agent 1:16 3:11 4:9	Alternate 2:7	apart 49:17 50:21
achieve 39:11	38:16,22,24 39:3	additional 1:25	17:22 33:17	alternative 46:18	51:14
124:22	39:18 40:13	11:3,7,12 41:11	34:10	Altogether 108:23	Apatity 63:20
achieved 46:18	42:12 44:12,14	41:23 61:21 72:8	Agents 156:23	ambassador 2:3	apologise 104:25
acknowledges	44:18 45:13,24	77:12 79:17 86:1	aggravated 10:21	4:17 8:22	112:25 123:11

The Court Reporter Ltd www.thecourtreporter.eu

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
133:11	Arctic 1:1 1:4 5:8	argued 31:13	ascertain 103:21	125:10 142:2	106:4,12,17
apparent 24:6	5:14 6:7,9 7:2,20	argues 21:22	125:13	150:25	108:6,22 109:3
appeal 36:11 68:1	9:19,24 10:9,14	arguing 48:3,6	ascribed 28:11	August 10:10 55:11	110:10 112:10,16
81:7 109:16	10:23 13:14,23	argument 19:18	ashore 89:11	56:2 57:20 61:10	112:17,19,20,24
appeals 58:10 68:7	14:14 15:2,20,23	arguments 13:12	asked 9:13 34:24	79:8 85:18	113:12,15 114:8
76:21	16:6,25 20:22	17:7,9 34:22	35:1 52:8 69:14	100:24 126:18	116:12,12,14
appear 1:19 3:20	21:2,12,14 22:13	75:19,22,22,23	73:5,5 82:24 83:1	138:17 147:23	120:5,18 121:8
12:6 33:15 42:12	23:3,11 24:8,10	76:2,3	83:18 104:10	Austria 1:5 2:3	122:3,19 137:18
appeared 1:17,22	24:21 25:3,4,10	Argus 39:7	106:15 111:13,25	4:17	141:9,14,19,20
appearing 1:9	25:17,23,25 26:3	arisen 8:2	123:13 127:12	author 55:15 56:6	143:1 147:9
82:12	26:6,14 28:1,8,19	arises 59:6	133:3 152:16	61:13,16 79:11	149:25 150:3,8
appears 2:5 24:11	28:23 29:1,2,12	armed 28:14	asking 83:1 86:24	79:13 85:21,22	154:2,8,17,19,25
42:18	30:5,22 31:6,8,16	114:15 115:3	aspect 70:16	101:2,4 138:20	156:21 157:16
applicability 14:16	31:19,20 32:5	120:3,16 146:2	assembling 58:4	148:1,3	background 155:7
71:19	34:5 35:2 39:7,22	arms 121:16	assertions 17:1	authorise 15:19	backs 116:5
applicable 17:21	39:23 40:9,12,21	army 121:14,15	assessed 12:24	19:23	<b>bad</b> 41:19 128:10
20:5 29:21 44:24	40:24,25 41:3,19	arose 11:12 65:21	assessment 70:13	authorised 82:20	bag 145:21 146:4
45:3,12 68:18	42:9,13,25 44:12	arranged 145:20	83:22	83:10	<b>bail</b> 10:5,6 41:4
76:20	44:15 49:12,20	arrangements 33:2	assistance 80:8	authorities 5:5	51:21 66:7 67:3,4
applications 81:3	50:1,3,15 51:11	67:4	81:10	21:11 26:11	67:24 68:10
81:22	51:16 57:1,3,4,7	arrest 50:3 51:19	Assistant 2:6	36:18 37:13	<b>bails</b> 68:6
applied 18:20	57:25 58:6 62:7	75:25 78:13	associate 78:10	38:11 41:3 43:14	balaklava 115:6
36:10 65:12	62:15 63:2,4,17	119:17 142:9	assume 82:7	48:18 52:20 53:2	121:14
71:14,16 76:22	64:23 65:4,7,14	151:15 153:9	assurance 97:14	64:24 72:3 82:1,7	balaklavas 150:20
applies 17:23 18:13	65:23 67:9,13	155:12	assured 82:1	83:13 96:2,8	balance 13:2,6,16
27:3 69:6	68:17,19,21 69:6	arrested 20:24	102:24 103:22	108:7	31:2 47:20
apply 17:4 19:5	72:2,6,11 74:25	21:20 37:17 41:3	attached 35:16	authority 65:14	balanced 12:20
30:8 66:10 73:12	75:13,20 78:13	43:22 44:10	104:6 140:17	69:23 70:3 72:15	balancing 7:8 12:2
76:4,22	80:2,9 81:8,14	51:16 52:4,17	attaches 45:1	128:3	12:9 13:9 47:17
applying 18:15	85:3,11 86:11	89:11 125:14,15	attaching 47:8	available 2:21	<b>Ball</b> 4:9 146:14
appraised 95:21	88:12 89:8,10	149:24 152:13,17	attachments	45:23 77:11 84:6	147:1,1,3,11,13
appreciation 22:3	91:5 92:3,8,21	152:22,25 153:1	135:14	99:6,8 136:8	147:17,24 151:5
apprehend 118:11	97:7 98:4,23	153:11 156:6	attempt 21:2 30:14	137:2,5 146:11	151:9 155:6,10
apprehended	99:17 100:4,9,16	arresting 51:25	30:15 103:24	156:17,20	<b>bands</b> 117:16
104:17	102:19 103:5,12	arrival 12:12 37:8	105:2 124:25	averted 30:25	banner 87:9 88:7
approach 91:19	106:16,21 107:20	46:4 65:17 102:4	126:10 130:16	avoid 2:25 110:6	91:21 94:18
149:18	107:21 114:13,18	102:7 140:9	135:3,8,10,12,18	149:14	124:12,12,13
approached 87:6	114:22 119:20	arrived 103:17	136:4 142:6	avoidance 45:8	140:2,18,24
87:20 90:2	125:9 126:8	111:24 134:6,11 140:9	150:2 151:12,18	avoided 19:2	141:6,16
133:20 143:24 146:1	127:9 129:17	<b>article</b> 13:22 14:3,5	152:2 156:2	<b>awaiting</b> 26:7 <b>award</b> 11:20	<b>banners</b> 102:17 <b>Barents</b> 42:21,23
approaches 134:4	132:4 133:4,9 138:6 139:16	14:18,19 16:10	<b>attempted</b> 126:3 133:20 148:25	aware 1:9 6:16	51:23 118:12
approaching 89:24	140:16,22 141:10	18:14,21 20:4,11	attempts 81:21	96:3,5,7,10,15	<b>barred</b> 15:11
appropriate 20:15	140:10,22 141:10	20:20 21:9,13,24	92:22 151:20	98:21,25 111:3	barrels 110:2,3,6
21:25 22:1,14	145:12 147:2,10	20:20 21:9,13,24 22:18 24:22	attended 58:8	125:8 126:16	barring 160:8
approved 94:4	148:17 149:25	26:16 27:1,4,9,10	attention 1:17	128:9 134:4	base 110:24 120:7
approximately	150:4 154:5,8,17	28:5,9 29:8 32:2	17:14 24:4 32:17	141:25 151:10	148:19
25:10,22 37:18	<b>area</b> 12:13,16	63:14 64:8 65:1	47:12,20 53:20	155:15	<b>based</b> 56:16 59:7,8
43:20 87:7	35:18,20 47:14	68:20 71:5,14,15	67:11 72:10,13	awareness 35:22	91:7 127:21
103:23 150:7,12	73:17,25 75:3,17	71:16,20 76:11	81:7	u (fui chebb 33.22	130:7 136:1
<b>arbitral</b> 1:1 4:1 9:3	76:5,8,20 80:11	articles 19:25	attitude 81:23 82:5	В	157:15
11:11	94:9,10 102:5	58:25 59:1,2,4,10	attorney 66:6	<b>B</b> 135:3,3,11	<b>basic</b> 17:14
arbitration 1:1,22	110:1,12 121:23	68:25 73:11	attract 47:19 67:11	back 7:16 33:5	basically 109:23
1:4 7:18 8:24 9:2	122:12 128:25	artificial 22:24	attributable 21:8	77:20,23 88:24	110:17 112:14
10:20 11:14	areas 10:1 19:6	23:8	audio 57:17,17	89:5 95:14,25	113:21 118:19
archipelago 42:22	argue 107:3	Ascension 43:2	auditory 25:8	104:24 105:16	120:8 121:7
			1		1

#### The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

	1				
122:19 130:15	124:12,14 136:6	31:8,10,16,25	bridge 90:3,21,24	cameramen 141:8	26:21 27:11
133:23	139:4,6 148:11	34:4 44:3 49:11	93:16 97:4	141:9	29:22 30:9 36:20
basing 66:7	beyond 12:14 19:4	49:14,25 50:15	101:20 104:5	cameras 139:19	36:25 44:4 45:19
basis 5:22,24 6:10	20:7 123:12	50:18,23 51:4,11	109:3 115:17,24	141:9	49:11,17 50:20
18:1 20:21 24:14	<b>big</b> 75:9 115:7	129:11	116:8,16,16	camouflage 120:21	51:14 53:16
27:2 31:9 33:7	119:25 121:16	boat 24:24 75:12	117:5 118:4,23	camouflaged	59:16,22 62:5,19
34:12 37:23	128:14	86:13 88:9,25	120:12,13 122:7	114:14	62:22,25 63:3
38:14 42:15 44:5	<b>bigger</b> 95:7,8	89:4 90:9 93:5	133:7 134:13,15	campaign 29:1	64:18 66:1,8,17
45:14 49:4,6,13	<b>bill</b> 41:7 74:23	95:2 97:7,20,24	139:16 141:12	47:5 57:25 124:1	67:21 68:8,17
50:2,4,8,13 72:17	<b>bin</b> 71:22	98:2 113:8	145:20 154:14	124:3,6,7 128:18	69:1,2 70:8,20
154:21 157:18	binoculars 103:14	133:18 142:5,7,7	briefly 5:3 7:16	129:13 140:16	72:12,14,20 73:5
158:19 159:10,22	<b>bit</b> 90:10 98:7	142:8 149:9,12	bring 1:16 63:24	campaigner 99:17	73:9 83:3,19,20
160:23 161:4	102:12 104:19	151:11 153:15,16	71:24 77:17	100:4,18 124:4	84:5 94:7 96:21
<b>bear</b> 131:16	105:18 107:16	153:21	114:3 123:18	124:23 126:25	99:17 111:3
bearing 128:24	112:12,20 114:4	boats 22:20 25:4	<b>bringing</b> 10:22	Canary 39:25	114:9 133:8
131:25	117:24 120:5	75:8 87:8,20	brings 32:15 53:18	49:21 51:18	136:20 137:1
<b>becoming</b> 114:10 <b>began</b> 104:15 105:3	126:14,21 128:3	88:10,11 93:8	broad 115:2	capable 143:18	146:9,12 156:19
105:15 115:23	129:1 142:6 158:21 159:16	94:21 95:5,7,8,9	broke 87:18 148:23	capacity 58:10 82:16,17 154:11	<b>cases</b> 38:13 49:18 50:21 51:15
beginning 52:8	<b>blades</b> 114:17	95:12,17 102:21 103:7,15,17,17	<b>brought</b> 6:14 32:8 45:25 63:25	capsule 30:14 47:8	64:16 67:22
62:12 67:3 69:24	116:24	103:18,21 104:18	120:18 121:7,12	135:1 136:8	68:19 71:16
94:25 130:3	Blueward 46:8,19	104:20,24 105:5	Brussels 4:15,19	captain 37:19,23	72:22
<b>behalf</b> 1:17 3:3 1:7	46:22	105:14,15 109:21	bumpers 75:11	41:5 43:18 51:17	case-by-case 45:14
1:9,11 5:2 12:6	<b>board</b> 5:10 6:9 7:2	139:22 140:19	BURMESTER 1:8	80:23 85:3,11	cash 123:7,8,8
61:2 78:16 99:18	7:23 9:7,16 10:15	143:24 144:1	71:1,25 73:14	94:24 101:20	casts 25:11
100:16	10:23 13:15	148:23 149:4,8	81:20 82:22 92:1	109:24 116:18	category 63:16
<b>behaviour</b> 45:10	14:15 15:3,24	149:14 151:25	92:18 93:13	119:9,15,20	caught 116:15
82:18	17:1 21:1,4 22:14	152:3,4,6 154:2	134:1 151:9	122:1 124:24	cause 132:11,12
<b>belief 5</b> 4:20 60:13	28:1,7,23 29:2,11	<b>bodies</b> 81:8	business 130:9,10	127:9 128:16	caused 35:25 90:10
78:23 84:21	30:5,17 32:5,9	body 145:17		133:3 134:14	causing 41:16
99:24 137:25	41:6 43:22,23	<b>bond</b> 9:21,23	C	141:13 145:20	caution 29:19
146:22	44:2,8,10 51:20	<b>Bonn</b> 4:13	cabins 120:24	captain's 124:19	cells 66:19
beliefs 29:3	51:25 52:2 57:9	books 113:11	122:9,11,18,19	capture 156:10	central 70:2
believe 17:6 22:20	62:8 80:3 88:12	<b>boot</b> 116:13	122:20	captured 153:5	<b>centre</b> 72:13
24:17 64:25 70:7	88:14,24 90:9,12	boots 121:14	cages 64:1	155:12	centuries 27:16
83:18 87:6 90:4	92:15 93:4 97:24	border 118:14	call 12:1 43:2 47:12	<b>car</b> 63:25	certain 1:19 13:12
95:7 98:4 106:13	99:17 100:9,16	<b>borne</b> 17:14	78:4 84:13 90:22	cards 57:9,16	15:15 25:16
107:25 108:19,23	103:6 105:16	<b>bottom</b> 35:15,25	104:24 106:5	care 40:23 122:13	56:21 58:21
112:5,21 115:13	106:2 107:25	36:2 37:4	159:24,25	carefully 12:20	69:22 70:13 72:8
119:9 122:3,15	108:15 109:19	Bouma 1:16 4:19	called 3:7,10,13,16	157:14	76:8 82:14 97:14
139:21 150:9	113:4,11 118:1	<b>bound</b> 108:19	4:1,5,9 45:6 54:8	<b>cargo</b> 37:10,10	159:4
152:16 156:9	118:24 120:17	boundary 75:2	60:4 78:5 84:14	carried 6:1,11 18:3	certainly 72:7 93:4
<b>believes</b> 27:19 69:5	124:4 126:8	<b>bow</b> 88:16 108:24	90:3 98:5 99:11	18:9 31:10,25	95:16 96:21
<b>belong</b> 23:12	127:11 129:12,19	branch 115:6 119:4	102:20 104:13	34:13 46:22 49:7	107:6 124:8
belongings 69:7	130:10,11 133:4	breach 59:1 128:9	106:6,12 109:3	50:16,25 51:6	132:20 135:25
122:24	133:9,15,17,21	129:9	111:11,24 112:5	124:7 128:25	142:4 144:4
<b>belt</b> 12:13	138:5 141:21,24	breadth 20:3	134:14 137:16	138:9 147:7	150:9 151:1
Benatar 1:16 4:18	143:6 145:18	break 32:19 33:1,4	146:14	<b>carry</b> 47:15 145:16	160:4
<b>beneath</b> 102:16	150:15 151:12	33:7,10 53:23	<b>calling</b> 120:4	<b>carrying</b> 46:10	<b>cetera</b> 130:7,7
benefit 32:24	<b>boarded</b> 21:15	54:675:177:20 77:23 137:012	calls 24:13	90:20 124:14	chained 38:3
<b>berth</b> 37:16	24:10 31:6 37:15	77:23 137:9,12	calm 88:22 calmed 118:23	<b>cars</b> 64:1	challenge 48:25
<b>best</b> 46:15 56:11 61:25 62:2 66:17	43:19 51:22 52:3 52:23	137:14 <b>breaking</b> 118:5,6	camera 117:8,9	<b>case</b> 1:3 3:25 4:1 5:4 4 7:1 12:23	128:13 <b>change</b> 64:25
79:21 86:5,6	<b>boarding</b> 5:7 6:7	120:25	123:1 141:8	5:4,4 7:1 12:23 13:6 15:1 17:5	changed 67:15 68:8
98:22 101:12,14	20:21 23:3,13	breaks 73:6	125.1 141.8 146:3	18:11,17 19:1	71:3 148:24
110:5 115:8	25:25 26:13 27:2	breath 116:15	cameraman 147:2	21:9 24:10,25	<b>channel</b> 102:21
110.5 115.0	23.23 20.13 21.2	<b>SI CULI</b> 110.15	cumer aman 177.2	21.7 27.10,23	Chamber 102.21

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

charge 71:3,3 92:1	climbers 52:16	154:4,5,7	common 119:10	conclude 13:15	conscience 54:17
140:3,4	87:21 88:3,6	code 63:14 64:7,8	communicate	21:19 68:24	60:10 78:21
charged 37:21 52:5	91:15 95:23	65:1 69:20 71:23	101:23 102:18	concluded 3:3	84:19 99:21
58:4 65:7,10 71:7	102:15 104:10,16	118:21	104:11 119:24	47:20	109:17 137:22
charges 10:22	104:17 105:21	<b>coffee</b> 33:1,2	129:16 130:20	concludes 58:14	146:19
22:10 32:8 37:24	113:4 114:3	cognisance 21:17	131:16 150:22	70:21 81:15	conscious 34:23
63:12,12,13,17	135:5 141:1	<b>cold</b> 64:1,3	communicated	125:20 142:20	consensus 124:25
chartered 28:20	148:25 149:20	<b>Colin</b> 66:1,23 67:8	28:2 115:19	151:5	<b>consent</b> 5:6,11
chase 142:12,18	151:24 152:12,17	68:8	128:17	conclusion 69:4	15:16 16:23 44:1
chased 142:13	152:22,24 153:18	colleague 43:3	communicating	71:10 158:14	49:16 52:24
<b>check</b> 107:8	154:10 155:11,17	colleagues 70:23	110:24 128:24	conclusions 157:11	consequence 21:13
chilling 11:1 34:2,5	155:18	80:7 81:18 88:24	communication	condemns 45:9	consequences 65:2
38:17 51:13	climbing 49:24	89:15 102:24	56:20,23 92:4	condition 48:4	71:11
53:15	52:16,18 105:6	105:20 125:22	95:15,16 96:7	113:6	consequently 15:22
chose 94:9	130:9 153:20	142:22 159:13	111:4 117:11,16	conditions 24:19	conservation 28:22
circle 102:11	close 25:9 63:5	collision 45:8	118:18,20 124:5	64:4,4 69:22 72:1	39:2
106:21	94:12 106:20	collisions 41:16,18	124:8 128:20	conduct 11:3,12	consider 75:3
circled 89:5	111:17 123:19	combined 15:9	144:8 145:19	21:7 41:25 46:25	considerable 47:19
circumstances	143:10 150:13	<b>come</b> 4:1 16:17	150:23	71:8 76:15	154:23
16:21 17:16	161:5	21:10 33:5 57:18	communications	conducted 38:24	consideration
18:17 19:5 36:25	closed 73:17 74:3	77:20,23 96:13	92:2 150:14	39:23 58:12	14:25 27:14
37:1 38:13 64:3	closer 23:1 145:4	133:24 134:10	commuted 67:25	69:24 80:13	considerations
65:11 66:19 83:3	clothes 121:15	137:8 139:17	company 4:16	conducting 107:17	17:14 19:5
132:11	coast 35:8 51:18	140:5 153:7,11	80:11,21 81:2	conference 77:12	considered 22:14
civil 45:17,21,25	coastal 5:18 6:18	156:21	comparable 35:2	77:14 158:11	27:12 36:14
48:3	6:20 7:8 12:3,16	coming 54:9 60:7	comparing 123:4	160:11	45:14 46:13,16
claim 9:5 10:18	12:22 15:16	90:12 99:12	competent 36:17	<b>confirm</b> 56:6,7,8	46:21 67:24
11:3,7,10 31:22	16:21 21:25	112:24 114:2	48:17	61:13,19,22	considering 8:23
claims 10:17 11:7	24:16 31:4 34:8	115:13,15 133:15	competing 12:9	79:11,13,15,18	9:1 17:15 37:22
11:12 30:4 45:22	34:20,23 48:22	133:17,23 134:16	complete 93:10	79:22 80:15,18	42:5
Claire 1:24	49:2 53:5,12	135:13 137:17,18	completely 33:6	82:14 83:7 85:20	considers 1:15
clarification 2:10	129:10	commander 119:11	67:21 90:9 108:2	85:24 86:2 101:2	consisted 6:5 30:13
clarifications 77:12	coastguard 21:5,7	commence 3:11	completes 89:13	101:4,6,10	47:7 135:3
clarify 59:7 134:24	43:19 52:14,23	24:15 43:10	complexities 72:8	138:20,23 139:2	constant 72:25
151:9	88:9,10,13,25	commenced 1:4	compliance 16:13	148:1,3,5,9	92:3
clashes 92:20	89:4 90:15 93:5	21:11 28:24	complicated 67:25	152:15,16 156:5	constituted 1:1
clear 29:1 46:17	97:20 98:23 99:3	commencement	complied 47:1 48:1	confirmation	32:5
52:25 97:13,15	101:23 102:6	43:7	complies 48:13	152:10	constitutes 25:12
104:2 105:24	103:6,10,17	<b>comment</b> 73:16	comply 16:12 24:18	confirmed 62:21	29:9
121:1 125:2	104:9,11,13,18	97:17	43:19 109:11	80:17 86:15,19	Constitution 68:14
129:20 131:1	106:2,5,6,19	commentary 72:17	comprehensive	86:23 102:1	construct 19:23
144:7 150:18	107:4,14 108:17	commented 72:14	14:8 123:16,23	103:7 139:14	construction 19:24
151:3,4 153:5,10	109:4,15 110:4,9	72:15	compulsory 36:8	confirming 153:1	construed 17:17
154:15 158:6	110:16 111:14,23	comments 80:21	computer 123:1	confirms 45:10	consult 160:3
clearly 26:20 50:4	112:12,18 113:3	82:18 159:21	concept 26:12	confiscation 23:25	consultation
92:24 103:12	113:5,7,20 114:3	commercial 64:14	<b>concern</b> 8:1 26:24	conflicts 7:11 33:18	101:20 104:22
107:17 108:10	118:9,10,13	64:15 74:12	115:16 117:6	48:10	106:12 112:10
114:15 128:15,17	119:1,3,8 120:17	commission 27:16	concerned 25:14	confrontation	125:2 126:6
130:5 131:17	120:23 125:9	27:24	35:24 42:17	39:13 118:5	consultations 8:3
144:5 152:12	126:19 128:16	committed 28:18	46:21 145:15	confrontations	82:21
<b>client</b> 81:6	129:19 130:24,25	30:5,6	151:15 154:20,23	39:19 92:25	contact 97:6
<b>climb</b> 21:3 91:10	133:20 140:8	committee 23:23	concerning 26:4	confronted 141:17	102:23 109:3
135:3	141:7,25 142:1	27:22 36:5 57:14	69:14	143:2	127:16
<b>climbed</b> 26:5 30:20	143:23 149:3,10	60:22 70:1,3	concerns 16:14	<b>connection</b> 27:18	contacted 97:20
climber 104:3,4,5	149:17,24 151:20	72:18,19 81:4,13	22:5 41:24 50:24	43:6 60:21 68:13	113:2
104:16	153:8,16,17	81:24	91:4	71:17 78:13	contacts 63:1 69:12
	l				

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

102:6	cooling 88:19	157:25 159:1,20	<b>crux</b> 20:10	dealing 27:20	60:20 62:11,13
contain 57:16	cooperation 44:16	court 1:22,24 46:9	cumulative 24:19	64:15	62:14,15 73:25
79:17 86:1 139:1	cooperative 115:4	46:10,16,20,23	current 15:1 29:14	deals 58:24 89:20	defend 16:25 48:16
contained 82:14	coordinate 62:14	47:4,11,20,22	currently 37:22	126:1,2	62:19
83:7 110:3	101:21	48:13 58:9,10	<b>curt</b> 121:11	<b>December</b> 10:5,7	defendant 66:4,7
contains 61:21	coordinator 92:6	64:12 66:1,21	custody 66:17	65:12 68:11 69:7	definitely 134:8
101:9 148:8	124:21,24 125:3	67:6,14 68:8	customary 5:16	73:23 131:7	delay 37:8 38:1
contemporary	125:5,6 127:15	76:17 77:10	13:20 14:2 19:13	decide 8:14 137:1	68:2
26:19	138:5 139:10	courts 46:1 48:9,19	26:16 28:6	decided 11:23	delays 73:5
contending 12:24	<b>coped</b> 68:5	64:14,15 65:22	cutter 88:11	36:11 104:23	delegated 124:21
contention 20:9	<b>copy</b> 55:25 61:8,17	65:24 66:4 67:12	cutting 93:9	112:10 126:6	delegation 1:9 3:12
71:21	67:16 79:6 85:16	68:1		159:25	4:7 159:7
<b>contents</b> 56:8 61:22	100:21 138:15	Covenant 45:17	D	deciding 142:18	<b>deliver</b> 105:11
79:18 86:2	147:21	<b>cover</b> 55:17 107:18	daily 131:18	decision 7:20 36:11	113:3,9,10
101:10,14 139:2	cord 117:19,20	covered 14:23	154:21	53:24 64:5,20	delivering 102:15
148:9	152:1	covering 14:8	damage 31:19	65:14 67:6,20,21	demand 143:25
<b>context</b> 32:11	cornerstone 14:1	Co-Agent 1:16	35:25 40:20	68:8 73:6,8 80:19	demanded 67:3
continental 19:21	corollary 16:4,5	4:12 6:23 7:10	75:14 109:12	80:22 120:1	112:1
23:9 29:16 64:21	correct 56:10 61:24	32:23 33:6,12	110:8,22 132:11	125:1 127:17,19	demanding 108:14
<b>continue</b> 66:12	79:20 86:4,6 94:5	60:15 152:11	132:12,16 143:17	141:8 143:5	demanding 108.14 demands 108:13
77:21 103:3	95:3 97:10	<b>co-operate</b> 44:21	damaged 143:15,18	150:3	129:22
123:13 129:16	101:12,15 132:12	co-ordinates 36:17	149:10	decisions 48:14	demeanour 118:16
130:6,20 148:24	138:22 139:4,6	111:21	danger 59:1 75:10	64:12,17 67:8,12	demonstrate 13:5
continued 58:2	141:3 148:11,13	craft 22:21 24:24	132:3	67:14,14,17 72:4	15:25 34:7
108:16 112:7	correction 29:19	crashing 149:17	dangerous 45:10	72:24 73:2	demonstrated 34:3
continues 3:2 11:18	correspond 87:4	create 132:3	73:25 102:18	124:19 161:6	49:13 50:17 51:8
contract 38:2	corrigendum 55:2	created 74:4	104:8 105:19	decision-making	demonstrating
contracts 62:18		105:20	110:8 132:21	125:3	65:5
	55:12,16 56:1,10				
	56.15 57.12 61.0	amonting (1,1)	1/0./		
contraptions 65:8	56:15 57:12 61:9	<b>creating</b> 81:10	149:4 dongong 47:12	<b>deck</b> 89:8 107:18	demonstration
contrary 21:23	61:21,24 79:7,17	creative 39:13,19	dangers 47:13	109:25 114:11,22	91:14
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1	<b>creative</b> 39:13,19 <b>crew</b> 57:4 63:8,11	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16	91:14 demonstrations
<b>contrary</b> 21:23 <b>contribution</b> 27:20 <b>control</b> 57:15 89:9	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22	<b>creative</b> 39:13,19 <b>crew</b> 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17	<b>dangers</b> 47:13 <b>Daniel</b> 3:7 54:8,11 55:8	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25	91:14 demonstrations 35:5
<b>contrary</b> 21:23 <b>contribution</b> 27:20 <b>control</b> 57:15 89:9 142:8	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16	<b>creative</b> 39:13,19 <b>crew</b> 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10
<b>contrary</b> 21:23 <b>contribution</b> 27:20 <b>control</b> 57:15 89:9 142:8 <b>convenient</b> 2:3,5	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4	<b>creative</b> 39:13,19 <b>crew</b> 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6
<b>contrary</b> 21:23 <b>contribution</b> 27:20 <b>control</b> 57:15 89:9 142:8 <b>convenient</b> 2:3,5 <b>Convention</b> 1:2 1:6	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5
<b>contrary</b> 21:23 <b>contribution</b> 27:20 <b>control</b> 57:15 89:9 142:8 <b>convenient</b> 2:3,5 <b>Convention</b> 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 depanture 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16 <b>declare</b> 54:16	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 depandent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16 <b>declare</b> 54:16 60:10 78:20	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16 <b>declare</b> 54:16 60:10 78:20 84:18 99:21	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16 <b>declare</b> 54:16 60:10 78:20 84:18 99:21 137:22 146:18	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12	109:25 114:11,22 114:24 115:16 116:2,4 117:25 118:3,24 122:7 122:21 133:23 135:4,19 <b>deckhand</b> 147:2 148:18 154:12 <b>decks</b> 122:6,8,9 <b>declaration</b> 54:14 54:21 60:8,9 78:19 84:16 99:14,19,20 137:19 146:16 <b>declare</b> 54:16 60:10 78:20 84:18 99:21 137:22 146:18 <b>declared</b> 40:4,16 49:22 <b>declined</b> 88:15	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14 conviction 128:15	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22 106:19 107:15	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6 cross 94:21	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8 123:10 135:25	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ 76:10\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11 derived 20:13
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14 conviction 128:15 convince 110:16	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22 106:19 107:15 112:16 119:23	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6 cross 94:21 crossing 94:19	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8 123:10 135:25 136:15	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ 76:10\\ \textbf{deduced}\ 16:10\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11 derived 20:13 38:14
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14 conviction 128:15 convince 110:16 130:6	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22 106:19 107:15 112:16 119:23 124:19 125:1	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6 cross 94:21 crossing 94:19 crowded 64:3	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8 123:10 135:25 136:15 day's 145:15	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ 76:10\\ \textbf{declared}\ 16:10\\ \textbf{deemed}\ 25:5\ 73:25\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 departure 38:2 depandent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11 derived 20:13 38:14 deriving 20:20
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14 conviction 128:15 convince 110:16 130:6 convincingly 52:25	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22 106:19 107:15 112:16 119:23 124:19 125:1 137:4 140:19	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6 cross 94:21 crossing 94:19 crowded 64:3 66:19	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8 123:10 135:25 136:15 day's 145:15 154:22	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ 76:10\\ \textbf{deduced}\ 16:10\\ \textbf{deemed}\ 25:5\ 73:25\\ 149:23\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 dependent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11 derived 20:13 38:14 deriving 20:20 descending 89:24
contrary 21:23 contribution 27:20 control 57:15 89:9 142:8 convenient 2:3,5 Convention 1:2 1:6 5:16 8:18 9:4 12:11,21 13:20 13:22 14:3,3,19 16:11,15 17:21 17:24 18:15,21 18:22 19:12,25 20:4,11 21:23,25 22:15,18 26:16 27:1,4,5 28:6 29:8 32:2 45:18 50:8,20 conversations 154:13,18 convey 44:22 conveyed 1:20 43:14 conviction 128:15 convince 110:16 130:6	61:21,24 79:7,17 79:20 85:17 86:1 86:4 100:22 101:8,12 138:16 138:25 139:4 147:22 148:7,11 <b>Council</b> 36:11,14 60:21 <b>counsel</b> 1:17,21,22 3:1 4:20,25 7:7 12:2 34:10 49:14 50:18 54:12 55:9 78:13 <b>counselling</b> 105:17 <b>counted</b> 108:23 <b>countries</b> 10:16 <b>country</b> 107:5 <b>couple</b> 23:22 71:2 <b>coupled</b> 15:7 <b>course</b> 1:21 2:1,9 30:16 32:22 84:8 91:5 97:22 106:19 107:15 112:16 119:23 124:19 125:1	creative 39:13,19 crew 57:4 63:8,11 90:13 105:17 106:15 108:15,22 109:9 110:12,13 111:15 112:1 113:11,20 114:18 116:3,18 120:14 120:17 121:25 122:18 123:2 154:23 crime 27:14 28:4 28:17 crimes 72:23 criminal 10:22 22:10 27:25 58:6 58:11 60:19,22 62:5,13 63:14 64:7,8 65:1 69:2 69:9,17,20 70:15 71:21,23 75:20 76:17 82:6 cross 94:21 crossing 94:19 crowded 64:3	dangers 47:13 Daniel 3:7 54:8,11 55:8 dare 70:5 date 55:5 73:17 154:15 dated 1:15 55:3,11 56:2 61:10 73:22 79:8 85:18 100:23 138:17 147:23 day 1:6 8:25 9:2 43:2,16 89:10 101:19 133:13 136:7 137:5 139:10,15,20 140:4 160:21 161:10 daylight 139:17 days 10:3 23:3,13 23:14,22 63:12 67:23 73:8 123:10 135:25 136:15 day's 145:15	$\begin{array}{c} 109:25\ 114:11,22\\ 114:24\ 115:16\\ 116:2,4\ 117:25\\ 118:3,24\ 122:7\\ 122:21\ 133:23\\ 135:4,19\\ \textbf{deckhand}\ 147:2\\ 148:18\ 154:12\\ \textbf{decks}\ 122:6,8,9\\ \textbf{declaration}\ 54:14\\ 54:21\ 60:8,9\\ 78:19\ 84:16\\ 99:14,19,20\\ 137:19\ 146:16\\ \textbf{declare}\ 54:16\\ 60:10\ 78:20\\ 84:18\ 99:21\\ 137:22\ 146:18\\ \textbf{declared}\ 40:4,16\\ 49:22\\ \textbf{declined}\ 88:15\\ \textbf{dedicated}\ 28:21\\ 76:10\\ \textbf{declared}\ 16:10\\ \textbf{deemed}\ 25:5\ 73:25\\ \end{array}$	91:14 demonstrations 35:5 Denis 144:10 denying 144:6 departed 95:5 145:3 146:5 department 69:25 70:5 72:18 73:23 departure 38:2 departure 38:2 depandent 154:7 depends 160:25 deploy 30:14 102:17 130:19 deployed 40:17 103:25 105:3,9 115:13 132:23 deploying 114:20 114:21 deposited 141:11 depth 157:20 deputy 4:11 derived 20:13 38:14 deriving 20:20

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

Buj I		i eli euse	10.201102	1 4050	ay, rourreordary 201.
describe 62:20	64:13,14 65:18	57:2 124:9	72:8 98:25	14:17 15:6 16:4	encompasses 14:21
80:16 86:14,18	71:17 76:22 95:8	display 124:13	downstairs 121:11	19:21 27:3 28:25	encounters 150:13
86:24 101:25	109:6 111:12	displaying 135:9	<b>DR</b> 1:10,16,16	34:9 35:14 36:7	encouraged 107:8
139:13 145:13	116:6 118:25	disposal 60:1,2	75:16 76:24	39:19,22 40:1	endangered 45:8
described 57:23	120:24 129:1	106:25 136:24	94:24 95:4,18	42:8,14 47:4 49:3	endangering
123:20	differentiation	156:21	96:1,7,12,16,22	49:20 53:7 71:18	104:12 149:16
description 29:23	67:13	dispose 136:21	96:24 97:5	76:9,13 129:6,7	ended 31:5 37:14
87:3 96:19	difficult 150:21	disproportionate	143:23 144:13,15	education 74:11,12	40:17 76:7 77:19
133:12	digital 117:9	107:3	153:25 155:1	Edward 147:1,17	ends 28:19 29:7
design 124:10	<b>Dima</b> 141:13	dispute 5:4 8:7,24	draft 23:18	effect 9:11,14 11:1	49:2
designed 102:17	Dimitri 4:1 99:11	9:3 10:17,21	dragged 70:9	15:10 31:22 34:2	enforce 128:4
132:19	99:15 100:3,14	11:14 19:19	dramatic 94:15	34:5 38:17 46:24	129:10
designers 127:1	diplomatic 7:19,25	29:15	dramatically 88:1	47:23 51:13	enforcement 5:20
desk 60:8 78:19	8:3,20 9:1 28:3	disrespect 65:6	draw 17:13 24:3	53:15 91:12,15	5:22 6:2,4,20
84:17 99:14	direct 3:8,11,14,17	disrupt 91:19,24	72:10 157:10	124:21 134:3	14:9 15:11,23
137:20 146:17	4:2,6,10 30:23	disruption 151:11	drawers 122:22	157:5	16:22 17:19,23
despatch 40:9	43:11 55:21	distance 25:12 89:5	drawing 81:7	effective 48:6	18:5,9,14,16,21
destined 145:13	60:15 61:3 79:2	93:16 95:13	drawn 103:19,20	effects 91:9,14	19:12 20:19
detail 20:6 103:3	85:8 92:9 98:16	102:12 103:11	drill 64:10 131:21	126:3 127:4	26:18 31:12,15
detailed 97:3 99:1	100:11 111:9	106:18 107:15	drilling 38:2 40:2,3	131:9	32:3,12 34:1,4,15
124:14	130:24 138:10	132:20	40:5,6,8,10,13,15	effectual 58:22	36:23 38:15 42:8
details 124:20	147:12 148:20	distant 149:18	40:24 43:7,9,9,10	effort 16:24	42:11 48:22 49:9
125:4	directed 29:9 49:23	distinguished 1:7	43:12,13,16,21	efforts 81:1 83:8	50:19,25 51:6,10
detain 150:3 152:4	55:10 58:18	distress 149:5	44:6 47:13,16	118:11	52:20 53:2
detained 9:20,25	60:25 78:15 85:6	district 58:9 60:24	50:7 51:24	Eiriksson 47:9	engage 22:18
37:18 38:5 41:7	100:6 124:5	disturbed 131:18	102:19 129:17	either 2:20 21:14	engaged 27:8
63:7 82:6 103:21	138:8 147:6	<b>Divers</b> 38:3	140:15	70:4 118:15	140:10 145:19
106:15 114:8	directing 92:16	diversity 35:21	driver 37:19,23	134:13 144:12	engagement 140:12
154:11 156:9	98:18 124:2	39:10	drop-off 145:21	155:21	engine 142:8
detaining 5:7,10	direction 107:20,21	dock 37:17	due 13:21 16:7	elaborate 20:23	149:10
detention 10:24	directions 116:6	docked 40:25	18:16 36:24	126:13	engines 143:17
21:10 22:11	directly 109:8	document 61:17	<b>Duma</b> 23:24 68:16	electronic 123:1	English 29:25 61:5
32:10 63:10,18	111:7 127:19	74:9,13 102:3	74:22	elegant 159:2	116:21 121:10
63:19 65:19,21	135:17	139:20	dumping 36:3,13	element 28:10,17	144:4,7
65:22 66:2,10,16	disable 142:7	documented 108:6	duration 15:5	elements 26:10	enjoy 12:17
66:23 67:1,7,24	disagreement	documents 3:22	Dutch 35:9 37:7	ELENA 2:5	enjoyed 14:12
68:6,9	20:10	55:13,17 56:5,6	38:11 41:12	eleven 23:13,14	enquired 122:11
determination 23:6	disappear 116:10	56:25 57:17	43:14 45:4 46:9	<b>email</b> 96:20 97:1	enshrined 27:1
determine 22:1	discharge 37:10	61:12,15 62:1,5	47:4 48:8	embarking 17:13	enshrines 14:4
determined 74:14	discovered 58:22	62:23 63:4,10	duties 7:8 12:3,10	emergency 105:16	ensued 121:7
develop 113:17	discrepancy 58:22	79:10 85:20	12:18,24 13:10	emerges 24:4	ensure 9:24 20:16
developed 24:7	59:5,6	101:1,15 122:25	16:5,9	emphasise 2:23	39:9 45:7 74:10
developing 34:22	discretion 7:3 22:1	138:19 147:25	<b>duty</b> 16:13	14:24 24:22	74:17 81:4,13
development 26:19	53:13	doing 95:24 109:18		41:20 82:12	83:8 94:2 124:8
68:15 115:19	discuss 7:7,11 12:2	112:9 117:13	E	83:15 88:5	155:25
159:18	111:14,18,19	120:8 130:12	<b>e</b> 27:9	emphasised 44:25	ensured 45:3
developments 55:1	112:3 159:6	142:15 144:2	earlier 46:6 59:24	employed 119:25	ensuring 124:6
55:20 62:24	discussed 8:7 23:23	151:21	105:1 133:12	employee 99:16	entail 22:2
110:25	94:6,7	domestic 27:25	134:16 157:16	100:15	enter 116:15 117:2
develops 20:6	discussing 74:23	45:12 56:25	early 80:8 81:4,13	enable 15:16 40:22	128:7 135:18
<b>devices</b> 97:25 98:10	126:9	57:18 156:7	83:8 102:9 113:1	130:19 137:10,11	entered 26:3 75:3,5
<b>Diederen</b> 1:17 4:21	discussion 17:13	<b>door</b> 114:13 121:23	earth 39:9	enabled 136:9	entering 47:24
difference 127:23	26:3 89:1 106:6	146:1	easy 152:1	enables 20:14	74:21
1/10.22			<b>economic</b> 5:9,20	25:13	entire 100:10
129:3	110:15 126:22	doors 118:5			
different 8:10,10	152:23	doorway 121:18	7:9 12:4,9,15	enacted 23:5	139:20 150:6

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

entitled 23:5	68:15 70:13,17	12:3,8,15 13:5,11	expert's 69:15	55:10,16,25 56:9	20:15,20 21:8,23
entrance 116:8,20	70:19 87:3 102:3	13:19,24,25 14:4	explain 17:3 56:13	56:14,16 57:6,11	23:3,5,9 24:10,14
entreat 109:14	103:15 104:25	14:6,7,11,17 15:6	57:21 59:5 62:6	57:20 59:7 61:8	24:19 27:23
enumerate 62:3	109:1 113:12,17	15:7,21 16:4,19	62:10 80:1 86:8	61:20,23 62:1,21	28:25 29:17 31:2
environment 4:21	123:21 127:18,24	17:3 19:21,23	101:16 139:7	66:8,11,14,14	31:5,14,23 32:4
28:22 35:19 36:1	130:15 132:16	27:3 28:25 34:9	148:14	68:23 70:11,12	34:18,19,25
81:11 128:12	145:14 147:3	35:14 36:6 39:19	explained 127:21	79:6,16,19,23	49:12 50:16
environmental	155:9 157:22,24	39:22,25 42:7,14	explanation 59:13	80:15,17 81:21	52:10 53:17
29:3 39:14 47:13	eventually 105:23	47:3 49:3,20 53:7	67:19 69:22	82:14,15 83:6,7	57:14 60:23 61:1
envisaged 74:20	111:5 112:8	53:8 76:9,12	explicit 19:8 30:2	83:21 85:16,25	63:15,16 64:9,15
envisages 64:9	117:1 130:16	Excuse 86:17	exploration 39:25	86:3,15,19 89:19	68:16 69:4,20
envisaging 76:11	140:25 142:16	executed 63:10	128:25	89:22 100:22	70:15 73:24
equipment 69:14	EVGÉNIYA 1:21	executing 100:7	explore 12:8	101:7,10 102:1	74:22 76:4,6,6,8
97:24 117:7,8,11	evidence 2:18	140:4	explosion 110:7	126:17 127:14	78:14,16 115:1
117:17 118:2,6	31:21 41:19 60:5	exercise 5:14 6:18	expose 39:13	131:6 138:15,24	128:3
120:1 131:24	78:6	11:1,4 15:17 16:8	128:21	139:2,14 142:25	Federation's 15:23
141:8,12	exact 36:17 130:22	24:18 25:19	<b>exposure</b> 46:16	147:21 148:6,9	16:12 19:22
equipped 132:5	150:10	32:13 33:20 34:2	expressing 8:1	factual 15:18	feel 128:8,12 129:6
equipping 132:24	exactly 33:5 59:13	38:17 44:7 45:2	expression 33:21	failed 24:19 30:13	131:2 140:16
Erik 1:16 4:14 12:1	73:17 93:14	51:13 53:15	38:18 48:17 49:1	31:2 32:1 53:17	152:3
<b>ERKEL</b> 2:12	102:8 159:6	67:16 68:12 90:3	51:14	135:2	feet 116:10
erroneous 128:5	examination 3:8,11	93:15	extend 108:1 160:9	failure 11:8	fell 28:8 113:14
error 59:11	3:14,17,19 4:2,4	exercised 27:6	extended 10:21,24	fairly 72:2 103:16	116:13
<b>escorted</b> 63:8,23	4:6,8,10,12 2:16	33:23 41:15	20:6 22:11 32:9	106:20 113:1	felt 105:19 107:2
especially 35:24	7:15 53:21 54:24	44:24	extending 73:18	119:10 120:16	111:16 112:11
95:14 125:14	55:21 58:14	exercising 15:11	74:3	130:21 153:5	116:11,13 128:2
127:4	60:15 61:3 70:21	26:18	extends 14:5	<b>fall</b> 7:2	128:17 129:3,11
Esperanza 39:6	77:22 79:2 81:16	exhausted 157:7	extent 1:19 83:14	falling 12:13	129:13,20,21
42:21 43:3,8,23	85:8 89:14 98:14	existing 24:7	104:2	falls 76:18	156:10
44:2 50:6 51:22	100:11 125:20	expanse 12:14	<b>extra</b> 65:11	<b>familiar</b> 61:15	feverishly 110:23
essence 64:6	134:25 138:10	expect 90:19 91:24	extracted 57:10,13	115:8	fibreglass 87:13
essential 14:25	142:20 144:19	93:8 96:23	extraordinary	familiarised 62:22	figure 118:8
24:20 28:10	147:12 151:6	119:23 130:8,23	160:24	far 19:2 27:4 28:8	<b>file</b> 67:17
39:15	155:5	131:12	extremely 64:1	69:11 74:25	files 56:21,21 57:9
establish 83:10	examine 54:3,22	expectation 72:25	72:22 140:17	92:10 94:16	fill 24:7
established 23:7	examined 54:13	92:24 135:21	144:9	107:20 123:16	<b>filmed</b> 147:3
43:6 53:1 66:11	158:11 160:11	expected 44:17	F	128:1 134:17	<b>filming</b> 147:7
66:20 73:19 74:1	example 2:3 35:13	82:5 93:7 94:8	<b>face</b> 109:19	151:10 159:7	<b>final</b> 1:24 73:9 158:1 159:17
74:9 97:8 establishes 29:8	36:20 39:21 42:20 44:11 46:2	98:19 105:8 115:22 130:13	faces 114:14	faster 112:13 fate 129:19	finalisation 57:19
establishment 42:2	47:2 57:16	144:3 153:22	facet 12:8	favour 13:6 47:21	<b>finally</b> 10:7 11:16
74:2	124:11	expecting 88:21	facilities 65:19,23	fax 102:25	29:8 57:7 119:14
estimate 144:23	examples 35:4,7,10	141:15	facility 63:19	feasible 9:2	<b>financial</b> 9:21,23
150:11	37:6 39:17 46:2	expedition 127:16	facing 67:24	February 1:6 1:1	find 158:12
estimated 97:2	exceed 13:16	130:3	fact 2:7 25:21	federal 60:23	finding 24:9
et 130:7,7	EXCELLENCY	experience 71:8	36:16,18 60:9	<b>Federation</b> 1:14,19	finds 2:2
<b>European</b> 45:18	2:3	82:4,10 91:8,21	64:22 67:5,11	1:5,10 2:7 5:5,9	fine 120:9 123:22
66:21	exception 15:21	105:8 115:2,8	75:9,17 80:18	5:12 6:5,14 7:3	161:2
evacuate 110:12	30:9	130:8,12 136:1	81:8 82:12	7:19,22 8:7,11,16	finish 160:25
evening 1:11 2:1	exceptional 17:17	155:23	102:21 109:25	8:19,23 9:6,8,15	fire 87:16 91:22
43:3 160:5	exceptionally 63:15	experienced 87:4	120:2 123:5	9:19,23 10:2,8,12	95:21 96:4 105:8
event 36:8 112:25	exceptions 15:25	88:2	129:3,18 136:4	10:18,20,25 11:4	107:1,6,11
113:12	16:20,24 17:3,15	experiences 123:4	156:23 158:16	11:8,11,13,19,22	108:21,23 109:8
events 7:17 8:12	exchange 154:4	157:20	facts 7:14 21:17	13:4,11,13,16,21	110:5,7,20 111:6
20:8 28:13 29:14	exchanged 3:23	expert 69:13 70:14	25:1 26:20 27:11	15:20 16:1,8,24	111:8,9 128:16
56:19 58:1 59:8	exclusive 5:9,19 7:9	115:7 131:24	29:11,22 55:3,4	19:17,20 20:12	fired 104:16 108:9

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

Tuesday, 10th February 2015

-					
108:17,24 112:21	152:5	57:9 63:4 64:12	123:25 128:13,18	gloating 119:14	grateful 74:5 132:7
149:6,22	focusing 17:9	83:2 123:6 129:2	134:21 136:18	global 39:14	grave 65:5 71:10
firing 88:16 93:9	follow 16:1 46:5	foundation 20:1	141:22 144:20	go 7:16 19:3 32:25	72:22
110:6	108:20 134:1	64:22	146:7 150:5	54:14 64:2 69:8	gravity 18:17
firm 14:2 78:11	followed 7:14	four 39:5 89:6	155:2 156:12,18	77:9 87:1 88:6,7	great 45:1
80:5,8,9	13:12 23:21	112:7 116:17	157:17 158:8	91:20 94:5 99:25	green 39:15
first 4:6 5:23 8:15	35:10 109:7	132:5 145:25	159:18,22 160:3	112:10,14,17	Greenland 47:4
13:9 17:10,16,25	112:19	Fourth 26:13	160:12	114:8 116:11,14	Greenpeace 28:20
22:3 23:19 24:22	following 2:4 6:5	framework 80:13	Furthermore 10:19	117:10,15 119:17	35:8,11,15,24
27:21 28:10 31:5	7:21 10:3 21:2	80:22 81:12	future 2:21 39:16	119:21 120:6,6	36:5,10,16,18
34:10 35:13	22:12 31:6 43:16	82:19	44:14 45:13	122:13 135:19	37:8,12,20 38:1
36:15 39:21 46:2	51:18,22 62:8	Francis 138:4		138:1 144:18	39:1,4,5,8,18
49:5,11 53:19,25	80:3 87:12 89:6	Franckx 3:5 4:14	G	146:23 147:9	40:19,20,21
56:18 60:7 67:7	161:10	12:1,5	G 18:11	150:3 153:8	42:23 43:4,15
69:1,2 71:9 74:11	follows 7:7 13:8	FRANCKZ 1:16	gained 46:13 58:10	154:1,8 157:16	44:12,14,22
76:2,3 80:18	food 113:10 145:21	Frank 4:5 98:19	141:1,2	goals 29:2 39:11	45:20,25 46:3,9
89:20 90:4,11,22	foot 91:16	116:7,9,13 125:6	<b>gaining</b> 47:9	goes 120:1	46:13,17,23,24
90:25 97:6,19	<b>footage</b> 104:21	136:13 137:16,21	gasoline 110:3	<b>going</b> 32:23 77:8,9	46:25 47:5,12,18
99:19 105:2	footing 14:2	<b>free</b> 2:3 72:24 154:1	gather 126:7 gathered 120:15	84:6 87:14 91:10	47:23 48:1,13
108:16 114:1 116:25 117:10	<b>force</b> 18:23,25 19:2 19:3,11 31:14,20	<b>freed</b> 67:2 68:9,9	122:1	91:11,12,17 92:11,14 93:25	49:24 50:11 54:12 55:3,9,11
119:9 121:13	31:23 36:21	freed 67:2 68:9,9	gathering 123:2,3	92:11,14 93:25 94:17,18 96:5,21	57:15,25 58:23
122:4 124:1	39:14 40:18 42:1	11:1,5 14:12,14	<b>Gazeta</b> 23:14	98:9 103:13	59:3 60:20 61:2
126:5,20 127:8	42:16,17 44:8	14:17,24 15:8	Gazprom 38:3 46:8	104:6 108:7	62:17 66:5 78:12
129:24 133:13	51:1 75:18 90:14	32:6 33:21,22	103:1 119:5	113:12,16 119:15	78:17 80:6,9
135:9 144:21	126:20 153:21	34:2 38:17 48:16	130:6	119:17 120:24	89:19,21 99:16
<b>fish</b> 76:13	forced 64:25 153:6	49:1 51:13 53:9	gear 149:12 153:20	129:21 136:22	99:18 100:15,17
<b>fishermen</b> 118:11	153:12,15	53:16 122:10	general 12:23	139:19,19,25	126:17 131:4,9
<b>fit</b> 128:4	forces 87:25 93:3	freighter 46:8	15:13 24:4 30:10	145:18 146:10	139:11 155:9
five 7:25 38:4 54:2	94:13 115:3	frequently 71:14	31:11 67:17	149:12 152:7	GRIMMER 1:21
71:13 87:8 89:3	120:3	72:14	107:19,21 158:5	156:16 157:9	ground 27:7,13
95:5 112:8	forcibly 153:17	friend 154:20	generally 18:13	good 3:19 22:19	grounds 27:10,23
116:18 142:17	foreign 4:10,11,22	front 56:5 61:12	83:21 95:10	24:16 41:15	30:8 128:8
144:25 145:1	4:24,25 8:5 22:19	79:10 85:20	96:18	55:22 60:6 61:4,5	group 122:3
fixed 29:16,24 30:2	25:13 27:7	101:1,5 138:19	generated 113:18	74:16 78:7 79:3	groups 12:19 92:25
flag 5:8,13 7:9 12:3	foreign-flagged	147:25	gentlemen 4:2	85:9 92:14	guarantee 136:25
13:18,23,25 14:4	16:22 27:2	<b>FSB</b> 90:15	gesturing 115:10	100:12 112:9	156:18
14:7 15:7,10,16	forgive 71:21	Fuerteventura	115:11	119:12 138:11,12	guard 120:16
15:21 16:19,23	forgot 104:25	39:24	getting 88:24 92:23	146:4 147:13,14	guarding 116:20
17:4,15 30:11	112:25	<b>fulfil</b> 92:13	154:19	160:18	guards 118:14
31:3 34:18,21	form 12:12 15:8	<b>full</b> 1:24 72:3 83:14	<b>give</b> 3:2,4,10 7:13	GORIATCHEVA	132:3
35:10 38:20,25	156:2	116:16	33:12 48:9 54:10	1:22	guess 113:16
39:5 40:6 43:4	formal 155:25	<b>fully</b> 11:8 12:14,20	55:25 61:8 67:19	gotten 135:23	130:22
44:16 48:23	156:11 formally 10:10	131:7 <b>function</b> 94:2	68:3 70:16 79:6	governed 76:9	guesstimate 143:19
52:25 53:8 108:7	<b>formally</b> 10:10		83:1,4 85:16 87:3	government 8:9,13	guests 21:5,5
flares 149:5,6 flashes 108:10	20:24 formulating 31:22	<pre>functioning 145:23 furnished 41:11</pre>	100:21 129:10 138:15 139:18	11:20 42:4,17 45:4,6 48:19	152:24 153:22 guilty 69:5
fledged 12:21	formulation 14:21	furniture 120:25	143:24 147:21	43:4,6 48:19 50:24 98:2	gunty 69:5 gun 107:19 117:1
fleet 74:12	<b>forth</b> 69:16 120:5	further 1:22 14:18	given 2:15 19:18	<b>governmental</b> 81:8	121:10
fleshed 12:21	forthcoming	20:2 27:12 38:7	25:3,9,12,15 77:2	governments 98:6	gunpoint 153:7,12
floor 3:10 33:12	119:13 122:17	41:22 46:16	95:10 98:22	government's 35:7	153:25 155:12
flying 5:8 13:23	forward 107:18	59:24 71:25	105:14 117:2	52:13	guns 96:4 103:19
38:25 39:5 40:6	109:10 110:13,15	73:14 77:4,13	125:8 126:11	grab 141:7	105:18 107:23
43:4 48:23	116:12	84:2,7,13 98:12	135:24 150:24	grabbed 118:2	108:11 110:10,11
focus 18:8	forwards 149:13	98:15 99:7 104:8	151:2	156:10	116:6 118:15
focused 34:20	found 42:15 50:4	107:16 111:4	giving 29:14 92:4,5	granted 10:5	121:17 125:14
				-	

The Court Reporter Ltd www.thecourtreporter.eu

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

140:20 146:3	114:16 118:3,5	holds 20:25	84:23 85:9 90:12	45:2,22 48:18	initially 142:4
149:7,22,22	120:24 133:5,7	home 10:15 69:8	99:13 100:12	65:3 68:21 72:15	157:21
guys 121:17 150:20	133:22 134:17	112:14	137:19 146:15	73:12 74:2	initiate 41:9 80:23
<b>gym</b> 90:2	150:19	honest 97:21	147:15	106:25 116:18	initiated 6:15 41:5
	heard 2:18 25:13	honestly 91:2 96:11	ignored 37:12	159:17	51:16
H	93:24 95:21	honesty 131:12	<b>III</b> 37:15 39:6 52:3	incompatible 27:5	initiating 9:1 52:1
hairy 121:16	96:14 103:16,19	honour 3:19 12:6	IJmuiden 38:1,10	independently 73:3	initiation 6:8 7:17
half 87:18 133:13	104:2 113:23	33:15 54:17	50:12	<b>INDEX</b> 3:1	8:17 10:19
159:8 160:7	123:4,9 133:3,6	60:10 78:20	illogical 92:12	indicate 41:14	initiatives 23:16
halfway 68:11	147:9 158:20	84:18 99:21	illustrate 38:11	98:17	injunction 46:24
110:1	159:11	137:22 146:19	illustrated 6:23	indicated 8:13,17	47:23
hand 114:6 116:11	hearing 1:3,19,22	hooliganism 22:10	48:17,21	9:7 17:22 33:17	injunctive 45:23
Handed 56:3 61:10	2:1,5,9,14,20 3:2	32:8 65:4,11	image 124:14	79:22 81:25	injured 40:22,23
79:8 85:18	3:3,5,6,8,9 4:4	68:20,25 71:4,6,9	imagine 110:25	100:4 123:21	injury 40:19 53:4
100:24 138:17	93:22 126:21	71:13 73:12	151:1 155:23	160:6	<b>inside</b> 106:20 117:3
147:23	134:8 161:10	hope 1:17 2:11 4:3	immediate 142:4	indicating 116:24	129:5
hands 32:23 33:2	hearings 58:8	129:24 131:20	144:8	indications 25:21	insignia 90:11
33:24 121:8	heave 90:18 106:7	hoped 11:22 136:6	immediately 7:14	51:5	115:5 116:24
146:3	109:7	hopefully 77:21	9:6,15,19 23:17	indicia 64:7	118:15 120:22
hang 91:21 140:2	heavy 63:16 118:15	141:16	47:5 53:22 54:2	individual 12:23	insisted 66:15
140:24 141:6	held 18:25 81:9	hoping 94:10,11	104:1 111:20	62:17	insisting 128:19
hangar 110:2	helicopter 25:25	97:23 113:17	113:7 117:18	individuals 10:5	inspection 88:15
hanging 141:16	89:8,24,25 90:1,5	horizon 139:18	118:4 119:1	28:14	90:19,20 91:2
153:18	90:6,8,20 102:17	hoses 87:16 91:22	121:23 122:23	indulgence 4:6	106:11,14,23
happen 59:25	104:1 113:25,25	93:7 105:8	126:10 134:15	13:17 23:1 52:7	109:8 126:2,7,11
111:1 113:16	114:1,11,12,19	hostage 129:23	140:10	inertia 74:15	126:14,19,23
130:9 151:16	114:21,24 116:2	hot 17:11 22:18	immovable 64:19	inflatable 25:3 75:8	127:10 133:14,17
happened 7:1	134:4,5,11	24:15,18 25:19	impact 30:24 72:20	75:9,11 105:5	134:3,9,15
37:11 68:25 69:1	135:11,17	26:12,22	impede 40:9	133:18	<b>Inspectorate</b> 41:12
37:11 68:25 69:1 93:3 95:19 96:25	135:11,17 <b>help</b> 117:19	26:12,22 hour 88:16 112:5	impede 40:9 implement 11:9	133:18 inflatables 102:14	<b>Inspectorate</b> 41:12 41:14 42:4
37:11 68:25 69:1 93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20	help 117:19	hour 88:16 112:5	implement 11:9	inflatables 102:14	41:14 42:4
93:3 95:19 96:25		<b>hour</b> 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7	implement 11:9 implications 19:16	<b>inflatables</b> 102:14 103:5 105:21	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24	<b>help</b> 117:19 <b>helpful</b> 1:16 137:8 158:22	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18	<b>implement</b> 11:9 <b>implications</b> 19:16 24:9 149:13	inflatables 102:14	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3	<b>implement</b> 11:9 <b>implications</b> 19:16 24:9 149:13 <b>implying</b> 25:14	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 <b>happening</b> 91:12 93:19,20,21	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25	<b>implement</b> 11:9 <b>implications</b> 19:16 24:9 149:13	<b>inflatables</b> 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u>	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intended 28:9
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11 hauling 153:17	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25 historical 68:23	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19 90:1 92:14 158:3	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10 41:13,25 42:6	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17 133:14,16 154:14	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intended 28:9 intending 91:16
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11 hauling 153:17 head 70:14 116:10	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25 historical 68:23 history 38:23	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19 90:1 92:14 158:3 158:6	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10 41:13,25 42:6 52:21 53:4	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17 133:14,16 154:14 informing 110:24	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intended 28:9 intending 91:16 intent 89:25 141:7
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11 hauling 153:17 head 70:14 116:10 heading 122:16	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25 historical 68:23 history 38:23 hoist 87:14 94:17	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19 90:1 92:14 158:3 158:6 identical 30:1	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10 41:13,25 42:6 52:21 53:4 Incidentally 37:10	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17 133:14,16 154:14 informing 110:24 informs 158:4 Infrastructure 4:20	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intended 28:9 intending 91:16 intent 89:25 141:7 intention 24:1 46:3
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11 hauling 153:17 head 70:14 116:10 heading 122:16 headquarters	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25 historical 68:23 history 38:23 hoist 87:14 94:17 105:6	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19 90:1 92:14 158:3 158:6 identical 30:1 112:6,22	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10 41:13,25 42:6 52:21 53:4 Incidentally 37:10 include 22:23 39:1	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17 133:14,16 154:14 informing 110:24 infrastructure	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intended 28:9 intending 91:16 intent 89:25 141:7 intention 24:1 46:3 87:9 88:6 91:18
93:3 95:19 96:25 97:3 115:20 123:24 happening 91:12 93:19,20,21 96:17,25 108:4,4 113:22 117:25 125:12 154:18 happens 86:12 95:1 124:18 160:24 161:5 happy 62:10 70:10 70:16 119:21 120:6,18 127:22 hard 65:17 hardship 63:24 harming 46:19 harmless 36:15 harsh 65:2 hatred 65:11 hauling 153:17 head 70:14 116:10 heading 122:16 headquarters 45:21 103:1	help 117:19 helpful 1:16 137:8 158:22 Henry 1:8 85:3 Herrengasse 1:4 Hewetson 4:5 98:19 116:8 125:6 136:14 137:16,21 138:4 138:5,11,18 141:22 142:19,24 144:21 146:6 147:9 high 14:4 132:19 142:11 144:9 higher 23:24 highest 117:5 Hill 1:24 hinder 43:12 hinted 88:25 historical 68:23 history 38:23 hoist 87:14 94:17 105:6 hold 139:16	hour 88:16 112:5 159:9 160:7 hours 25:22 37:18 38:4,6 52:6 53:3 89:3 108:25 112:8 121:3 122:2 136:7 150:12,13 housekeeping 3:7 hovering 90:23 114:12 hull 25:3 135:13,15 human 45:7,18 66:21 156:7 humanity 19:5 hunt 76:14 <u>I</u> ice-resistant 29:15 idea 3:15 88:19 90:1 92:14 158:3 158:6 identical 30:1 112:6,22 identification	implement 11:9 implications 19:16 24:9 149:13 implying 25:14 importance 26:21 45:1 important 12:8,13 27:19 123:15 129:14 153:13 imposed 36:7 52:21 72:8 imposing 24:12 impossible 44:6 92:11 98:1 149:11 153:4 impress 108:3 impressive 157:23 inappropriateness 82:18 incident 24:8 41:10 41:13,25 42:6 52:21 53:4 Incidentally 37:10 include 22:23 39:1 127:13	inflatables 102:14 103:5 105:21 106:8 132:25 inform 109:25 110:4 120:2 159:2 information 8:1,12 8:16 41:11,23 44:11,19 56:14 56:17 57:8,13,22 61:21 69:13 71:1 79:17 86:1 96:24 97:14 99:2 101:9 101:21,22 134:9 139:1 148:8 informed 7:19 8:9 8:22 26:6 42:25 44:13 59:10 102:21 111:13 122:15 127:17 133:14,16 154:14 informing 110:24 informs 158:4 Infrastructure 4:20	41:14 42:4 inspectors 133:4,9 installation 130:13 installations 22:25 23:8 instances 15:15 instant 140:11 instituted 27:25 instruct 58:7 instructed 113:8 instructions 26:7 37:12 92:4,5 95:18 143:25 instruments 69:15 intelligible 150:16 153:10 intend 84:13 102:22 158:16,23 159:24 intending 91:16 intent 89:25 141:7 intention 24:1 46:3 87:9 88:6 91:18 97:16 140:1

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

-	1	1	1	1	
153:5	121:17	29:25 75:4,6	95:20	L	67:18 70:15
inter 45:17	introduce 4:7 5:4	107:10 108:17	kept 22:10 63:25	lacked 20:7	74:24,24 76:19
interacted 81:12	55:7 60:17 74:23	159:7	64:3 65:23	lacunae 24:7	76:20 78:11 80:5
interest 30:24	78:9 85:2 100:2	issued 10:4 46:23	120:15 121:3	Ladoga 21:5 25:9	80:7,12 82:21
interested 88:23	138:3 146:25	47:22 109:5	122:2 145:18	25:24 26:2,6	109:17 156:7
126:21	introducing 3:12	112:23 120:11	kill 152:1	90:18 140:8	lawful 14:13,20
interesting 152:23	introduction 7:7	issuing 121:10	kilometres 63:20	145:4,12,19,24	15:17 25:20
interests 6:17,22	17:22 70:19	items 57:13	kind 32:17 105:25	149:24 150:14,15	lawfulness 45:13
46:19,22 47:12	investigate 42:5	<b>i.e</b> 151:22	116:11 131:9	152:22,24 154:11	laws 16:12 22:22
47:14,17,21 48:9	investigated 41:13		150:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22:23 24:17
48:12 62:16	52:21 72:23	J	kindly 84:16	<b>laid</b> 28:5 45:16 80:14	lawyer 60:19 80:5
interfere 53:9	investigation 27:22	<b>jack-up</b> 38:2	kinds 118:25	land 108:6 110:24	82:4 155:6
131:3,13 144:1	41:10 42:3 60:22	<b>January</b> 1:15 23:22	<b>Kingdom</b> 1:12,17		lawyers 62:14,25
interference 15:4,9	65:20 72:3 82:6	55:4,18,20	2:2,3,7 3:21 4:7	111:3 114:4,7,8	70:14 75:21
30:11 32:5,7 49:1	investigative 57:14	JANUSZ 1:9	5:7 8:6 11:17	114:22 115:19	lead 99:17 100:4,17
53:10,14	60:22 65:13,14	<b>Jensen</b> 145:22	12:7 13:3 33:16	118:14 120:7	110:7 124:4,23
interfering 103:18	69:11,25 70:3,8	<b>job</b> 92:6,12,17,17	55:6 60:17 78:8	124:20 127:15	126:25 132:16
internal 38:10	72:17,18 81:4,13	95:22 139:11	85:1 100:1 138:2	landing 114:12,19	leader 124:1,3
50:12	81:24	joined 87:24	146:24	language 112:22	leading 7:17
international 2:7	investigator 72:22	joint 118:11	Kirkenes 112:17	150:18 155:19	leads 12:7
5:17,21,25 6:1,3	73:3	journalists 118:1	Klaverbank 35:16	Lanzarote 39:24	leaning 114:15
6:12 9:9 13:20	investigators 69:12	judge 1:8 67:6,20	35:20,24 36:3,20	40:25	learned 159:13
14:2 16:15 17:21	72:21,24 73:5	80:20 93:21	37:4	large 105:3	leave 9:25 10:7,11
18:1,4,6,10,11,24	<b>invitation</b> 108:1,1	judges 68:2	knee 116:5	late 43:3	43:12,15 77:10
19:7 20:5 22:6,16	153:22	judging 71:11	kneeling 116:4	latest 8:25	112:15 122:5
26:17 27:15 28:5	<b>invited</b> 62:10,16	judicial 6:8 8:17	knew 69:11 90:22	latter's 13:4	128:19 133:19
28:6,17,20 31:11	153:11,14	22:6 36:10,24	93:4,6,6 117:13	launch 103:9	143:5,10 154:1
32:1 34:6,12,14	invoke 65:1	41:4 51:17 52:1	<b>knife</b> 117:19	launched 23:16	leaving 105:11
34:16 41:17 45:8	invoked 13:13	jump 142:7	knives 31:19 93:9	102:14,22 140:8	143:2
45:12,17 49:6,8	21:13	juncture 7:16	96:4 103:20	140:21 150:8	led 37:1
49:10,13 50:17	<b>invoking</b> 65:10	June 10:9 35:15	105:21 140:20	launching 106:8	Lefeber 1:16 3:6,8
51:1,7 54:12 55:3	<b>involve</b> 37:6 49:25	47:2	149:5	laundry 121:5,12	3:11,14,17,19 4:2
55:9,11 57:15,25	50:23 51:4	jurisdiction 5:15	knots 143:19,20	121:23	4:4,6,8,10,12
62:17 66:6 76:19	involved 28:12	10:1 11:21 13:25	know 72:12,21	law 1:2 1:6 4:15	4:10 33:14 54:23
76:20 80:7,10	37:20 40:8 44:17	13:25 14:4,8,9,11	74:10 92:11 96:3	5:16,17,20,21,21	55:21 58:13
99:18 100:17	57:24 58:1 63:3	15:7,12,17,22	96:20 99:2	5:25 6:1,2,3,4,12	59:18,21 60:16
107:7 108:21	124:1,9 132:24	16:19 17:4,10,16	109:18,20 112:1	6:20 8:18 9:10,13	61:3 77:3 78:7
129:4 156:7	135:7 145:11	19:16 20:13	113:16 117:12	11:9 12:11 13:20	79:1,2 81:15 84:1
internationally	148:19 154:12	26:19 32:13 41:1	118:9 132:7	14:1,2 15:14,23	84:24 85:8 89:13
14:13,20 53:1	148.19 134.12	42:11 50:5 53:8	133:8 136:12	16:13,14,16,21	98:14 99:4 100:1
113:19	involvement 57:22	76:3,19 129:5	143:15 151:25	17:19,22,23 18:2	100:11 125:19
interpreted 60:5	58:3 60:25 62:6	jurisdictional	152:23 158:22	18:4,5,7,8,10,12	134:23,25 136:18
61:15 78:6	78:15 80:2 86:8	12:15	160:2,4,12,21	18:21,24 19:6,7	134.23,25 130.18
Interpreter 2:5	101:16 130:24	<b>Justice</b> 23:13	knowledge 56:11	19:11,13 20:19	144:19 146:6,24
INTERPRETERS	139:7 147:7	justification 53:1	58:11 61:25 62:2	22:16 23:18	147:12 151:5
2:11	148:14	justified 32:12	79:21 82:25 86:5	26:17 27:15,25	155:4,5 156:12
interrupted 25:21	involves 18:23	justify 13:13 15:22	86:7 98:22	28:5,6 31:11,11	left 103:5 121:11
56:24 140:25	involving 39:18	Justing 15.15 15.22	101:13,14 139:5	31:15 32:1,3,12	157:14
intervene 38:12	<b>IRINA</b> 2:12	K	139:6 148:12	33:24 34:1,3,6,12	legal 1:21,22 4:9,11
53:6	Irrespective 31:21	Kalininsky 65:24	150:25 151:1	34:14,14,16	4:20,21,25 5:24
intervened 37:14	island 141:3,4	66:3	155:17	36:23 38:15 42:8	6:10 12:19 13:3
52:14	islands 22:24 23:8	<b>keen</b> 154:25	<b>known</b> 62:1,4 91:9	42:11 44:24 45:3	
intervention 32:16	39:25 40:6 49:21	<b>keep</b> 94:11 97:4	96:10	45:13,19,21 48:3	15:4 18:1 24:14 26:22 27:2 31:9
		110:15 121:8	96:10 knows 98:6	48:22 49:6,8,8,10	
<b>interviews</b> 63:22 73:2	51:19 issuance 25:22	149:12 158:24	Knows 98:6 Krivonosov 80:20	49:13 50:17,18	34:12 38:13
	<b>issuance</b> 25:22 <b>issue</b> 24:23 27:20	keeping 10:23	KIIVOHOSOV 80:20	50:24 51:1,5,7,10	42:14,15 44:4
	I ISSUE /41/3 //1//1	I KEEDING TUEZA		52:20 53:2 66:8	49:6,13 50:2,4,8
intimidating 121:6	135UC 24.25 27.20	heeping roize		52.20 55.2 00.8	

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

	-				
50:13 54:11 55:8	link 157:10	142:10,10 155:22	115:6 118:15	45:20 48:2,15,24	98:3 102:13
56:25 57:18,24	list 73:11	loud 118:4	119:2 133:19	53:5,18 57:4	111:21 113:13
58:2,5 60:21 61:1	listed 15:4 27:8,10	lounge 121:22	146:2	58:17 82:11	145:25
62:7 70:16 71:19	62:4	122:1 123:3	Maslov 78:11 80:6	106:15 108:15,22	military 90:8
78:12,15 80:2,5,8	listen 159:15	low 114:12	mass 72:13 108:8	110:13 111:15	118:18
80:14 83:16	listened 157:12,13	lower 113:8	master 85:6 86:11	114:18 116:18	million 9:22
155:6,7,8 157:21	listening 93:20	LUC 2:7	89:22 91:5	118:8 120:17	mind 17:15 131:25
legality 24:2	little 77:18 97:23	lull 113:21	masters 45:6	122:18 149:7	158:24
legislation 24:11	98:7 104:19	lunch 53:23 77:20	matching 106:19	memorial 7:24 17:2	mindful 17:8
66:9,22 75:19	107:16 117:24	77:23	mate 91:1 145:22	18:19 20:6 30:8	mine 76:15
82:19	126:13,21 153:23	lunchtime 160:25	material 122:25	31:14 34:3,20	mineral 42:24
legislative 14:8	158:21	161:1	123:1	49:14 50:17 51:8	minimal 69:12
23:16	Litvinov 4:1 99:11	lying 116:3	materialise 75:15	55:14 154:3	Minister 36:1,5,23
legislator 23:17	99:16 100:3,3,9		materials 36:15	memorials 3:22	Ministers 8:4,5
legitimate 6:17	100:12,14,19,25	Μ	mates 116:19	memory 57:9,16	Ministry 4:9,11,20
7:12 22:5 32:7	123:25 125:19,25	machine-gun 95:21	matter 1:1 44:21	men 114:14 115:5	4:22,23,25 23:4
33:19 48:10	131:22 134:24	96:4	52:12 65:25 98:8	146:3	23:13 73:24
53:11	135:1 141:13	machine-guns	matters 3:7 80:10	MENSAH 1:8	<b>minor</b> 76:11
Leiv 47:9	live 108:6	93:10	McGowan 1:24	mention 16:2 59:2	minutes 16:19 54:3
length 17:2	located 21:15 29:16	main 127:23	mean 66:18 68:25	68:17 113:1	88:3 137:10,12
Leninsky 58:9	47:3	maintain 136:3	74:15 84:8 96:3	mentioned 70:17	139:24 140:19
lens 117:9	location 40:3,5,8	maintained 15:14	meaning 23:22	72:1	143:20 144:23
level 8:4 103:3	40:11,13,15,24	maintaining 82:8	means 21:9 46:18	mere 26:20	145:1,7
144:9	43:10,12,16,21	107:15	48:6 106:25	merely 151:20	miscalculation
levels 8:10	44:6 50:7 51:24	maintenance 81:25	145:1	<b>merit</b> 30:7	94:15
<b>lie</b> 20:9 29:6	91:17 98:2	82:3	meant 74:9 149:14	merits 12:25	missed 70:10
Liesbeth 1:16 4:8	lodge 68:7	majority 63:1	159:24	mess 109:2 113:24	missing 123:6,7,8
life 39:9 45:7	logical 67:19 88:22	making 59:12	measure 9:10 36:9	120:14 121:3,4	mistaken 63:6
light 2:7 13:17	logistical 124:14	96:16 154:16	36:24 66:16	122:4,5,20 123:3	64:17
46:11 77:1 83:24	long 15:13 38:23	manage 105:10,24	105:10	134:11	Mi-8 114:11
129:24 139:18	45:3 129:14	managed 68:5	measures 6:21 9:14	message 90:18 96:9	mobile 40:2,5 43:8
156:23 158:15	135:9,21 136:2,9	149:20	9:18 13:15 15:23	102:25 134:3,5	<b>moment</b> 68:14
161:6	139:25 140:7	Management 2:6	17:19 18:14,16	134:12 135:9	77:14 126:24
lighter 66:16	145:18 150:7	mandate 95:24	20:13,15 21:24	messages 96:11	monetary 23:24
lightly 6:15 27:17	158:13,19	manner 33:22	22:2 23:6 48:20	met 4:12 29:12	monitored 62:24
Lijnzaad 1:16 3:4	longer 70:9 122:24	40:18 58:11 73:4	66:10 67:23	34:10 50:20	62:25
3:14,18 4:8 5:3	130:6,19	107:24 115:4	media 72:13 108:8	149:3	monitoring 68:15
32:22 157:1	long-distance	149:4	medical 40:23	<b>metal</b> 87:16	month 23:20 37:25
158:18 160:18	97:25	<b>man-made</b> 141:3,4	113:5	methodical 117:14	41:7 44:12
161:2	long-range 98:4	Marco 1:16 4:18	medication 113:6	metres 20:4 25:6	months 10:9 47:7
limit 20:7 28:4 39:3	long-sea 98:4	114:2	145:11,12,14,16	40:13,14 47:25	48:1 65:20 66:3
94:22 123:12	look 23:2 56:4	margin 7:3 22:2	145:21 154:21,24	49:15 106:20	131:8
limited 15:15 22:4	61:11 79:9 85:19	53:13	<b>medicine</b> 113:3,9	145:1,2,4	mood 119:12
80:10 118:18,21	100:25 115:8	marine 74:12	meet 9:8 24:20 32:1	Michael 138:4	mooring 104:6
129:5	118:13,14 138:18	128:12	32:10	middle 33:1	135:14
line 52:13 66:20,22	147:24 158:24	mariners 29:20	meeting 161:7	midships 110:1	morally 128:13
76:2,3 87:18	looked 65:24 66:1	30:1	melee 149:15	MIKHEYEV 2:13	morning 3:19 6:23
104:6 105:12	looking 68:23 75:5	marines 73:22	members 1:21 2:12	mild 65:1 71:5	7:10 8:21 12:7
114:20,22 115:13	75:6 115:25	maritime 2:7 6:19	3:18 5:18 6:13	mile 40:4,16 42:2	32:19 55:22 60:6
132:2,3,5,9,19,23	142:14	10:1,11 12:13	10:13 11:16,25	49:22 75:2	61:4,5 77:18 87:7
135:14 140:23	looks 157:7	24:25,25 80:10	12:5 13:1 16:17	143:21 144:24	87:8 88:13 102:9
148:23	loosely 95:20 97:2	80:11 82:4	19:15 22:17	miles 25:11 43:21	126:10,22 139:15
<b>lined</b> 110:10	lost 36:25	markings 90:6	26:25 30:12	74:1,14,16 87:18	146:4 157:9,12
lines 65:9 87:21	lot 72:7 113:23	<b>Marshall</b> 40:6	31:13 32:15	88:18 89:6 92:9	160:10,15,17,23
88:9 104:7 105:7	116:5 120:20,25	mask 121:14	33:14 34:17 35:6	92:13 93:17	161:8
114:20 115:14	123:7 141:6	masked 114:14	38:19 42:22	95:11,14 97:21	<b>Moscow</b> 70:3,4,6
				1	

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

72:25 78:11	necessary 2:22	55:7,13 60:17	59:12	occur 35:18 115:22	opened 146:2
112:4	8:15 18:25 19:4	78:8 85:1 100:2	noteworthy 29:18	132:21 151:18	opening 3:3 5:2
mother 22:22	31:17 40:23	108:8 138:3	notice 73:22 74:4	occurred 11:13	111:6
motivated 29:5,6	42:18 44:9 51:12	146:25	notices 29:20 30:1	59:11 128:2	openly 124:24
mouth 157:2	53:11 59:20	never 33:23 68:25	November 9:17	147:3 152:5	open-ended 14:21
move 37:16 109:9	63:10,22 77:13	69:1 87:17,19	10:3 11:21 39:23	Oceanography	130:21
110:13,21 117:22	83:14 160:16	88:5 91:16,18	49:21 51:19	73:24	operate 160:23
117:23 118:19,19	necessity 19:13	132:21 142:6	62:12	October 8:8,19,21	operating 131:15
143:6 159:16	22:4 32:10 46:11	152:5	number 3:22 12:17	8:24 9:12 10:20	operation 19:24
moved 30:22 88:18	46:12 70:8	nevertheless 21:19	24:20 57:8 58:24	11:15 28:3 46:2	131:14
110:14	need 6:20 27:11	65:2 70:6 82:23	67:8 80:14 81:7	80:19	operational 127:5
movement 122:10	66:11 69:22	140:15	106:1,23 107:13	offence 27:24 38:8	131:7
moving 122:15	74:17,19 75:3,6	new 12:12 23:16,23	108:25 110:2	63:16 71:5,6,7,10	operations 43:7,24
152:6	106:4 110:21	55:4,20 115:18	114:17 115:1,1	offer 38:7 87:15	91:10 107:18
municipal 16:13	116:21,23,24	news 56:24	116:2 118:12	88:15	126:4 131:18
Murmansk 58:8,9	119:24 120:2,7	Niederosterreich	121:3 122:23	offers 27:2	operator 30:25
63:9,19,21,23,24	123:13 133:14	1:4	123:10 128:6	office 56:20 66:25	119:24 131:4
65:13 81:9 89:11	136:10,24 156:21	night 3:25 43:14	131:23 136:6,15	96:20	operators 47:2,14
119:16 120:3	159:10	Nine 23:3	157:18	officer 4:22 116:23	47:21 103:1
122:16 123:11	needed 131:19	noise 114:17	numerous 81:3	116:25 119:8	130:16,22 136:5
muzzle 108:10	154:21	120:25	nurture 39:9	officers 97:11	opinion 35:23
<b>M/V</b> 18:10 19:1	needs 128:18	non-access 83:17	nui ture 59.9	120:8	69:15 70:16 74:4
IVI V 10.10 17.1	negative 30:23	non-compliance	0	official 151:2	74:8 83:1
N	negotiated 113:10	23:18 36:8 37:21	obey 24:12	154:11	opinions 69:14
naive 132:1	neither 12:13 30:16	non-governmental	objective 39:7	officially 90:14	opportunity 2:15
name 4:8 54:10,11	42:18 43:21 44:9	28:21 38:21,24	<b>objects</b> 87:16	officials 8:5	2:24 4:2 68:3
85:11 99:15	51:12 66:13,14	45:5	obligation 1:24	offshore 29:15 40:2	159:6,13
100:14 137:21	115:5 155:17	non-identified	16:7 109:17	40:5 43:9	opposite 67:21
147:17	nervous 110:12	26:17	<b>obligations</b> 16:3	oil 37:8,11 39:25	135:17
narrowly 17:18	nervousness 90:10	non-participation	31:3 45:16	46:4,6 47:3,8,13	optimal 66:19
nations 1:2 1:6	Netherlands 1:12	11:10	<b>observations</b> 41:13	47:15,22 102:19	<b>option</b> 153:7
5:15 12:11 27:15	1:18 2:2,3,7 3:3	non-violent 39:13	41:21	129:17 131:21	154:16
natural 19:20	1:5,8,13,14,17,22	39:18	observe 103:13	139:23 140:1,18	oral 7:6 33:17 34:7
naturally 35:18	1:23 2:3,11,12,14	<b>noon</b> 88:18	107:12,14 117:4	140:23 141:3	53:19
90:7		Nordic 99:16	155:13	148:20 149:1,2	orally 4:4
nature 17:17 30:2	2:14 3:7,11,21,23		observed 18:12	151:23,24 152:17	
36:15 41:21	4:8,17 5:2,7,8	100:15		· ·	order 6:21 8:14
	6:17,19,22,24	normal 142:9	19:1 45:9 103:6	<b>oil-drilling</b> 29:4	9:13 10:4 11:9
74:14 129:7	7:19,21,25 8:6,20	normally 82:7	observing 115:9	okay 92:7 104:23	23:5,12 24:7,23
151:21 156:4	8:22,23,25 9:3,5	norms 66:8	obtain 8:15	111:13 112:8	25:2,8,12 30:24
<b>nautical</b> 25:11 40:4	9:7,12,21 11:2,6	north 35:20 88:18	obtained 44:1	114:5 118:23	39:11 43:19 47:5
40:16 42:2 43:21	11:18 12:7 13:4,7	111:21 113:13	obtaining 57:22	119:14 120:9	48:5 58:23 65:5,6
49:22 102:13	13:10,18,23,24	Northern 97:22	<b>obvious</b> 64:24	122:5 129:3	73:1,19 76:12,22
navigating 75:1	14:12 15:19 16:3	127:25 128:7	154:15	omission 24:13	105:14 106:22
<b>navigation</b> 5:13	16:6,11 19:22	north-west 60:23	obviously 92:20	omitting 133:11	108:18,20 109:5
14:12 15:8 20:17	20:2,18 21:6,22	69:25	94:15 112:9	once 11:17 44:22	109:7 117:2
22:8 23:7,10	27:18 28:2 33:16	north-western 70:5	119:22 153:9	56:23 83:15 95:5	120:11 126:11
30:18 35:19	34:18,19,21 35:9	Norway 42:25	occasion 117:21	116:15 119:8	133:15 135:8
73:18,23,25 74:3	35:14,23 36:7	43:11 44:1,6,11	127:10	ones 132:23	151:2,10
74:8,10,20	38:23,25 39:6	44:13,15,20 50:6	occasions 7:22 8:11	<b>one's</b> 33:24	ordered 36:5 37:16
Navy 40:7,17 41:15	41:9,12,24 43:1,5	51:3,22	107:13 118:12	ongoing 47:5 98:24	43:17 106:7
42:1	43:11 44:2,3,13	Norwegian 43:2,19	128:6	127:5	110:12,20 121:8
near 39:24 91:9	44:16,20,25 45:9	notations 96:16	occupation 40:8	<b>on/off</b> 117:18	orders 37:21 52:5
93:10 98:8 108:6	45:21,22 46:1	note 3:16 7:19 8:20	44:5	open 1:3 78:3	96:12 98:22,25
110:6	48:18,21 49:17	9:1 21:16 28:3	occupied 43:5	109:19 114:14	112:3 120:3
necessarily 95:20	50:10 51:4 52:3	29:19 59:8	119:13	128:16 130:2	121:10,11 125:8
158:19	52:14,20 53:2	notes 7:25 8:3	occupying 118:8	157:14	131:2 142:1
			_		

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

r		r			
150:24,25	parallel 111:7	peacefully 45:15	personnel 26:18	49:21 50:7,12	100:25 110:5
organ 21:7	paramount 16:20	Pechora 63:6	83:9	56:18 67:7 69:21	116:25 123:13
organisation 2:8	parliament 8:10,13	penalties 23:18,19	persons 5:10 6:9	105:1 115:21	138:1,18 144:18
28:21 39:11	Parliamentary	24:3	7:2,23 9:7,16,20	121:2,19,25	146:23 147:16,24
organisations	24:5	penalty 36:7 38:8	9:24 10:14,23	128:11 129:9,15	pleasure 1:3
38:21,24 39:1	part 6:10 7:10	45:23 46:25	13:14 14:15 15:3	157:24	<b>pm</b> 77:25 78:1,2
45:5	12:21,22 16:18	47:24	15:24 17:1 21:1	placed 35:15 65:18	137:13,15 161:9
organise 62:13	26:11 27:5 29:1	people 63:25 75:25	22:9,14 26:4,9	placement 36:2,12	pod 87:13,17 105:3
158:4	33:17 53:19 58:7	89:24 92:15,23	28:1,7,12,15,23	plan 7:13 87:12	105:11,13 130:19
outline 13:9	62:11,22 64:21	96:5 105:17,22	29:2 30:4,15,17	92:7 94:3,22	135:23 136:3
outside 7:2 21:3,15	66:4 83:12 87:19	106:4 109:21	30:20 32:4,9 41:6	105:6 135:1,2,3,3	148:22,25
25:5 26:5 28:9	89:19 90:10	110:20 112:15,16	43:23 51:20,25	135:4,10,11	point 11:25 15:19
29:6 30:20 40:15	94:16 112:11	112:24 113:25	52:2 58:5 62:8	148:24 158:15	20:23 26:10
49:15,21 52:24	126:8,15 128:15	118:24 120:20	80:3 82:19 126:8	160:15	28:13 30:17
76:5 94:9,10	135:15 148:20	122:11 123:7	154:8	planned 46:15	41:20 48:8,13
113:24 116:8	153:14	129:18,22 136:9	perspective 129:13	68:12 105:25	54:25,25 55:6
120:21 122:8,12	parted 105:12	140:5 141:5,11	pertaining 34:21	132:14	67:18 71:20
124:6 128:1,20	partially 115:18	141:16,24 143:6	pertains 83:19	planning 74:23	83:16 102:14,20
129:17 143:11	participate 11:19	148:19 150:15	Pete 120:12 141:13	100:7	103:23 104:22
145:7,25	participated	153:6 154:6	Peter 1:17 2:3 3:16	plans 135:7,20	106:7,17,24
overall 85:6	131:23	157:23	4:16,22 84:14	140:3,3,4 156:25	108:9 109:2,24
overestimated	participating 2:8	perceived 151:22	85:2,11 119:19	plastic 105:4	111:10,11,24
26:23	particular 10:22	perception 154:9	119:19,23 120:5	platform 20:17	112:14 113:13,23
overhead 90:23	14:24 18:17	perform 12:17	121:25	29:16 30:23,25	113:24 114:23
overview 24:1	26:21 33:22	period 8:8 10:24	Petersburg 65:15	47:9,10 52:17,18	115:17 116:17,22
owes 16:7	36:25 42:1 51:1	22:11 32:9 47:7	65:18	63:5 64:10,19,20	118:17,22 119:22
owned 123:5	74:9 76:4 94:7	47:25 55:17	petroleum 110:4	75:1,4,7,10,14	120:15 122:14
owner 41:5 81:2	159:25	65:21 66:23 67:1	petroleum-related	91:7,10,11,13,15	127:11 128:9,21
82:9	particularly 72:5	102:4 123:21	44:15	91:16,18,20,25	128:22,23 129:7
owners 47:3,14,22	72:10 140:16	141:12	<b>Philip</b> 4:9 146:14	94:18 98:16,18	133:11,12 134:8
P	parties 20:10	Permanent 1:22	147:1,11,17	98:24 101:24	134:9,10,24
	Partners 78:11	2:7	Phoenix 81:2	102:5,15 103:2	142:1 147:10
pacing 106:19	80:6	permanently 95:5	<b>photo</b> 104:20 117:7	103:11 104:1,7	148:24 149:19,20
package 113:9	<b>Parts</b> 44:4 50:7	permission 5:3	117:9	105:2,5,11	150:4 155:19
pad 102:17 104:1	party 26:13	39:3 55:24 61:7	<b>phrase</b> 152:21	106:21 124:2	156:4 159:23
114:12 <b>PAGE</b> 3:2	passage 19:8	79:5 85:15	physical 66:18 82:13	126:4 127:6	pointed 96:5
paid 18:16 41:8	<b>passed</b> 25:22 36:16 80:19	100:20 138:14		130:9,10,11,17	103:20 105:18
<b>Palais</b> 1:4		147:20	<b>picked</b> 88:9	131:6,10,13,15 131:19 135:2,4,5	116:6 149:7
<b>Palthe</b> 2:3 4:16	<b>passport</b> 122:25 <b>paste</b> 67:16	permissions 128:6 permit 20:5	<b>picture</b> 24:4 157:22 <b>piece</b> 106:10	131:19 135:2,4,5 135:6,8,11,18,19	<b>pointing</b> 107:20 <b>points</b> 87:21
pants 121:15	paste 07:10 path 15:25	permits 13:16	110:18 117:17	136:10 141:18	police 37:13,14
paper 106:10	Patrick 138:4	permitted 14:22	119:25	142:12 143:10,11	38:4 48:19
110:19	patrol 97:7,11	19:12	pieces 120:25	144:22 145:3,5	<b>polite</b> 153:23
papers 81:3	pattern 102:11	person 2:20 4:2	135:12	150:6	political 45:18
paragraph 20:12	106:17 108:16	29:10 43:22	<b>pillar</b> 15:13	<b>platforms</b> 47:3,6	71:18
20:14 59:3 80:22	111:8,11 112:7	44:10 66:12	<b>pilot</b> 146:1	47:15,22,25 74:3	politically 29:5
87:1,1 89:21	112:20 113:2,14	82:13 90:4 91:19	<b>piracy</b> 17:12 22:10	<b>plausible</b> 119:6	pondering 50:2
90:17 126:15,16	113:22	109:12 157:9	27:14,14,17,21	play 21:10	port 37:9,13 38:1,9
127:13 134:1	pause 14:21 83:5	personal 53:4	27:24 28:4,10,18	pleadings 3:22 4:5	38:10 40:25 46:4
paragraphs 20:11	137:6	57:21 58:11 62:6	29:9 30:7 32:8	55:14 75:24	46:7 50:4,11,11
20:21 61:19 62:3	pay 64:18	80:1 86:8 101:16	63:15 64:8,23	please 54:14 56:4	52:4 57:8 81:9
62:4 79:15,22	payment 38:8	121:6,19 122:21	71:3,5,10,12	61:11 68:2 78:3	115:23 146:1
80:25 81:20	<b>PCA</b> 1:3	122:23 139:7	89:12	78:18,24 79:9	portholes 117:24
85:24 86:22,25	peaceful 22:7 28:23	148:14 154:19	<b>pirate</b> 118:11	80:1 84:22 85:9	portion 127:16
87:2,5 101:7	29:4 39:15 45:2	157:18	place 8:4 24:11	86:17 98:13,17	ports 35:9 37:7
138:24 148:6	148:20	personally 97:15	38:10 40:14 42:7	99:19,25 100:12	pose 1:21 2:15
		- *			-

#### The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

35:18	84:15 131:17	147:17 148:4	17:11 19:17,25	147:19	prolonging 65:21
posed 30:17 152:11	136:3 144:5	151:6,7,19	20:3,14,16,24	process 105:15	66:25
position 11:23	present 2:1 4:1	152:16,19 153:3	21:3,21 22:8 25:1	108:25 111:25	prominence 19:18
18:15 20:18	6:13 7:1 11:11	154:10 155:2,4,8	25:6,9 26:5 28:8	117:4 121:6,21	promised 44:22
34:25 43:5,20	15:15 17:4 20:5	155:15 156:13,14	28:12 29:5,13,15	142:9	proper 6:10 81:10
52:10 66:8,25	21:9 26:21 27:11	156:20,22 158:7	29:20,24 30:3,15	processed 72:7,11	properly 145:24
91:4,6 93:10	29:22 30:9 53:16	159:23 160:20	30:18,21 31:16	processing 121:24	152:11
95:23 111:21	66:9,14 68:3	161:4	32:14 35:3 37:11	production 91:19	property 28:16
positioned 40:12	131:1 148:17	President's 72:16	49:16 62:9 63:5	91:24	29:10 38:5 64:20
positions 12:19	151:17 157:25	press 72:13	64:19 73:18 74:2	<b>PROF</b> 1:16,16	proportional 31:17
13:3 153:2	presentation 3:12	pressed 123:14	80:4 85:5 86:10	profession 54:10	42:19 44:9 51:12
possession 57:18	16:18 32:25 33:6	pressure 112:12	87:6 100:6	professional	53:11
possessions 122:21	77:18 94:25	113:18	101:18,24 102:12	118:16	proportionality
possibility 41:4	presented 2:13	presumably 97:13	102:20 103:2	professor 1:9,9 3:4	19:9,14 22:4
84:7 133:8 154:5	63:11 102:7	pretty 103:18	106:18 111:17,19	3:6,8,11,14,17,19	32:11 46:12,20
157:14	155:16 157:19,21	prevent 5:24 17:25	111:22 112:10	4:2,4,6,8,10,12	proposal 23:23
possible 2:2,10	159:20	34:11 36:22	113:14 138:7	3:14,18 4:14 5:3	94:6
8:17 19:3 23:25	President 1:8 1:3	38:12 45:24 48:5	139:9,23 141:14	32:22 33:14	propose 3:8 13:17
44:13 57:5 59:11	3:14,17,18 5:18	48:7,20 52:15,16	145:25 147:5	54:23 55:21	17:9 23:1 32:20
59:12 66:18	6:13 7:6 10:13	53:6 114:19	148:16,20	58:13,20 59:15	158:12,14
71:11 89:1 97:19	11:16,25 12:5	131:13 144:6	prison 65:3 71:12	59:18,21 60:16	prosecute 37:23
115:18,19 129:14	13:1 16:17 19:15	151:21	71:13	61:3 73:15 77:3	38:8
133:6 135:9,12	22:17 26:25	prevented 43:8	private 28:19 29:6	78:7 79:1,2 81:15	prosecutor 37:22
135:16 141:6	30:12 31:12	153:19	90:13 119:5	84:1,24 85:8	38:7
143:7,9 153:2	32:15,18 33:4,12	preventing 41:18	privately 123:5	89:13,18 90:17	prosecutor's 66:24
possibly 52:19 59:4	33:14 34:17 35:6	49:24 92:23	privy 127:19	91:4 97:6,11	protect 6:21 35:23
152:3	38:19 42:22	152:7	probably 52:15,17	98:11,14 99:4	128:11
Post 1:17 4:23	45:20 48:2,15,24	preventive 107:1	52:21 96:14	100:1,11 125:19	protected 15:3
posting 9:20,22	52:7 53:5,18,22	prevents 49:2	136:1 143:18	125:25 127:3,12	protecting 30:10
potential 14:23	53:25 54:9,13,16	previous 46:14	152:23	130:4 131:5,22	protection 28:22
26:4 30:23	54:21,23,23 55:6	64:12,17 91:8	problem 84:11	133:1 134:23,25	87:15
potentially 75:9	58:15,16,20	105:8 111:8	113:19 128:20	136:18 138:2,10	protective 105:10
power 66:6 132:18	59:16,18,19,21	127:10 136:2,22	157:21	142:19,24 143:5	protest 5:13,19,24
powers 20:19 81:1	59:22 60:3,6,14	pre-trial 10:24	problems 39:14	143:9,14,22	6:5,6,15,21 7:4
<b>PR</b> 72:18	60:16,16 70:22	22:11 32:9 63:18	142:17	144:19 146:6,24	11:2,5 14:24 18:1
practical 151:21	70:23 72:15	63:19 65:19,21	procedural 82:15	147:12 151:5	22:7 28:12 29:4
practically 108:12	73:15 76:25 77:3	65:22 66:2,10,16	procedure 9:4	152:9,15,21	30:12 31:1,5,8,15
practice 26:20 35:5	77:6,13,17 78:3,7	66:23 67:1,7,24	11:11 65:17	153:13,24 155:4	32:6 33:20 34:8
35:10 38:20	78:18,24 81:16	68:6,9	155:25	155:5 156:12	34:11 35:2,8,12
52:13 82:25	81:17 82:11,23	primarily 56:20	proceed 53:21,22	157:1 158:18	35:13,22 36:19
83:18 96:18	83:5,23 84:1,3,5	57:12 100:6	54:1 78:25	160:18 161:2	36:21,22 37:6,14
102:18 119:10	84:8,10,12,15,22	122:24 124:5	111:18 123:17	progress 77:21	37:20,25 38:4,9
precisely 13:2	84:24 85:1 89:14	138:8 147:6	157:5	<b>prohibit</b> 46:9 74:20	38:12,22,23
preliminary 41:13	89:15 93:14,18	primary 70:6	proceeded 111:22	<b>prohibited</b> 47:24	39:17,21,23 40:7
41:21 63:13	93:23 94:1,17,23	101:19 117:6	proceeding 70:7	74:8 76:13,14,14	40:18 42:7,20,24
preparation 43:7	98:12 99:4,5,9,10	124:15,18	120:3	prohibitive 15:13	44:12,14,18,23
preparations 103:9	99:12,15,19,25	<b>Primorsky</b> 65:24	proceedings 2:8 6:8	30:10	45:2,13,15,24
prepared 88:21	100:1 125:21,22	66:1,4 67:5	6:14 8:18 11:19	prohibits 23:10	46:3,5,10,11,14
107:24 117:13	127:8 132:9	<b>principle</b> 18:13,19	27:25 41:5 51:17	projected 43:13	46:21,25 47:7,18
preparing 106:5	133:2,10,25	19:9,10	52:1 55:23 56:25	projectile 132:18	48:5,7,11,20 49:2
prescribe 9:10	134:19,23 136:19	<b>principles</b> 19:13	57:19 58:6,12	projectiles 105:9	51:2,18,22 52:15
74:24	136:20 137:4,6	22:3 46:11	61:1,6 62:7 66:3	prolong 67:7 70:8	53:6,10,16 62:8
prescribes 74:16	137:17 138:1,2	<b>prior</b> 5:6,11 15:16	69:9,18,19,24 75:20 78:16 70:4	<b>prolongation</b> 68:6	75:12,24 80:3
prescribing 9:18	142:21,22 144:16	16:23 44:1 47:18	75:20 78:16 79:4	69:21,23 70:2	85:4 86:9 100:5,8
prescriptive 15:11	144:20 146:8,9	49:16 52:24	80:2 85:14	prolonged 66:2,24	100:10 101:17
presence 15:5 43:8	146:15,18,23,24	Prirazlomnaya	100:20 138:13	67:10 69:21	102:18 126:18

### The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

117:2 122:22134:7 136:1290:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refuted 17:248:11 55:136:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relief 45:23 114:59urposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15railroad 65:16reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,1539:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22reliev 77:11pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:10						
	131:15,16 138:6	pursues 53:10	84:7 89:16,17,18	rammed 149:9	158:25 159:3	registered 23:12
protestors 38:6         22:19 24:15.18         126:11 34:20:22         range 114:23         133:16         regular 82:24 83:2           71:6 87:15         pushed 116:12.12         142:22.32 144:16         95:9 98:3         69:12.15 83:13         regular 82:24 83:2           protests 27:11         pushed 116:12.12         151:8 152:11         rank 115:51 19-9         90:22 96:8 97:13         regular 10:23:16:13           prove 66:12         73:10 81:7 85:20         155:2 156:13,18         90:25         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10           prove 66:12         92:25 98:12         159:22 160:3,8         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10           provide 1:24 8:12         92:15         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10         128:11           90:25 90:41         121:118:16         137:18 139:22         recognise 151:4         41:17 45:9           42:15 0:12         121:118:16         137:18 139:22         136:4         recognise 15:4         41:17 45:9           52:15 0:5:10         122:12         182:11         141:10         96:1130:14         recomise 11:24         69:18           52:15 0:5:10         122:12         121:15:17         read 54:15 59:11         reconise 11:24         75:23           7:11         121:12:12         111:12:1111         186		pursuing 24:23	98:13,15 99:7	ramming 92:22	receipt 101:21	REGISTRY 1:21
protestors 38:6         22:19 24:15.18         126:11 34:20:22         range 114:23         133:16         regular 82:24 83:2           71:6 87:15         pushed 116:12.12         142:22.32 144:16         95:9 98:3         69:12.15 83:13         regular 82:24 83:2           protests 27:11         pushed 116:12.12         151:8 152:11         rank 115:51 19-9         90:22 96:8 97:13         regular 10:23:16:13           prove 66:12         73:10 81:7 85:20         155:2 156:13,18         90:25         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10           prove 66:12         92:25 98:12         159:22 160:3,8         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10           provide 1:24 8:12         92:15         receiving 104:15         regulation 128:10         128:11           90:25 90:41         121:118:16         137:18 139:22         recognise 151:4         41:17 45:9           42:15 0:12         121:118:16         137:18 139:22         136:4         recognise 15:4         41:17 45:9           52:15 0:5:10         122:12         182:11         141:10         96:1130:14         recomise 11:24         69:18           52:15 0:5:10         122:12         121:15:17         read 54:15 59:11         reconise 11:24         75:23           7:11         121:12:12         111:12:1111         186	147:4 148:15	pursuit 17:11 22:5	123:25 125:23,24	142:4 143:16	receive 90:18	regret 11:18
protestors 38:6         25:19.21         36:18.25:137.2         range 14:22:56:16         receivel 3:56:97         Ti.36           71:6 87:15         26:22         14:22:13:14:16:7,12         14:22:14:14:67,12         19:11         99:12:16:83:15:11         regularty 17:7           28:23:71:71:18         129:16         15:18:15:21         119:11         99:12:16:85:11:26         13:41:3         regularty 17:7           prove 66:15         86:25:88:12         15:77:17:15:88,13:21         13:54         recognise 15:4         16:14:22:22:23           provide 12:4 8:12         92:21:97:11:10:11         16:16:13:22         recognise 15:4         16:14:22:22:23           provide 12:4 8:12         92:12:81:11         14:10         96:13:01:44         recognises 0:57:1         16:14:17:45:9           60:21:77:11         12:21:13:18:19:24         reiterate 13:54:15:59:11         recommendation         69:18           75:18 78:12:80:8         10:51:5         10:31:11:05:22         11:61:14:10:22         receide 22:13:31:9         reiterate 8:25           75:18 78:12:80:8         10:51:5         10:31:11:05:22         receide 2:11:24:12         receide 2:11:24:17           75:18 78:12:80:8         10:31:14:32:11:41:12         receide 2:11:32:12         receide 2:11:32:12         receide 2:12:13:12:12         receide 2:12:13:12	protested 44:3			ran 114:23	133:16	regular 82:24 83:2
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			range 14:22 56:16	received 3:5 69:7	0
protests 27:18         pushed 11.6:1.21         14:421 14:67.12         rank 115:5 119-9         90:22 96:8 97:13         regulation 128:10           131:23.25         put 56:5 61:12         153:8 152:11         119:11         rappelled 89:7 90:4         134:13         regulation 128:10           131:23.25         put 56:5 61:12         159:21 163:13,18         rappelled 89:7 90:4         134:13         recognise 16:14           provie 66:15         86:25 88:12         159:22 163:13,18         recognise 61:21         124:2         16:14 22:22.23           provie 1:24 8:12         92:21 97:11 101:1         160:13.32         recognise 63:1         16:14 22:22.23           provie 2:20 9:4         112:11 118:16         137:18 139:23         88:21 93:24 12         recognise 63:1         129:11           20:12 77:11         121:22 128:11         14:10         96:15 86:12         reth 45:15 59:11         76:23           23:10 55:10 62:10 puting 87:9         100:15 10:1105:22         154:21 55:13,24         reconstruct 14:9         reconstruct 14:9         reconstruct 14:9           23:10 50:10 62:1         puting 87:9         100:11 23:111:1         45:11         reconstruct 14:9         34:15 44:19           23:10 50:10 62:1         puting 87:9         100:11 23:111:1         45:11         reconstruct 14:9         34:13 14:			-			regularly 71:7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-					
prove 66:12         79:10 81.7 85:20         157:17 158:8,17         90:25         receiving 104:15         reculations 16:13           provide 1:24 81:2         92:21 97:11 101:1         159:22 160:3.8         159:22 160:3.8         12:15 124:2         134:2         16:14 22:22.3           10:16 20:1 30:9         112:11 118:16         19:24 1118:10         157:18 139:23         88:21 93:2 94:1         recognise 05:1.1         12:15 124:2           60:12 77:11         12:12 128:11         141:10         96:1 130:14         recognise 05:1.1         reiterate 11:17           provided 5:20 9:4         141:20 146:4         64:14 65:2 70:16         read 54:15 59:11         reconside 11:21         reiterate 8:25           53:10 55:10 62:1         putting 87:9         90:25 94:5 10:29         110:18 146:16         reconside 71:2         relate 8:25           75:18 78:12 80:8         0         107:17 108:25         reading 23:19         reconside 11:22         relate 4:21         relate 4:21         relate 4:21         relate 4:21         relate 4:21         relate 4:21         relate 5:23         34:15 44:19           32:19 50:13 66:7         79:23         11:17 11:28         reading 23:19         reconside 11:22         22:14:14:19           79:19 0:13 26:4         17:13:16 118:4         115:21 116:16         reconside 11:22						0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	,			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-		,			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-					,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
88:17.20         132:19 138:19         quite 24:5 63:24         136:5         202         reiterate 11:17           provided 5:20 9:4         141:20 146:4         66:14 65:2 70:16         read 54:15 59:11         recommendation         69:18           23:18,19 34:9         147:25         71:15 73:11         78:18 99:19         74:13         reiterated 8:25           33:14 11:20         00:15 15         103:11 105:22         154:21 55:13,24         20:12 33:19         releate 62:11:3           9rovides 12:22         qualifications         111:17 112:18         reading 23:19         reconstruct 143:9         14:13 18:6 28:8           9roviding 23:19         qualified 23: 64:6         115:21 116:1,16         ready 112:3,14         147:15         144:19           24:18 0:21         qualified 23: 64:6         115:21 119:6,12         ready 112:3,14         147:15         144:21           provision 40:22         qualified 23: 64:6         115:21 119:6,12         readise 123:12         recording 117:78         relates 55: 11:7,10           provision 40:22         qualified 23: 64:6         13:2: 12:62         128:10 129:3,19         48:11         24:12         9:10:10:6         relate 12:17         14:22         14:21           provision 40:22         qualified 23: 64:6         13:22         18:8:12						
provided 5:20 9:4         141:20 146:4         64:14 65:2 70:16         read 5:15 59:11         recommendation         69:18           23:18,19 34:9         147:25         71:15 73:11         78:18 99:19         74:13         75:23           62:15 72:17         105:15         103:11 105:22         154:21 155:13,24         reconsiled 7:12         75:23           75:18 78:12 80:8         107:17 108:25         readines 44:21         reconsiled 71:12         reconsiled 71:12           32:19 50:13 66:7         79:23         113:21 114:12         106:10         84:23 97:3         45:19 49:9           provising 33:19         qualified 22:3 64:6         115:21 116:1,16         read 75:14 128:8         record 15:18 54:25         relates 52: 11:7,10           provision 13:5         qualify 64:22         118:21 119:6,12         realy 33: 27 45:4         refer 57:3 87:2         relates 52: 11:7,10           provision 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:11 12:2.0         98:8 11:2:24         reference 14:19         57:18 89:18           proximity 25:14         70:13:24 72:1         13:51:65:162:10         128:10 129:3,19         13:4:2         41:25 53:12           proximity 25:14         70:13:24 72:1         13:51:65:162:10         reference 31:21         reference 31:21         relation 22:12           pr					-	
23:18,1934:9         147:25         71:15 73:11         78:18 99:19         74:13         reiteratel 8:25           53:10 55:10 62:1         putting 87:9         100:515         100:515         100:11 105:22         reconciled 7:12         75:23         75:23           75:18 78:12 80:8	,		-			
53:10         53:10         53:10         53:10         53:10         53:12         72:23         72:23         72:23         72:24         72:23         72:23         72:23         72:23         72:23         72:21         72:21         72:23 <th< td=""><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	L					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
83:14 111:20 provides 12:22 32:19 50:13 66:7 providing 23:19 qualified 22:3 64:6 qualified 22:3 64:6 qualified 22:3 64:6         110:11.23 111:1 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 111:17 112:18 112:11 14:12 112:11 14:12 121:16 122:20 realy 32: 74:4 reference 14:19 9:10,14,18 question 22:12 122:11 123:15,18 113:8 12:24 123:10 129:21 134:2 123:10 129:21 134:2 123:10 129:21 134:2 123:10 129:21 134:2 123:10 129:21 134:2 123:10 129:21 134:11 14:11 14:11 129:20 136:16 reference 41:19 134:2 129:20 136:16 reference 41:19 124:2 121:2 126:3 128:10 reference 41:10 124:2 121:2 126:5 124:10 126:5 124:11 121:12 132:18 120:11 122:2 134:13 122:13 120:11 121:12 132:14 122:13 121:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 124:17 122:12 126:5 126:5 126:5 127:7 127:10 127:11 128:14 129:11 129:11 129:11 129:11 129:11 129:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:12 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 1		105.15				
provides 12:22         qualifications         111:17 112:18         reading 23:19         record 15:18 54:25         34:15 44:19           32:19 50:13 66:7         79:23         113:21 114:12         106:10         84:23 97:3         45:19 49:9           providing 23:19         qualified 22:3 64:6         115:21 16:1.16         ready 112:3.14         17:15         145:14           provision 40:22         qualify 64:22         118:21 119:6.12         ready 112:3.14         record ing 117:7,8         relates 5:5 11:7,10           provision 31:5         quasity 64:22         122:16 122:20         realige 123:12         record ing 117:7,8         relates 5:5 11:7,10           provisions 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:1 129:2         98:8 112:24         reference 36:22         relating 29:20           proximity 25:14         70:13,24 72:1         135:16,25 136:2         128:10 129:3.19         134:2         relates 25:3.12           publicity 46:14         126:24,25 127:7         157:23 160:1         139:10 157:25         reason 11:2 22:19         reference 36:17         relates 7:23 9:6,15           publicity 46:14         126:42,52 127:7         157:23 160:1         33:23 25 59:13         reflect 10:17         59:23 80:8 81:4           107:16 10:10         131:12 132:1         Tate:12 12:18         R         r		0				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
providing 23:19         qualified 22:3 64:6         115:2 116:1,16         ready 112:3,14         147:15         145:14           24:1 80:21         qualified 22:3 64:6         117:13,16 118:4         real 75:14 128:1         recording 117:7,8         relates 5:5 11:7,10           provision 40:22         qualify 64:22         118:21 119:6,12         realise 123:12         recording 117:7,8         relates 5:5 11:7,10           9:10,14,18         question 22:12         120:24 121:5,6         153:19         really 33:2 74:4         refer 57:3 87:2         relating 29:20           provision 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:11 129:2         98:8 112:24         reference 14:19         relating 29:20           proximity 25:14         70:13,24 72:1         135:16,25 136:2         128:10 129:3,19         134:2         41:25 53:12           public 30:24 35:22         74:67 82:23 83:5         136:51 40:9,13         129:20 136:16         reference 45:17         relaxe 94:13           relaxe 13:18         93:12 98:21         153:10 155:25         reason 11:2 22:19         reflect 10:17         59:23 80:8 81:4           public 30:24 13:13         130:14,71 31:8 <b>R</b> 130:14 31:12 132:1,8         126:13 129:12         reflect 81:14         relaxed 94:13           relaxe 13:1         130:14,71 31:8 <b>R</b>						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
provision 40:22         qualify 64:22         118:21 119:6,12         realise 123:12         recurrence 36:22         20:10 130:7           provisional 3:5         quay 38:3 75:12         120:24 121:5,6         153:19         48:11         144:21           provisions 15:4         question 22:12         121:16 122:20         realise 123:12         refer 57:3 87:2         relating 29:20           provisions 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:1 129:2         98:112:24         reference 14:19         57:18 89:18           quali 3:5         70:13,24 72:1         135:16,25 136:2         128:10 129:20 136:16         reference 56:17         147:4           36:19 37:22 38:7         83:6,18,19 86:17         141:6 142:14,15         143:19 158:6         referenced 56:17         relaxed 94:13           46:16 47:19 65:5         86:18 89:22 91:4         149:4 152:1,3         159:12         referenced 56:17         relaxed 94:13           107:16 110:10         131:21 23:18         130:14,7 131:8         redio 25:23 89:4         refloct 130:17         59:23 80:8 81:4           111:6 116:10,12         132:21 33:3         radio 25:23 89:4         reasonable 17:12         reflaxed 34:18 12:22         41:8 43:25 51:24           149:7         141:22 144:17,21         93:24 95:8,9,12         27:7 31:2;12         refixed 10:6;10         <			-	U ,		
provisional 3:5         quay 38:3 75:12         120:24 121:5,6         153:19         48:11         144:21           9:10,14,18         question 22:12         121:16 122:20         really 33:274:4         refer 57:3 87:2         relating 29:20           provisions 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:11 129:2         98:8 112:24         refer cnee 14:19         57:18 89:18           24:2         29:23 65:20         130:2 132:15,18         118:8 122:17         199:30:2 86:22         relating 29:20           proximity 25:14         70:13,24 72:1         135:16,25 136:2         128:10 129:3,19         134:2         relaxio 24:21           a6:19 37:22 38:7         83:6(18,19.86:17         141:6 142:14,15         143:19 158:6         references 81:21         relaxed 94:13           46:16 47:19 65:5         86:18 89:22 91:4         149:4 152:1,3         159:12         references 81:21         91:10:13 26:4           publicity 6:14         126:24,22 127:7         quote 73:21         82:2 106:13         reflect 158:21         91:10:13 26:4           publicity 6:14         123:23 13:3         radio 25:23 89:4         refaxio 25:23 89:4         refaxio 25:23 89:4         refaxio 25:23         159:19         41:4 51:20 57:1,1           publicity 6:18         130:12,17,21         90:22 93:21,22         18:25 19:4 22:15			-		0	
9:10,14,18       question 22:12       121:16 122:20       really 33:2 74:4       refer 57:3 87:2       relating 29:20         provisions 15:4       24:13 28:18 52:8       128:1 129:2       98:8 112:24       reference 14:19       57:18 89:18         24:2       59:23 65:20       130:2 132:15,18       118:8 122:17       19:9 30:2 86:22       relation 24:21         provisions 15:4       70:13,24 72:1       133:16,25 136:2       128:10 129:3,19       134:2       41:25 53:12         public 30:24 35:22       74:6,7 82:23 83:5       136:5 140:9,13       129:20 136:16       referenced 56:17       relaxed 94:13         65:6 113:18       93:12 98:21       153:10 155:25       reason 11:2 22:19       reflect 158:21       9:19 10:13 26:4         publicity 46:14       126:24,25 127:7       157:23 160:1       33:23,25 59:13       159:19       41:4 51:20 57:1;         published 23:14       127:8,12 129:25       quote 73:21       82:2 106:13       referain 106:8       released 10:6,10         111:6 116:10,12       13:12 132:1,8       radio 25:23 89:4       refaxina 13:12       refaxina 13:12       41:8 43:25 51:24         117:2 122:22       134:7 136:12       90:22 93:21,22       27:7 31:2,12       refaxina 13:12       41:8 43:25 51:24         puling 152:4       150:5 152:20       96:						
provisions 15:4         24:13 28:18 52:8         128:1 129:2         98:8 112:24         reference 14:19         57:18 89:18           24:2         59:23 65:20         130:2 132:15,18         118:8 122:17         19:9 30:2 86:22         relation 24:21           proximity 25:14         70:13,24 72:1         135:16,25 136:2         128:10 129:3,19         134:2         41:25 53:12           public 30:24 35:22         74:6,7 82:23 83:5         136:5 140:9,13         129:20 136:16         reference 65:17         relation 24:21           46:16 47:19 65:5         86:18 89:22 91:4         149:4 152:1,3         159:12         reference 34:10         relaxed 94:13           publicity 46:14         126:24,25 127:7         157:23 160:1         33:23,25 59:13         159:19         41:4 51:20 57:1,           publiced 23:14         127:8,12 129:25         quote 73:21         82:2 106:13         reflect 10:17         59:23 80:8 81:4           publiced 23:14         130:1,4,7 131:8         radio 25:23 89:4         reasonable 17:12         reflects 28:6         81:14,22           111:6 110:10         131:22 132:1         90:22 93:21,22         138:150:1,1         refused 13:22         41:8 43:25 51:24           pullig 152:4         150:5 152:20         96:9,14 103:14         32:12,38:16         refused 128:7         refused 128:7 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				•		
proximity 25:14 public 30:24 35:2270:13,24 72:1 74:6,7 82:23 83:5135:16,25 136:2 136:5 140:9,13128:10 129:3,19 129:20 136:16134:2 referenced 56:1741:25 53:12 147:436:19 37:22 38:7 46:16 47:19 65:583:6,18,19 86:17 88:18 89:22 91:4141:6 142:14,15 149:4 152:1,3129:20 136:16 159:12referenced 56:17 referenced 81:21 referenced 81:21147:4 referenced 81:21 relaxed 94:1346:16 47:19 65:5 65:6 113:18 publicity 46:14 publicity 46:14 126:24,25 127:7 130:14,7 131:8 107:16 110:10 111:6 116:10,12 111:6 116:10,12 111:6 116:10,12 131:12 132:1,8 149:7 publig 152:4 publig 152:4135:10 155:25 reason 11:2 22:19 reflect 10:17relaxed 94:13 reflect 10:17 sp:23 80:8 81:4 reflect 10:1790:22 93:21,22 149:7130:14,7 131:8 130:14,7 136:12R130:18 150:1,1 refaxin 106:8 relaxed 10:6,10released 10:6,10 referain 10:8111:6 116:10,12 149:7132:23 133:3 150:12 132:1,8radio 25:23 89:4 90:22 93:21,22resonable 17:12 13:22 149:7; 31:2,12refused 13:122 refused 13:1241:8 43:25 51:24 refused 13:12149:7 141:22 144:17,21 91:52:20 96:914 103:1490:22 93:21,22 90:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15 15:2:10 91:22 157:3;4,6103:24 107:4 13:22 140:7442:12,18 44:8 12:12 38:16 refused 128:7refused 128:7 refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9 24:2,25 27:1090:22 93:21,22 149:15154:13,18 160:24 107:4reasonableness refused 13:21 16:7reflect 17:2 reflect 17:248:11 55:1 reflect 17:290:19 120:25122,25 2:4,13,15 154:13,18reas						
public 30:24 35:2274:6,7 82:23 83:5136:5 140:9,13129:20 136:16referenced 56:17147:436:19 37:22 38:783:6,18,19 86:17141:6 142:14,15143:19 158:6references 81:21relaxed 94:1346:16 47:19 65:586:18 89:22 91:4149:4 152:1,3159:12referred 34:10release 7:23 9:6,1565:6 113:1893:12 98:21153:10 155:25reason 11:2 22:19reflect 158:219:19 10:13 26:4publicity 46:14126:24,25 127:7157:23 160:133:23,25 59:13159:1941:4 51:20 57:1,1pulled 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8130:1,4,7 131:8reflected 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8R130:18 150:1,1refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrained 31:2241:8 43:25 51:24107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,890:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refused 128:7released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9puling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purposes 81:251:21,3,1,6,18,20railwa 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 45:23 114:5130:4 13:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 45:23 114:5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
36:19 37:22 38:7 46:16 47:19 65:583:6,18,19 86:17 86:18 89:22 91:4141:6 142:14,15 149:4 152:1,3143:19 158:6 159:12references 81:21 referred 34:10relaxed 94:13 release 7:23 9:6,1565:6 113:18 publicity 46:1493:12 98:21 126:24,25 127:7 published 23:14 111:6 110:1013:12 129:25 131:12 132:1,8159:12 quote 73:21referred 34:10 reflect 158:21919 10:13 26:4 919 10:13 26:4107:16 110:10 111:6 116:10,12 149:7131:12 132:1,8 141:2 144:17,21R 90:22 93:21,22130:18 150:1,1 reflect 82:6reflect 82:6 refrain 106:8 reflex 43:18 126:1release 10:6,10 41:8 43:25 51:24 reflex 43:18 126:1puling 152:4 190:5 152:20 purpose 20:1 35:21 36:19 46:18 23:24153:2 157:3,4,6 112:19 118:21103:24 107:4 121:19 118:21 121:19 118:2181:12,21 38:16 51:2,11 53:14refused 128:7 refused 128:7 refused 128:7 reflex 113:12relevant 7:14 17:9 36:14 47:25130:4 131:14 32:25 39:24 130:4 131:1431:8 4:3,7,11 33:16 4:3,7,11 radius 74:1 radius 74:1railo 26:16 reasonable 30:6 reasonable 30:6refused 128:7 refued 17:2 reflex 13:21 16:7 reflex 13:21 16:7 relied 45:23 114:5purpose 20:1 35:21 32:25 32:413:14154:13,18 radius 74:1 rails 04:5:16 reasonable 30:6resonable 30:6 reasonable 30:6 reasonable 30:6130:14 43:13 32:2559:16 157:4,17128:14 149:18 resonable 30:6reflex 33:22 reflex 13:21 16:7 relied 45:23 114:5130:24 131:4 33:12,2459:65:27 rois 70:25 77:11 raise 3:82:24 140:20 resure 44:20regand 33:22 regand 33:22reli						
46:16 47:19 65:586:18 89:22 91:4149:4 152:1,3159:12referred 34:10release 7:23 9:6,1565:6 113:1893:12 98:21153:10 155:25153:10 155:25reason 11:2 22:19reflect 158:219:19 10:13 26:4publicity 46:14126:24,25 127:7157:23 160:133:23,25 59:13159:1941:4 51:20 57:1,1publed 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8quote 73:2182:2 106:13reflect 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8R130:18 150:1,1refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 13:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:2 132:1,8m90:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusel 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refusel 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refuted 13:1256:14 47:25purposes 81:251:12,13,16,18,20railroad 65:16reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1s2:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5purposes 81:251:	•					
65:6 113:1893:12 98:21153:10 155:25reason 11:2 22:19reflect 158:219:19 10:13 26:4publicity 46:14126:24,25 127:7157:23 160:133:23,25 59:13159:1941:4 51:20 57:1,publed 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8130:1,4,7 131:8131:12 132:1,8126:13 129:12reflect 61:0:1759:23 80:8 81:4107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8radio 25:23 89:4reflex 150:11reflex 10:1759:23 80:3 81:4111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12reflex 13:18 120:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:541:8 43:25 51:24pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9purpose 20:1 35:21153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refuted 17:248:14 55:1si:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1purposes 81:251:12,13,16,18,20radiro 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relief 45:23 114:5s2:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,1539:6 52:3reasonablenessregard 13:21 16:7relief 45:23 114:5purposes 81:251:21,13,16,18,2039:6 52:3reasonablenessregard 33:22relies 56:24,25 57:purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,1539:6 52:3reasonablenessregard 33:22reliee 77:11purpose 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15radiro 33:8 35:22reflex 13:14:49:18regime 12:21 76:10re						
publicity 46:14126:24,25 127:7157:23 160:133:23,25 59:13159:1941:4 51:20 57:1,published 23:14127:8,12 129:25quote 73:2182:2 106:13reflected 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4pulled 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8nn126:13 129:12reflected 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8nn126:13 129:12reflected 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:390:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purpose 81:251:12,13,16,18,20radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonablenessregarding 26:8relief 45:23 114:5s2:6,92:12,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonable 126:14regard 33:22relief 63:24pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasonable 35:10regard 33:22relief 63:24pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasonable 126:14regend 33:22relief 63:24pursuance 17:20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
published 23:14127:8,12 129:25quote 73:2182:2 106:13reflected 10:1759:23 80:8 81:4pulled 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8<						
pulled 89:1,3130:1,4,7 131:8126:13 129:12reflects 28:681:14,22107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8130:18 150:1,1refrain 106:8refained 31:22released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:490:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refution 13:1236:14 47:25a6:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relief 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:6regard 13:21 16:7relief 45:23 114:521:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regard 33:22relief 45:23 114:5Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regard 33:22reliev 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17raise 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15						,
107:16 110:10131:12 132:1,8R130:18 150:1,1refrain 106:8released 10:6,10111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrained 31:2241:8 43:25 51:24117:2 122:22134:7 136:1290:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15a9:6 52:3reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,1539:6 52:3reasonable 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5s2:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,1539:6 52:3reasonable 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5purpose 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasona 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regards 33:22relieve 77:11pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15	-		<b>quote</b> 73:21			
111:6 116:10,12132:23 133:3radio 25:23 89:4reasonable 17:12refrained 31:2241:8 43:25 51:24149:7141:22 144:17,2190:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15						
117:2 122:22134:7 136:1290:22 93:21,2218:25 19:4 22:15refusal 43:18 126:152:6 53:3 112:2149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9purpose 20:1 35:21158:251103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:1036:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefutation 13:1236:14 47:2530:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relief 45:23 114:592:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relies 56:24,25 57:pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raise 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15				,		
149:7141:22 144:17,2193:24 95:8,9,1227:7 31:2,12126:5154:6pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:2,13,16,18,20railroad 65:16reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15						41:8 43:25 51:24
pulling 152:4150:5 152:2096:9,14 103:1432:12 38:16refused 128:7relevant 7:14 17:9punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10guestions 3:9,12,15158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18guestions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raise 3:24 140:20recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15						
punishment 80:23153:2 157:3,4,6103:24 107:442:12,18 44:8refusing 120:924:24,25 27:10purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1purposes 81:251:12,13,16,18,20railroad 65:16reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raise 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15				-		
purpose 20:1 35:21158:25112:19 118:2151:2,11 53:14refutation 13:1236:14 47:2536:19 46:18questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18reasonablenessrefuted 17:248:11 55:1130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:22,25 2:4,13,15railroad 65:16reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,17pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raise 3:24 140:20reasured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15			-			relevant 7:14 17:9
36:19 46:18 130:4 131:14questions 3:9,12,15154:13,18 radius 74:1reasonableness 18:13,20 19:11refuted 17:2 regard 13:21 16:748:11 55:1 relied 16:24purposes 81:25 82:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15railroad 65:16 Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5pursuance 17:20 21:242:16,18 3:10,24 4:3 6:12 42:1539:6 52:3 157:4,17reasons 24:16 30:4 157:4,1780:25 82:21 83:6 128:14 149:1857:12,17Pursuant 43:13 73:2259:25 70:25 77:1 77:2,4,14 81:18157:4,17 raises 6:12 42:14128:14 149:18 reasured 44:20regional 58:9 65:22 103:4101:19 129:15	-	153:2 157:3,4,6		-		
130:4 131:143:18 4:3,7,11radius 74:118:13,20 19:11regard 13:21 16:7relied 16:24purposes 81:251:12,13,16,18,20railroad 65:16reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:582:6,91:22,25 2:4,13,15Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:618:16relief 45:23 114:5pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reassured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15	<b>I I</b>		112:19 118:21	-	refutation 13:12	
purposes 81:25 82:6,91:12,13,16,18,20 1:22,25 2:4,13,15railroad 65:16 Rainbow 37:15reasonably 30:6 reasoning 36:14 reasoning 36:1418:16 regarding 26:8 80:25 82:21 83:6relief 45:23 114:5 relies 56:24,25 57: 57:12,17pursuance 17:20 21:242:16,18 3:10,24 4:3 6:12 42:1539:6 52:3 raise 3:8 35:22reasons 24:16 30:4 36:16 126:1480:25 82:21 83:6 57:12,1757:12,17 reliev 77:11Pursuant 43:13 73:2259:25 70:25 77:1 77:2,4,14 81:18157:4,17 raise 3:24 140:20128:14 149:18 reassured 44:20regime 12:21 76:10 108:6religious 65:10 remain 2:21 60:1,2 101:19 129:15	36:19 46:18		154:13,18	reasonableness	refuted 17:2	
82:6,9         1:22,25 2:4,13,15         Rainbow 37:15         reasoning 36:14         regarding 26:8         relies 56:24,25 57:           pursuance 17:20         2:16,18 3:10,24         39:6 52:3         reasons 24:16 30:4         80:25 82:21 83:6         57:12,17           21:24         4:3 6:12 42:15         raise 3:8 35:22         36:16 126:14         regards 33:22         relieve 77:11           Pursuant 43:13         58:18,19 59:16         157:4,17         128:14 149:18         regime 12:21 76:10         religious 65:10           73:22         59:25 70:25 77:1         raised 3:24 140:20         reassured 44:20         108:6         remain 2:21 60:1,2           pursue 26:14         77:2,4,14 81:18         raises 6:12 42:14         recall 18:10 134:8         regional 58:9 65:22         101:19 129:15			radius 74:1	18:13,20 19:11	regard 13:21 16:7	relied 16:24
82:6,9       1:22,25 2:4,13,15       Rainbow 37:15       reasoning 36:14       regarding 26:8       relies 56:24,25 57:         pursuance 17:20       2:16,18 3:10,24       39:6 52:3       reasons 24:16 30:4       80:25 82:21 83:6       57:12,17         21:24       4:3 6:12 42:15       raise 3:8 35:22       36:16 126:14       regards 33:22       relieve 77:11         Pursuant 43:13       58:18,19 59:16       157:4,17       128:14 149:18       regime 12:21 76:10       religious 65:10         73:22       59:25 70:25 77:1       raised 3:24 140:20       reassured 44:20       108:6       remain 2:21 60:1,2         pursue 26:14       77:2,4,14 81:18       raises 6:12 42:14       recall 18:10 134:8       regional 58:9 65:22       101:19 129:15	purposes 81:25	1:12,13,16,18,20	railroad 65:16	reasonably 30:6		relief 45:23 114:5
pursuance 17:202:16,18 3:10,2439:6 52:3reasons 24:16 30:480:25 82:21 83:657:12,1721:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reassured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15	82:6,9	1:22,25 2:4,13,15	Rainbow 37:15		regarding 26:8	relies 56:24,25 57:1
21:244:3 6:12 42:15raise 3:8 35:2236:16 126:14regards 33:22relieve 77:11Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reassured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15			39:6 52:3			57:12,17
Pursuant 43:1358:18,19 59:16157:4,17128:14 149:18regime 12:21 76:10religious 65:1073:2259:25 70:25 77:1raised 3:24 140:20reassured 44:20108:6remain 2:21 60:1,2pursue 26:1477:2,4,14 81:18raises 6:12 42:14recall 18:10 134:8regional 58:9 65:22101:19 129:15		4:3 6:12 42:15	raise 3:8 35:22			-
73:22         59:25 70:25 77:1         raised 3:24 140:20         reassured 44:20         108:6         remain 2:21 60:1,2           pursue 26:14         77:2,4,14 81:18         raises 6:12 42:14         recall 18:10 134:8         regional 58:9 65:22         101:19 129:15					0	
pursue 26:14         77:2,4,14 81:18         raises 6:12 42:14         recall 18:10 134:8         regional 58:9 65:22         101:19 129:15			-		-	<b>remain</b> 2:21 60:1,2
	-			, -	0	

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

Duy I		I CIT Cube	10.201102	1 4050	ay, 100110010012013
remained 43:23	request 2:19 9:9	18:23 22:13 31:1	59:19 83:25	<b>rise</b> 29:14	23:2,4,9 24:10,12
100:9 120:12	12:1 26:23 41:11	34:11,13 35:7	98:14 134:22,25	<b>risk</b> 66:13 81:10	24:14,19 26:11
123:10	41:23 43:13,15	36:21 38:11,14	144:19 155:5	149:16	27:23,24 28:2,25
remaining 67:1	44:20 47:11	42:13,16 44:5,7	<b>RHIB</b> 92:9 144:11	roadmap 12:22	29:17,19 31:1,5
157:8	77:10 80:6 81:6	44:19 48:4 49:3,5	145:23 153:8,12	role 58:7 62:13	31:14,23 32:4,13
remains 30:1	104:14 113:3	49:7,19 50:6,9,10	<b>RHIBs</b> 25:4,17	74:13 92:12	34:3,17,19,25
remedies 45:22	requested 7:22	50:22 51:3 53:9	30:16,17,22	100:17 101:19	37:8 46:4 49:12
48:3	8:11 9:5 41:9	110:17 127:15	31:19 37:20 40:9	139:12	50:16 51:10
remember 43:1	43:11 44:16 46:9	responses 108:13	40:14,17,20,21	roles 67:13	52:10 53:17
59:10 74:25 91:2	47:4 94:8 109:4	responsibility 64:9	41:15 42:13	room 121:5,5,12,24	57:14 58:6 59:2
96:11,13 97:1	109:24 112:1	70:4 82:8 85:6	49:19 50:1 75:2,9	<b>rope</b> 103:25 115:12	60:23 61:1 62:15
102:8 119:19,20	requesting 7:25	92:17 94:2 100:7	91:6 92:2,5,21,21	153:18	63:14,16 64:9,15
140:10 150:10	42:5	109:13 110:21	92:25 93:9 96:8	ropes 151:23 152:7	64:24 66:22
remiss 16:2	require 2:17	124:4,16,17,18	98:23 99:2 103:9	ROSANNE 2:6	68:13,16 69:4,20
remotely 27:10	required 13:21	responsible 86:12	104:18 125:9,10	Rossiiskaia 23:14	70:15 72:3 73:24
<b>remove</b> 43:17	22:15	95:1 98:17	125:13 138:9	rotor 114:17	74:22 76:4,5,6,7
114:2 130:17	requirement 18:8	rest 88:11 115:10	140:7,21 141:17	Rotterdam 37:9	76:17 78:14,16
149:15	26:15,22 29:7,12	120:14 130:20	141:20,24,25	38:9 46:4 50:11	82:1,7,19,21
removed 30:21	requirements	154:22	142:2 143:2,5,6	52:4	83:12 87:25
removing 107:18	24:20 32:2,10	restate 15:18	144:25 145:2	rough 117:16	88:13,25 89:4
Renaissance 40:3	50:19	restrict 91:17	147:8,8 150:13	roughed 118:2	90:8 92:22 93:3,8
40:10 49:25	requires 16:11	restrictions 45:14	150:15,20	rounded 110:14	94:13 96:2,8
<b>RENÉ</b> 1:16	24:23 113:6	result 14:18 37:2	<b>rich</b> 35:21	route 97:23 127:25	97:11,20 98:23
<b>René</b> 4:10	research 76:15	68:5	rig 38:2,3 87:8,9,15	128:7	99:3 103:6 104:9
repeat 36:5 73:9	researcher 4:18	resulted 40:19 53:4	87:18,20,24 88:4	Rowan 40:2,10	104:11 108:13
repeated 46:21	reservations 33:21	results 42:3	88:6,19 89:2,3,5	49:24	109:14 111:5
75:24 106:22	33:23,25 79:24	resume 33:8 47:6	93:8 94:9 95:15	rubber 75:11	114:25 115:16
107:13,23 109:23	resigned 53:24	resumed 111:7	95:17,22 98:8	rubberstamped	116:22 118:9,10
repeatedly 43:14	resilience 15:14	resupplied 136:11	136:6 139:23	67:14	118:18 125:8
45:6 80:25	resistance 149:3	resupply 135:25	140:2,18,23	rule 14:1,5 15:13	126:19 128:3,10
replacement 29:21	resolution 10:16	resupplying 136:17	141:3,4,17	16:20 30:10	141:24 142:1,5
replacing 66:15	113:19	retained 60:20	148:20 149:1,2	rules 16:15 17:21	144:11,13 150:17
replied 8:19 107:24	resolve 112:13	<b>retrieve</b> 105:13	149:21 151:23,24	22:23 128:4	155:18,20 156:6
reply 2:4 108:18	resolved 114:6	retrieved 104:4	152:18 153:6	<b>run</b> 114:23 115:23	Russians 92:23
report 95:18,24	resolving 48:9	retrieving 105:15	<b>right</b> 5:14 6:15	149:16	
103:16 104:3	resort 6:20 28:15	return 2:17 10:15	17:11,12 25:19	<b>running</b> 113:23	<u>S</u>
reported 55:5	130:23	21:12 52:8 57:7	26:25 27:6 44:23	<b>run-up</b> 58:1	Saarela 20:25 21:4
103:8,24 104:5	resorted 48:5	105:14 106:15	45:1,2,15 59:19	<b>Russell</b> 66:2,23	21:10,20 87:22
123:9 125:15	resource 42:24	108:14 111:15	71:15 74:7	Russell's 68:8	87:23 88:8 113:4
Reporter 1:24	resources 19:20	143:2 157:15	107:25 108:20	<b>Russia</b> 115:3,9	113:5 114:2
reports 31:18	76:16	returned 40:21	116:8 117:12	129:4 Buggion 1:14 10	145:13 156:6
56:24 92:20	respect 11:4,20 14:14 16:6 20:18	42:23 57:13	121:11 129:10	<b>Russian</b> 1:14,19	Saarela's 21:17 154:20
103:14 104:8,15 108:10 121:20		88:11 104:20 113:13	131:3 134:7	1:5,10 2:7 5:5,9	safe 120:19
	21:14 22:24		145:9 151:19 158:7	5:12 6:4,14 7:3 7:18 22 8:7 11 16	
represent 58:5 62:16,18	29:18 32:4 41:24	<b>returning</b> 141:17 <b>review</b> 22:6 28:4	158:7 rightly 160:1	7:18,22 8:7,11,16 8:19,22 9:6,8,14	safety 20:2,6,16,17
representative 2:7	46:12,20 53:7,12 53:24	36:4,10,24	rights 6:18 7:8 12:2	9:18,23 10:1,8,12	20:24 21:15,21 22:8,9,24 23:2,7
66:5 107:4	respective 10:15	reviewed 5:22	12:10,17,24	10:18,20,25 11:4	23:7,10 24:6,12
representatives 1:8	respectively 55:15	38:20 46:10	13:10,18,19 16:5	11:8,11,13,18,22	25:1,5,18 30:18
81:1 101:24	respects 28:7	47:11 49:4,18	16:6,8 19:23 31:3	13:4,11,13,16,19	30:19,24 36:16
represented 1:20	respond 4:3 5:19	50:21 51:15	31:7 34:21,23	13:21 15:6,20,22	40:4,15 42:2 43:5
90:15	7:4 34:8 112:23	revisit 17:7	44:7 45:18,19	16:1,4,8,12,23	43:6,17 45:3,7
representing 3:20	responded 107:2	revoked 65:12	66:21 155:13,24	17:10 19:16,17	49:15,22 52:19
33:16	responding 35:5	<b>re-affirm</b> 51:9	156:8	19:20,22 20:12	52:24 74:10,18
requalified 65:4	response 5:23,25	re-direct 3:19 4:4,8	rigid 25:3	20:14,20 21:4,7,8	75:4,6,7,14 82:1
requalify 64:5	6:25 17:25 18:3	4:12 2:16 59:17	rigorous 94:5	21:11,22 22:12	82:8 83:13 105:3
			0 -		

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

<b></b>					
129:9 130:19	36:6 39:1 41:18	seen 25:13 65:9	51:14	142:11 144:9	101:4 123:20
143:12 144:25	42:21,23 44:23	118:14 150:24	setting 13:8	155:22	127:7 131:11
145:8 149:5,6,13	45:3,7,10 48:10	seismic 128:24	seven 116:17	shouts 156:3	132:9 134:7
149:18	51:23 53:10,16	seize 7:20 142:7	shaken 105:22	shovel 132:13	157:1 160:19
Saiga 19:1	63:6 76:14 97:23	151:13	share 35:4 127:22	show 25:2 29:11	<b>sit</b> 3:17
SAKIRKO 2:5	101:22 118:12	seized 38:5	shed 13:17	140:18	site 95:17 102:10
sanctions 23:24	124:20 127:25	seizure 75:25 80:20	sheds 129:24	<b>shown</b> 141:7	140:9
24:12 52:22	128:7	send 92:7	shelf 19:21 23:9	side 62:15 68:16	sitting 68:2 134:11
74:20,21,24	seafaring 74:15	senior 1:21 8:5	29:16 64:22	87:9,15,22,23,24	142:16
75:18 76:11,17	seahorses 35:16	sense 22:15 114:5	shell 87:14	88:3,7 91:20	sittings 68:4
SARAH 1:21	37:4	131:18 151:4	shelter 105:7	95:14 104:7	situation 8:2 66:18
satellite 102:23	seamanship 41:16	sent 1:11 7:25	Shepherd 39:1	105:2 108:13	67:25 75:17
117:16	41:19 74:17	56:21 59:9 81:3	shield 15:8	114:13 115:23	88:20,23 94:11
satisfied 10:18	search 121:2,6,19	87:8 96:20	ship 5:10 9:6 10:10	135:2,5,7,16	95:10 105:19,23
59:15 97:5	121:22	102:25 108:6	13:23 14:9 15:12	136:10 140:1,24	106:1 110:8
Save 15:15 29:1	searched 122:20	110:13 133:4,9	15:17 16:22	141:2 146:1	111:16 112:13
57:25	seas 14:5	134:5 152:22	22:19,20,21,22	149:1,21 152:17	113:15 114:5
saw 109:21,21	seated 3:15	159:21	24:24 25:14 27:3	153:6	118:22 125:1
114:20,20,21	second 1:13 5:25	sentence 65:3	27:7 28:19 29:10	sides 91:17	129:1 141:4
116:9,10 117:20	7:10 11:6,7 16:18	separately 69:3	29:11,13 56:20	<b>sign</b> 150:18	154:15
121:13 125:17	18:3 22:4 26:2	September 5:6 6:6	56:23 59:8 92:2	signal 25:7,12,15	situations 35:1
127:24 128:4	28:17 31:10	6:7 7:5,18,24 8:8	92:22 93:16 94:7	25:23 125:10	67:9
152:5	34:12 36:15	8:9,13,20 23:20	94:8 96:4 97:12	142:3	<b>six</b> 10:9 37:18 38:6
saying 59:4 103:17	42:20 47:2 49:6	25:24 26:1,2	97:16 101:20,21	signalled 117:1,23	47:7,25 116:17
106:24 107:4,24	50:15 55:14 73:7	27:22 28:13,24	102:6 104:20,24	signalling 133:23	sizes 95:8
112:23 115:11	83:4 91:4 98:21	30:13 55:18	106:9 108:21,24	signature 61:17	sliced 117:20
116:21,23 119:19	126:2 127:24	56:19 58:2,24	109:8,10,19	signed 70:12 79:24	slide 114:13,21
119:20 144:14	130:1 132:22	62:9 63:7 69:10	110:6,16,17	significant 129:2	slightly 140:14
159:12	Secondly 17:19	73:20 80:4 85:4	111:9 115:21	significantly	slow 131:21
says 133:5	seconds 90:24	86:9 100:5	119:11,16,24	131:20	slowest 144:24
scale 13:6 30:15	secret 108:5	101:17 138:6	121:2 122:14	signified 12:12	small 59:5 87:8,20
102:16 103:25 105:2	Secretariat 1:11 section 109:10	139:8 147:4 148:15	124:5,19 127:17 129:11 133:20	signs 150:19 silver-coloured	88:10,11 94:21 117:9 121:5
scaling 135:7	secure 81:22	sequence 102:3	Shipping 41:12	110:3	132:18
scene 92:7 121:17	securing 23:6	104:25 109:1	42:4 46:8,19,22	similar 35:17 36:22	smaller 95:12,13
SCHARDIJN 2:6	117:5	113:12 123:20	ships 24:12 30:10	67:8 68:23 87:10	smartphone 117:8
schedule 3:6 32:19	security 9:21,23	130:14 132:15	39:5 82:5 94:12	87:12 109:5,5	SMULDERS 2:7
98:7	74:18 75:4 90:13	<b>SERGEI</b> 2:13	shock 105:18	130:12,14	Society 39:2
scheduled 37:9	115:3 119:5	Sergey 3:13 78:5,9	shoed 125:16	Simons 3:7 54:8,11	soft 132:18
scheduling 73:1	sedentary 35:21	series 1:12	129:15	55:8,8,15,22 56:2	Sokolov 78:11 80:5
science 71:20	see 61:16 68:17	serious 6:12 10:22	shoot 109:22	56:4,8 57:21	soldier 90:25
scientific 76:15	90:7 92:10 93:18	22:9 27:14 32:7	110:17	58:13	solely 80:10
scientists 71:21	93:20,25 103:12	71:5 72:23 110:7	short 7:13 33:10	<b>simple</b> 73:1	solemnly 54:16
scope 28:9	107:17,21 108:10	132:15,16	53:23 54:2,6	simply 91:20	60:9 78:20 84:18
screaming 142:6,10	110:9 114:11,14	serve 20:21 128:18	137:9,14 158:14	sincere 54:19 60:13	99:21 137:21
scrutinise 24:2	115:24 116:1,7,9	served 74:12	shortly 10:4 15:24	78:23 84:21	146:18
scrutiny 41:22	117:18,22,24,25	service 115:6	90:4 96:19 98:20	99:24 137:25	solid 15:8
scuttle 106:9	120:18,20,23	services 60:21	140:20 141:2	146:21	solutions 39:15
<b>sea</b> 1:2 1:6 5:13,16	122:23 125:12	78:12	150:8	<b>single</b> 87:24	somebody 66:6
6:15 7:12 8:18	135:15 136:4	session 7:13 78:3	shots 88:16 104:15	Sini 113:4,5 114:2	somewhat 17:6
9:10,13 11:2,5,9	139:18 141:15	160:10	<b>shoulder</b> 116:1,11	145:13	soon 9:2 30:20
12:11 14:1,13,20	151:17 157:22	set 7:23 24:18 30:8	116:24	Sinyakov 144:10	62:22 103:16,18
14:22,25 15:14	161:5	55:17 91:16	shouted 150:17	sir 33:3 82:16	105:11 115:19
18:12,24 19:6	seeing 105:19	102:3 111:20	shouting 113:25	85:22 86:6,11	120:16 140:9
22:16 27:18 32:6	118:17	139:21	114:9,15 115:15	95:3 96:11 97:1	143:7
33:19 35:6,20	seek 2:10 117:17	sets 49:17 50:20	116:5 119:14	99:9,20 100:14	SOONS 1:9 89:18

# The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

90:17 91:4	82:12 87:2 140:3	34:8,18,20,22,23	130:19 135:8,24	41:21 49:16	24:21 25:3,4,10
125:25 127:3,12	152:6,20	35:10 36:11,14	136:9,16 150:1	subjected 22:9	25:17,23,25 26:3
130:4 131:5	speculation 52:12	36:25 38:20	stayed 94:16	submission 1:14	26:7,14 28:1,8,19
142:24 143:5,9	speed 106:20	44:17 49:2 52:25	staying 142:13	11:14 55:2 158:1	28:24 29:2,13
143:14,22	113:19 139:25	53:6,8,12 68:16	steam 119:18,21	159:8,17	30:5,22 31:6,8,16
sorry 57:3 144:14	spend 154:13	69:5 72:20 74:22	120:6	submissions 7:6	31:19,20 32:5
sort 71:6 82:5 90:7	spent 58:7 88:3,15	83:10 108:7	step 77:7 106:3	33:18 34:7 53:19	34:5 35:3 39:7,22
112:20 117:7	Spetsnaz 119:4,4	123:10 129:10	116:14 146:10	submit 6:25 19:9	39:23 40:9,12,21
	· · · · ·				40:24 41:1,3,19
118:22 119:13 121:1	sphere 124:15	stated 18:19 39:8 70:11 89:21	153:15,16 steps 8:14,17 63:23	22:12 27:13 submitted 1:25 9:3	
sought 38:1	Spitsbergen 42:21 split 73:7	97:12 100:16	65:13 73:9	11:3,6 19:19 41:1	42:9,14 49:12,20
sound 120:19	spoke 155:18	130:5 152:12		42:10	50:1,3,15 51:11
	-		130:22 158:1		51:16 57:4,7 58:6
sounds 145:9	spokesperson 21:6	statement 3:3 5:2	stern 109:10 110:1	submitting 8:24	62:7 63:4 68:17 (8:22 74:25
160:18	St 65:15,18	9:5 10:17 52:9	110:11 114:13	subsequent 6:2,8	68:22 74:25 78:14 80:2 0 81:0
source 20:9 56:22	stabbed 140:20	54:19 55:3,10,15	<b>Stichting</b> 60:20	11:13 18:5 32:3	78:14 80:3,9 81:9
57:6,10	143:16	55:25 56:2,9,14	78:12 81:2	34:4,14 38:15	81:14 85:4,12
sources 56:13,17	stabilise 118:22	56:16,18,24 57:6	sticks 69:16	42:11 43:18 49:8	86:11 88:12 89:8
57:8	stabilised 105:23	57:10,19 58:23	stones 35:15,17,17	50:3 51:10 67:22	89:10 91:5 92:3,8
south 42:21 43:21	stability 113:15	59:3,7 60:12 61:8	35:17 36:2,12,17	80:25 127:18	92:21 97:7 98:24
sovereign 6:18 44:7	stable 105:25	61:10,14,16,18	37:3	subsequently 27:23	99:18 100:5,9,16
sovereignty 12:14	stage 1:17 4:5 13:9	61:19,23 62:2	stop 24:23 25:2,7	43:25 68:11	103:5,12 106:16
38:15 50:13 76:6	25:15 35:11	70:12 73:20	25:23 92:22	80:15	106:21 107:20,22
76:7	38:21 59:21	78:22 79:6,8,12	104:12 106:7,22	substantial 46:14	114:13,18,23
so-called 74:16	66:15 71:4 77:4	79:14,15,19,23	110:20 121:11	sub-paragraphs	119:20 125:9
<b>Spain</b> 39:22 40:1,4	84:1 113:1 141:9	79:25 80:15	125:11 126:11	27:9	126:9 127:9
40:16 41:2,9,10	141:23 146:7	81:21 82:14,15	131:14 142:3	succeed 105:24	132:4 133:4,9
41:25 42:5,8,11	150:6 158:9	83:7 84:20 85:16	150:25 151:10,14	succeeded 52:18	138:6 139:17
49:19,22,23 50:5	stages 64:13 73:10	85:18,21,22,23	152:1,2	128:22,23	140:22 141:10,20
50:22 51:16	Staging 33:20	85:24 86:3,21,22	stopped 69:2,10,19	successful 45:24	142:2 143:1,3
Spanish 40:7,17	stairs 116:7	89:19,21 99:23	89:4	104:2 124:8	145:12 147:3,10
41:3,15 42:1 50:4	stairway 115:24	100:22,23 101:3	straight 62:11	127:5 130:16	148:17 149:25
speak 54:17 57:5	stance 26:10	101:5,6,7,10	straightforward	140:25	150:4 154:5,9,17
60:10 78:21	stand 3:16 77:15	123:18 126:5,16	20:19	Suchkov 3:10 60:4	superiors 26:8
84:19 99:22	106:10 133:14	126:17 127:13,14	stress 1:23 14:7	60:18,19 61:4,11	107:9
116:20,21,22,23	156:15	131:5 134:2	strewn 122:21	61:18 70:18 71:1	supervising 91:6
116:24 118:7	standards 20:5	137:24 138:15,17	strike 13:2 31:2	<b>sudden</b> 66:24	supervision 138:8
120:7 122:16	66:20 156:8	138:21,23,24	strikes 71:18	<b>suffer</b> 64:2	supplement 131:6
124:24 127:9	standing 37:3	139:2 142:25,25	stripey 121:16	Suffice 15:2	supplemental 1:14
137:22 142:5	114:18 133:22	146:21 147:21,23	strive 124:22	suffices 70:18	55:14
144:13 146:19	stands 14:2	148:2,4,5,6,9	strong 136:5	sufficiently 46:17	supplemented 56:9
155:20	start 19:15 35:7	153:14 158:13,14	struck 72:5	suggest 33:7 77:19	61:23 79:19 86:3
speaker 32:23	93:9 106:5	158:18 159:17	structure 13:8 21:3	137:9 139:24	101:11 139:3
144:11	129:21	160:6,14	26:5 30:21	suggested 111:18	148:10
speaking 2:25	started 106:24	statements 86:6	102:16 105:4	160:1	supplies 136:8
116:21 123:3	113:25 115:12,15	states 6:18 7:8,9	135:10,13,17	suggestion 54:1	supply 145:14
special 65:8	116:7,23 123:2,2	12:3,3,15,17,19	149:1 151:23	suggests 159:15	154:22
specialised 60:19	starting 102:4	12:23 15:9,10	152:8	<b>suit</b> 161:2	support 111:2
specialises 80:11	starts 110:2	30:11 39:20	structured 7:6	summarises 123:20	114:7
species 35:21	state 5:14,19 6:20	48:22 90:17	structures 22:25	Sunrise 1:1 1:4 5:8	suppose 90:21
specific 16:21	12:14,16 13:18	state's 16:23	23:8	5:14 6:7,9 7:2,21	117:1
61:19 79:15	14:1,4,7 15:7,10	stating 152:25	stuck 105:21	9:19,24 10:10,14	supposed 66:10
85:24 95:25	15:16,17,21	status 82:15 89:23	studied 63:3	10:23 13:14,24	sure 33:1 59:6
101:7 113:3	16:19,21 17:4,15	89:25	stumbled 116:13	14:14 15:2,21,24	68:18 90:14
134:9 138:24	21:25 22:18,23	Statzionarnaya	stunned 88:20	16:7,25 20:22	107:9 110:14,25
143:24 148:6	24:16,23 26:20	29:23	subject 13:24 16:3	21:2,12,14 22:13	111:1,2 115:18
specifically 29:22	30:11 31:3,4 34:1	stay 3:15 94:8,10	19:13 22:5 36:24	23:4,12 24:8,11	115:20 126:25
r					
	1				

#### The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

Tuesday, 10th February 2015

Day I		I CH Cuse I		1 0000	ay, rourreordary 2015
140:17 152:20	taken 7:1,20 8:15	10:11 128:5	142:19 143:22	third-state 15:3	110:15,23 111:23
158:18	17:19 21:4,24	test 5:23 17:23	144:15,20 145:10	THOMAS 1:8	118:7,25 119:7
surmise 114:1	27:17 32:3,12	34:10 47:21 49:5	146:6,9,15,18,24	thorough 121:18	123:12,14,24
surnames 67:15	48:19 59:8 64:13	testify 2:21 55:23	147:18,18 148:5	thoroughly 122:20	125:2 127:24
surpasses 26:20	67:18 73:7 88:10	61:6 79:4 83:16	148:14 150:5	thought 83:4 119:6	135:25 140:24
surprise 93:11	89:11 104:21	85:13 98:20	151:5,7 153:24	134:12 141:5	141:12 142:1
97:23 98:2,9	117:20 119:16	100:20 138:13	155:1 156:5,12	142:9	143:10,13 144:22
140:14	120:12,13,14	147:18 157:9	156:20,22 160:19	thoughts 158:5	147:8,11 154:14
surprised 67:5 93:2	121:4,5,25 122:3	testimonies 154:3	160:20 161:2,3,4	threat 30:17 31:20	157:13 159:1
155:20	122:3 129:15	testimony 3:1,4	161:7	35:18 75:13,15	160:16
surveying 81:25	140:14 143:6	21:17 55:8,9	thereof 17:20	75:15 107:3,10	timely 11:8
82:3	149:24 153:2,25	60:18,25 78:9,14	thereto 6:2 18:6	107:13,23 108:2	times 106:23
surveyors 83:9	154:24	85:2,5 97:12	34:15 49:9 55:12	108:18 109:5,6	timing 96:24
survival 30:14 47:8	takeover 115:21	100:3,6 138:4,7	55:16 56:1,10,15	109:23 112:22	timings 150:10
135:1,23 136:8	takes 18:15 73:3	147:1,6 157:11	61:9,21,24 79:7	126:20 129:17	<b>tips</b> 13:6
148:22,25	144:22	157:16,17,22	79:17,20 85:17	threaten 22:7	<b>title</b> 139:12
suspect 97:2 150:1	talk 112:24 157:23	158:20,21 159:22	86:1,4 100:23	107:5 109:22	today 2:8,20 3:6,25
suspected 30:6 90:7	159:13	text 59:2 102:25	101:8,12 138:16	threatened 149:21	4:15 17:8 35:4
90:13 91:22	talked 72:21	124:12	138:25 139:4	threatening 88:17	48:16,25 51:9
suspecting 27:7	talking 57:16 71:11	<b>texts</b> 67:20	147:22 148:7,11	104:10 111:8	55:19 137:5
suspend 135:1,5	71:12,13,19	thank 3:13,14,18	thin 121:15	149:5	156:16,24 158:20
159:16	74:11 75:2,8	12:4 32:16,18,22	thing 82:24,25	threats/volleys	160:2 161:5
suspicion 17:12	140:11 141:23	33:13 53:20,24	88:22 110:19	113:2	today's 157:7
swift 73:3	144:25	53:25 54:3,9,16	117:10 128:10	three 11:12 17:9,11	told 90:14,23 91:1
switch 117:18	tanker 37:9,17 46:5	54:21,23 55:22	130:23	25:10 35:16 37:7	91:1 102:8 112:2
swivelled 107:19	46:6	56:8,13 57:21	things 41:14 71:2	56:4 61:12 65:18	117:22 134:15
110:11	tantamount 107:7	58:13,16 60:2,3,6	73:1 91:23 93:7	67:23 74:1,16 75:2 70:10 85:10	136:21 143:23
<b>SYMONIDES</b> 1:9 58:20 59:15	task 12:7 tasked 102:15	60:14,16 61:6,18	96:24,25 99:1 118:6,23 121:1	75:2 79:10 85:19 89:6 92:8,13 95:7	144:2 <b>Tom</b> 1:17 4:21
73:15 97:6,11	tattooed 121:16	62:6,20 70:17,18 71:1,25 72:11	124:11 135:14	95:14 101:1	tomorrow 2:9,21
98:11 131:22	taxes 64:18,18	73:14 74:7 76:24	154:9	102:13 131:7	3:6 21:18 84:9
133:1 152:9,15	team 22:21 58:4,5	76:25 77:3,5,6,15	think 32:24 33:4	132:6 138:19	136:23 137:5
152:21 153:13,24	58:7 62:11 66:5,7	77:16,24 78:7,24	53:25 70:18 71:4	140:21 147:24	156:16,20 157:9
SZÉKELY 1:10	70:14 90:19,20	79:1,3,14 80:1,5	77:19 82:23 88:2	150:12,13	157:12,25 158:5
SÁNCHEZ 1:10	91:2 111:3 114:7	80:16 81:15,17	93:1 96:9 97:18	<b>three-mile</b> 94:10	158:10,15 159:3
75:16 76:24	118:8 127:15	82:22 83:5,23	99:5 106:2	three-part 13:8	159:16 160:10,15
94:24 95:4,18	133:15,17 134:3	84:1,3,4,11,12,15	111:15 119:10,16	three-pronged 5:23	160:16,19,22,25
96:1,7,12,16,22	134:9 140:5	84:22,24 85:13	123:14,15,17	17:23 34:9 49:5	161:6,8
96:24 97:5	technical 81:10	85:13,23 86:8,14	126:20 127:23	throw 65:8 71:23	tone 111:12
143:23 144:13,15	83:9,10	89:13,15 93:13	128:14 130:21	throwers 132:2,3,5	tonight 159:3,5,10
153:25 155:1	telephone 56:21	97:5 98:11,12,15	131:11 132:13	132:10,23	tool 132:13
	102:24	98:21 99:4,5,9,10	133:18 134:12,19	thrown 87:17 93:8	top 91:16 116:13
T	telephones 69:16	99:12,15,25	136:6,13,15,20	time 3:25 10:25	121:15 139:25
take 15:20 16:21	tell 2:24 120:7	100:1,19,19	137:8 143:3,18	17:8 20:8 22:7,11	total 112:7
20:12,15 21:17	123:13 159:5	101:6,16,25	144:4,6,8 145:25	23:24 24:8 25:2	touch 95:4,7
23:1 33:24 34:1	telling 93:23	123:16,22,23	156:14 157:10,20	25:16 29:14	tow 87:18 119:18
42:8 56:4 61:11	<b>ten</b> 71:12	124:3 125:7,19	158:4,7,8,9,19	34:23 44:25 58:8	120:10 122:14
69:21 70:4 72:24	tentatively 159:12	125:22,25 127:7	160:1,18,20	62:24 69:17 72:5	148:23
73:8 79:9 82:17	term 14:19,23	131:5,11 132:9	thinking 124:9,11	72:14 75:19	towed 43:20 51:23
85:19 100:25	69:20 70:8 130:6	133:1,2,10,25	159:20	76:23 77:19	64:21 89:10
113:6 117:6	terms 12:23 72:2	134:7,19,23	<b>third</b> 6:1 11:6,10	79:24 85:12	105:4 123:11
120:9 138:18	92:18 111:14	135:7,21 136:20	15:9 18:5 22:5	87:25 90:1 91:1	towing 65:9 105:12
139:25 140:7	<b>territorial</b> 36:6	137:2,4,6,11,17	25:19 26:6 32:3	91:24 92:11	148:23
141:9 142:8	38:15 42:10 50:5	137:17 138:1,2	34:14 36:18	94:19 95:25 97:2 98:1 102:11,23	track 64:25
147:24 149:11 158:1 161:7	50:13 128:1 territory 9:25 10:8	138:13,23 139:7 139:13 141:22	44:11 49:8 51:9 74:14 145:22	98:1 102:11,23 104:5,19 109:4	tracking 97:25 98:10
150.1 101./	10.0 y 7.25 10.8	157.15 141.22	/4.14 143.22	104.3,17 107.4	90.10
L					

The Court Reporter Ltd www.thecourtreporter.eu

#### The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

traffic 37:12,21	121:14	123:25 125:25	141:18 155:16	<b>V</b> 12:21,22 27:5	98:3 117:15
52:5	troopers 115:12,14	126:25 127:23	undertaken 104:9	44:4 50:7	145:19
training 155:7,8	115:25 116:2,4	129:18 132:6	underwent 121:21	vain 43:15	<b>VI</b> 44:4 50:8
Transcript 1:24	116:17 117:6	136:7 137:7	unfolding 127:18	valid 76:8	vicinity 30:23
transfer 26:8	119:13 120:22	139:22 140:7,25	<b>unfurl</b> 88:7	validity 20:7	105:12
transferred 65:15	133:19	141:5,7,11,15	unhindered 47:16	valuable 71:2	video 56:21 57:17
translated 29:24	troops 89:7 90:8	142:17 143:2,5	unidentified 90:9	value 4:2	77:12,14 104:21
transmit 101:22	122:17	144:20 145:7	uniformed 115:25	van 2:3,12 4:16	117:7 157:9
transpired 141:19	true 3:19 20:25	149:20 152:12,17	uniforms 118:25	various 87:21	158:11 160:11
transport 4:23 23:4	25:16 33:15	152:22,24 153:5	unintelligible	149:7 154:3	videoconferencing
65:16 145:11	61:20 62:21	154:6,8,10,16	155:19	Vasilyev 3:13 78:5	21:18
transported 65:16	79:16 80:17	155:11	uninterrupted	78:10,10 79:3,9	Vienna 1:5 2:20
transporting 72:2	83:12 85:25	two-vessel 29:12	25:20	79:14 81:15,20	77:8 84:6 136:23
trawling 35:25	86:15,19,23	types 23:11 64:14	<b>unit</b> 40:2,6 43:9	<b>vegan</b> 113:10	view 27:17 36:1
treaty 12:12	101:7 102:1	65:10	United 1:2 1:6 5:15	vehicles 26:17	44:23 45:4 67:18
Trevor 1:24	138:24 139:14	typical 83:17,20	12:11	vein 16:5	83:16 91:8
<b>Tribunal</b> 1:1 3:9,12	148:6	<b>T-shirt</b> 121:16	Universiteit 4:15	ventured 17:3	155:19
3:15,18 4:3,7,11	truth 54:18,18,18	- Shirt 121.10	4:19	Vermeer 1:17 4:24	views 157:19
1:7,12,15,21 2:8	60:11,11,11	U	unlawful 31:24	version 29:21,25	<b>VII</b> 1:1 9:4
2:9,12,17 3:19,20	78:21,21,22	<b>UHF</b> 117:15	45:10,11 48:25	version 29:21,23 vessel 5:8,15 7:23	violate 75:7 97:17
3:23,24 4:1 5:18	84:19,19,20	unacceptable 108:3	45.10,11 48.25 66:12	9:15 21:5 23:25	violated 5:12 22:22
6:13 9:9,13,17	99:22,22,23	unarmed 107:6	unnecessary 17:6	37:19 41:6,8 42:9	24:17
10:4,13 11:9,16	137:23,23,23	108:21	unpleasant 65:17	43:12,17,20,22	violating 74:24
11:25 12:5 13:1	146:20,20,20	unavoidable 19:3	unreasonable 6:25	43:23,24 44:8,9	violation 18:18
16:17 17:7 18:11	try 87:14 92:9,12	<b>unaware</b> 145:17	31:17 129:21	49:14 50:18,23	26:15 36:3,13
18:24 19:15,19	123:18 125:13	uncertain 71:16	unrestricted 6:16	51:17,21,24 52:1	41:17 52:5 65:5
21:16,19 22:16	151:14 152:3	uncertainty 89:23	48:16	52:23 63:4,7,8	76:12
22:17 26:24,25	trying 75:1 90:2	unclear 155:22	<b>unsanitary</b> 64:4	64:10,11,19	violations 76:18
27:19 30:12	91:9 93:21	UNCLOS 44:4	unsuccessful 21:2	74:15 76:1 80:9	violence 28:11,11
31:13 32:15	103:13 106:2	underground	81:23	80:20,24 81:3,5,9	28:15 108:2
33:14,16 34:17	110:16 118:7,8	76:15	unsure 136:2	81:14,22 83:8,11	Virginia 18:11
35:6 38:19 42:22	130:2 140:23	underlying 5:4	untenable 15:25	83:14,17 85:7	virtually 98:1
45:20 48:2,15,24	151:12,22 152:7	56:14	unusual 71:7 72:6	88:13 89:23 93:5	virtue 27:4
43.20 48.2,13,24 53:5,18 55:24	151.12,22 152.7	underneath 102:16	72:9,12 73:10,11	95:6 101:23	visit 17:12 27:1,6
58:17,19 59:23	<b>Tuesday</b> 1:6 1:1	103:25 114:19	73:13 82:10	102:5,7 103:7,10	visual 25:7,15
61:7 70:25 79:5	turn 17:10 39:17	135:11	83:17	102.3,7 103.7,10	125:10 142:2
81:19 82:11		understand 3:14	update 7:14	,	125.10 142.2
85:15 89:17	54:24 117:17 152:2	58:25 82:22	update 7:14 upper 117:25 122:7	106:2,5,6,8,14,19 106:22 107:5,6	Vladimir 60:18
100:21 125:24	turned 98:1 114:9	92:18 97:6	upper 117:25 122:7 urge 104:11	107:14 110:9	voiced 41:24 66:25
138:14 142:23	140:21	114:24 121:20	urgent 43:15	111:5,23,25	75:23,24
138:14 142:23 147:20 151:8	<b>Turning</b> 18:8	131:6,11,22	<b>USB</b> 69:16	111:5,25,25	<b>Volga</b> 58:25 97:7
158:2,22	tweaking 159:10	131:0,11,22	<b>USB</b> 09:16 <b>use</b> 2:23 18:23 19:2		97:12
<b>Tribunal's</b> 1:25	tweaking 159:10	137:7 142:24	19:11,24 26:17	114:3 120:17	<b>volley</b> 108:9,16
24:4			·	122:1 126:2,12	
	<b>two</b> 12:19 17:11,14	144:12 145:6	31:18,20,23 36:21 40:18 42:1	127:24 128:23	<b>volleys</b> 108:23 112:21
tried 37:8 52:16	26:4 30:15 46:2	150:16,20 152:10 152:20 153:4		129:19 133:20	
87:20 103:20	47:3 52:5 63:12		42:16,17 44:8	140:8 146:5	<b>volume</b> 142:11
107:3 108:3	64:13 65:20,22	155:21,24	48:3 65:7 95:12	149:24 <b>vessels</b> 23:11 25:14	<b>voluntarily</b> 41:1
109:14,16 110:15	66:3 68:1 87:17	understandable	105:7 106:25		42:10 97:25
114:24 116:15,19	88:24 89:18	150:18	126:20 132:2	35:11 37:7,15	volunteer 147:2
119:3 122:16	92:25 93:5 95:8	understanding	uses 14:13,17,20,22	38:20,25 43:4	volunteered 140:5
142:12 143:1	98:15 101:15	84:9 92:19	14:23 39:12	44:17 45:7 48:23	<b>Vrije</b> 4:15,19
144:1	106:1 108:14	109:16 125:7	usual 82:24 83:2	50:11 51:4 53:8	W
<b>trip</b> 125:6	109:20 113:4	145:10 151:14	130:10,11	74:15 143:15,16	
<b>triumvirate</b> 124:23	114:3 115:14	156:1,2	usually 124:21	143:17 149:10,17	waited 112:5
trooper 114:21,25	116:19 120:16	understood 2:11	V	vessel's 43:18	waiting 113:17
116:9,20 121:9,9	121:17 122:6,9	69:13 129:8	V	<b>VHF</b> 95:9 96:9	139:16,17
1	1				

## The Arctic Sunrise Arbitration (Netherlands v Russia) PCA Case No. 2014-02

	-				
walking 120:20,23	88:8 114:2 156:5	78:20 79:6,7,11	worried 111:2	151:17	28:13,24 30:13
121:9,10	website 39:8	81:16 82:13,16	145:17	zones 6:19 10:12	55:18 58:2 62:9
wallet 123:8	week 63:9 127:10	84:2,4,8,11,18	worrisome 26:19	14:6 20:7 22:24	63:7 80:4 85:4
want 3:15 32:18	weekly 72:16	85:2,16,17,21,22	worry 154:24	23:7 39:19 76:13	86:9 87:7 97:9
59:24 70:4,23	weeks 7:21 68:7	85:23 89:14,20	wouldn't 97:18		101:17 102:10
83:24 98:12 99:6	93:5 109:20	99:9,15,20 100:2	149:16	0	126:22 132:2,6
99:8 108:22	136:1,16,17	100:21,23 101:2	write 96:18	<b>04.30</b> 139:21	139:8,15 147:4
112:24 129:20	weighing 13:3	101:5,6 125:20	writing 2:4 4:4		148:15,18
144:17 146:12	47:11 48:12	127:13 128:24	written 55:14 59:9	1	18.26 26:1
156:17,19,25	weight 46:23 48:9	131:16 137:4,21	79:14 97:4	<b>1</b> 1:6	180/200 63:20
158:8,13 160:2,8	132:20	138:3,15,16,20	122:25 126:16	1st 8:8,19 10:10	19th 5:6 6:7 7:24
160:21	welcome 1:7 2:2	138:23 142:20,25	159:21	28:3	8:13 26:1,2 28:13
wanted 94:24	133:24	146:8,13,18,25	wrongly 64:7	<b>10</b> 88:3	56:19
111:2,18 112:16	welcomed 11:20	147:21,22 148:1	wrote 76:21 157:2	10th 1:6 1:1 73:23	<b>1982</b> 1:2
130:18 140:17	well-known 45:5	148:3,5 151:6	157:3	10.28 33:9	
158:12	went 69:9 87:22,22	155:3 157:8	Wulfften 2:3 4:16	<b>100</b> 4:2	2
war 107:8	89:5 112:19,20	158:10 159:15,25	www.thecourtre	<b>101</b> 28:5,9 29:8	<b>2</b> 19:1 20:11,12,21
warning 111:8	113:15 114:11	160:3,11,22	1:25	<b>11</b> 108:23	<b>2nd</b> 10:5
134:18	122:19 123:12	witnessed 70:19		<b>11.00</b> 32:20,21 33:5	<b>2.00</b> 77:20,23 78:1
warrant 38:13	132:25 140:22	155:10	Y	33:8,11	78:2
warrants 14:20	143:1 148:19	witnesses 2:13,15	yank 117:19	<b>11.22</b> 26:2	<b>20</b> 88:18 95:11
Warrior 37:15	154:13	2:17,19,25 3:1	year 4:13 8:21	<b>11.36</b> 54:5	111:21 113:13
39:6 52:3	weren't 88:21	7:15 53:21 54:3	11:21 37:7 42:23	<b>11.46</b> 54:7	<b>20th</b> 27:22
wash 130:17	97:21	77:22 84:13	46:6 69:7,9,18,19	<b>110</b> 27:1,9	<b>20-30</b> 98:3
wasn't 96:14 98:25	we'll 120:9	99:20 136:22	69:23 87:11,11	<b>111</b> 22:18	<b>200</b> 145:4
150:18,22	we're 109:18,18	137:7 156:24	87:17 88:2 91:21	<b>111.4</b> 24:22	<b>2011</b> 29:21 35:15
watch 91:1	120:8	157:14,19 158:3	93:3 130:13,25	<b>111.5</b> 26:16 32:2	47:2 73:23
water 12:14 36:3	whatsoever 90:11	158:9,17,23	136:2	<b>12</b> 3:5	<b>2012</b> 130:15
36:13 91:22 93:7	156:3	159:1,24 160:9	years 71:12,13	<b>12th</b> 1:15	<b>2013</b> 5:6 6:6,7 7:5
103:7 104:3,4,17	whilst 153:8 154:11	160:13	115:1 139:11	<b>12.34</b> 77:25	7:18,24 8:9,19
104:21 125:12,17	wide 14:22	witnessing 103:8	yelling 119:14	<b>125</b> 4:3	9:12,17 10:3,20
150:11	Willcox 3:16 84:14	Women 39:2	Yermolayeva 67:6	<b>13</b> 1:4 126:15,16	11:15 25:24 26:1
waters 38:10 50:12	85:3,3,9,11,19,23	wonder 95:4	yesterday 1:11,20	127:13	26:2 27:22 28:3
76:5 107:7	86:14 89:13,18	wooden 35:16 37:4	2:1	<b>134</b> 4:4	28:14,24 30:13
108:21 127:25	92:1 93:14 94:24	word 21:6 29:23,24	yield 46:15	<b>137</b> 4:5	55:18 56:19 62:9
128:1 129:4	98:15 119:19	117:12 126:7		<b>138</b> 4:6	62:12 63:7 65:12
wavering 26:10	120:12 121:25	151:4 153:9	Z	<b>14</b> 59:3	80:4,19 85:4 86:9
Waves 39:2	141:13	155:12	<b>zone</b> 5:9,20 7:9	<b>142</b> 4:7	100:5 101:17
way 5:11 6:11	willing 111:14	words 62:20 80:16		<b>144</b> 4:8	131:7 138:6
33:20 40:3 72:6	wind 37:5	86:15,19,24	13:19 14:18 15:6	<b>146</b> 4:9	139:8 147:4
72:10 83:25	windows 117:23,23	101:25 108:17	16:4 19:21 20:2	<b>147</b> 4:10 59:2	148:15
91:19 92:8,21	wing 115:24	139:13 155:11	20:16,25 21:15	<b>15</b> 88:3 95:11	<b>2014</b> 10:9 23:17,20
114:6 124:7,13	wireless 25:8	157:2	21:21 22:9 23:2	137:10,12 139:24	29:21,25 39:23
124:13 129:22	wish 1:23 2:23	work 62:14,25	23:10 24:6,13,25	143:19,20,20	42:25 46:2 49:21
132:14,21 134:16	11:17 24:22	131:10 157:8	24:25 25:1,5,18	144:23	50:7,12 51:19,23
134:22 139:22	158:3,20,25	158:5	27:3 28:25 30:19	<b>15th</b> 55:11 57:20	55:11 56:2 57:20
141:14 145:24	159:1,3,4	worked 47:21	34:9 35:14 36:7	<b>15.55</b> 26:6	58:24 61:10
148:22 149:10,25	wished 88:14	92:15 114:25	39:22 40:1,4,15	<b>151</b> 4:11 <b>155</b> 4:12	69:10 73:20 79:8 85:18 100:24
150:23 151:3	witness 2:24 3:3	118:10 139:11	42:2,8,14 43:5,6	<b>155</b> 4:12 <b>16</b> 102:21	85:18 100:24
153:20 154:16	54:11,16,22,24	153:20	43:17 47:4,24	<b>16</b> 102:21	138:17 147:23
ways 88:17	55:7,25 56:1,18	working 22:21	49:3,15,20,22	<b>16th</b> 56:19 97:8	<b>2014-02</b> 1:3 <b>2015</b> 1:6 1:1 15
weapon 115:7,7,11	58:14,18,21 60:2	95:24 115:2,9	52:19,24 53:7 72:17 74:2 5 8 21	<b>17</b> 59:4	<b>2015</b> 1:6 1:1,15
weapons 65:8,9	60:9,9,18 61:8,9	145:23 151:25	73:17 74:2,5,8,21	<b>17th</b> 97:8,14	23:22 55:4,18,20
93:6 109:20 Weber 21:1 4 11	61:13,16,18 62:2	works 12:20	75:576:994:20	18 47:9 18th 6:6 7:5 18	<b>21st</b> 9:12
Weber 21:1,4,11	62:3 70:21 73:16	world 124:6 128:20	129:6,9 143:12	<b>18th</b> 6:6 7:5,18 8:12 10:3 25:24	<b>213.2</b> 68:20
21:20 87:22,24	75:16 77:5 78:4,9	129:17 130:20	145:1,8 149:6	8:12 10:3 25:24	<b>22nd</b> 9:17
	1				

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	227 61.8	40.14 47.24		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
24th S8:24 69:10 $753,17$ $73:20$ $500$ -meter 207.15         251 93:11 $25:18 94.9,20,22$ 255 with 55.9 $54:37$ 259 59:2 $55:38$ 25 with 55.9 $56:19:25$ 85:18 100:24 $56:19:25$ 95:18 100:24 $56:2:13:22$ 147:23 $58:31:14:18$ 206:07:16:86:6 $6$ 220:17:24:10:7 $61:16:0$ 220:17:24:10:7 $61:09$ 220:17:24:10:7 $61:09$ 6:20:25:24 $60:31:0:19:25:20:11$ 30:16 $20:0:09:2.4$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:20:25:24$ $6:23:3:32:2$ $22:40:17:34:16$ $6:22:16:3:21:7$ $7:2:6:11:75:13$ $73:3:13$ $75:3:58:56:215$ $6:23:3:7:22$ $72:2:6:11:75:13$ $73:14:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:16:$		,		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>23rd</b> 8:20	<b>500m</b> 73:18 74:3,19		
73:20       500-metre 20:7.15         251 39:11       2518 94:92.0.22         250 88:9       143:12         259 99:2       53:8         260 11:21 0:10       56 19:25         261 11:21 0:10       56 19:25         263 11:11       56 19:25         263 11:12       58 1:14:18         260 59:4       58.21 14:5 27:4         273 51:5       58.31 14:18         260 59:4       58.21 14:5 27:4         273 51:5       58.31 16:10         292 07:1 68:6       6         60 31:0 19:25 20:11         292 07:1 68:6       6         293 10:12 20:57:1.3       60 08:7         50:22 57:1.3       60 08:7         50:23 21:9       60 08:7         20:23 59:31       60 20:25:24         37d 8:21       60:22:9 50:4         30:05 22:9 57:1.3       61 3:11         57:3 58:6 56:15       662 37:322         62:18 6:3:2,17       7         7       7         64:23 6:3:2,15       71:18 0:19         73:22 6:11 73       73 18:14;21         30:14:54       78 3:13         33:3:6       73 18:14;21         30:14:54       78 3:13         33:	<b>24th</b> 58:24 69:10	75:3,17		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	73.20			
25th 88.9       143:12         259 yay: 55 38       53.8         259 yay: 55 38       53.8         260 11:21 61:10       56 19:25         8518 100:24       56.2 13:22         126:18 138:17       58.39         147:23       58.14:18         200 99:4       58.21:45 27:4         273:51:5       58.31:6:10         282 79:8       600 89:7				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
26th 11:21 61:10       \$5 619:25         85:18 100:24       \$52 13:22         26:18 138:17       \$8 3:9         147:23       \$51:14:18         206 59:4       \$52 14:5 27:4         273:51:5       \$53 16:10         28:11:1 $\overline{6}$ 29:67:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 29:71:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 29:71:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 33:16       20:20 59:24         36:0 32:1 60:22 19:9 $6_{33:10} 19:25 20:11$ 33:5 31:1 $6_{33:10} 19:25 20:11$ 30:10:5 22:9 57:1.3 $6_{13:11} 15:52:29:11$ 30:10:5 22:9 57:1.3 $6_{13:11} 15:52:12$ 57:3 58:6 60:15 $6_{23:1} 7:22$ $6_{21:13:24} 10:0:10:9$ $7_{22:26:11} 7:13:13:12$ $7_{22:26:11} 7:13:13:12:13:12$ $7_{31:14:12:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>259</b> 59:2	<b>55</b> 3:8		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>26th</b> 11:21 61:10	<b>56</b> 19:25		
126:18 138:17       \$8 3:9         147:23       \$8.1 14:18         260 59:4       \$8.2 14:5 27:4         27 35:15       \$8.3 16:10         28 31:1 $\overline{}$ 29 67:1 68:6 $\overline{}$ 60 3:10 19:25 20:11 $\overline{}$ 3 93:16       20:20 59:2,4         37d 8:21 $\overline{}$ 20:20 59:2,4         37d 8:21 $\overline{}$ 20:20 59:2,4         36 9:22 $\overline{}$ 60.4 21:13,24         66:23 67:9,13 $\overline{}$ 61:10         75:35 85: 62:15       66:23 73:22         63:23 67:9,13 $\overline{}$ 7th 80:19         75:20 $\overline{}$ 31:1         71:14:19 $\overline{}$ 30:1         80:15:13 $\overline{}$ 31:1         73:18:14:21       30:10:2         74 $81:15:18$ 84 $85:18$ 84:19:22 $\overline{}$ 33:14         30:25:22 $\overline{}$				
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$				
260 59:4       58.2 14:5 27:4         27 35:15       58.3 16:10         23 07:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 29 07:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 29 07:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 33:1 6 $\overline{6}$ 29 07:1 68:6 $\overline{6}$ 33:1 6 $\overline{6}$ 20:2 79:8 $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ 33:1 6 $\overline{0}$ 20:2 05:2.4 $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ 35:93:1 7 $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{5}$ $\overline{6}$ $\overline{5}$ $\mathbf$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>27</b> 35:15	<b>58.3</b> 16:10		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>28</b> 21:1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline & 6.20 25:24 \\ \hline & 60 3:10 19:25 20:11 \\ \hline & 3y3:16 \\ \hline & 20:20 59:2,4 \\ \hline & 60.2 21:9 \\ \hline & 5.93:17 \\ \hline & 60.4 21:13,24 \\ \hline & 5.93:17 \\ \hline & 60.4 21:13,24 \\ \hline & 60.5 20:4 \\ \hline & 61.3:11 \\ \hline & 5:23 55:6 21.5 \\ \hline & 62:18 63:2,17 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 64:23 65:4,7,15 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 64:23 65:4,7,15 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ \hline & 65:23 67:9,13 \\ \hline & 7 \\ $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25:22 19:8			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>3</b> 93:16	20:20 59:2,4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>3rd</b> 8:21	<b>60.2</b> 21:9		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6623 / 3:22		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	64:23 65:4,7,15	7		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	65:23 67:9.13	<b>7th</b> 80:19		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
<b>30th</b> 56:2 <b>75</b> 106:20 <b>300</b> 145:4 <b>78</b> 3:13 <b>33</b> 3:6 <b>79</b> 3:14 <b>36</b> 25:22 $\boxed{1}$ <b>4 8th</b> 55:18 <b>40</b> 87:7150:8 <b>84</b> 3:16 <b>400</b> 87:7150:8 <b>84</b> 3:16 <b>401</b> 137:13 <b>85</b> 3:17 <b>4.23</b> 137:15 <b>87</b> 14:19 <b>430</b> 102:9 <b>89</b> 3:18 <b>40</b> 43:20 <b>9 5 92.1</b> 14:3 <b>9 30</b> 1:2 161:8,10 <b>92.1</b> 14:3 <b>9 3</b> 3:19 <b>99</b> 4:1 <b>5 watt</b> 95:12 <b>99</b> 4:1 <b>5 0</b> 97:21 106:20 <b>99</b> 4:1				
$300 145:4$ $78 3:13$ $33 3:6$ $79 3:14$ $36 25:22$ $\boxed{8}$ $4$ $8th 55:18$ $420:11,14,21$ $80 19:25$ $4th 8:24 10:20$ $81 3:15$ $11:15 62:12$ $83 80:22$ $4.00 87:7 150:8$ $84 3:16$ $4.01 137:13$ $85 3:17$ $4.33 102:9$ $89 3:18$ $40 43:20$ $\boxed{93 0 1:2 161:8,10}$ $46 89:21 90:17$ $\boxed{9}$ $134:1$ $9th 55:4,20$ $9.30 1:2 161:8,10$ $92.1 14:3$ $98 3:19$ $99 4:1$ $5u 97:21 106:20$ $99 4:1$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c }\hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	<b>33</b> 3:6	<b>79</b> 3:14		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>36</b> 25:22			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		8		
420:11,14,21       80 19:25 $4th 8:24 10:20$ $81 3:15$ $11:15 62:12$ $83 80:22$ $4.00 87:7 150:8$ $84 3:16$ $4.01 137:13$ $85 3:17$ $4.23 137:15$ $87 14:19$ $4.30 102:9$ $89 3:18$ $40 43:20$ $91:55:4,20$ $46 89:21 90:17$ $91t 55:4,20$ $9.30 1:2 161:8,10$ $92.1 14:3$ $5 3:3,4$ $98 3:19$ $5.10 161:9$ $99 4:1$ $50 97:21 106:20$ $99 4:1$	4			
4th $8:24$ 10:20       81 3:15         11:15 62:12       83 80:22         4.00 87:7 150:8       84 3:16         4.01 137:13       85 3:17         4.23 137:15       87 14:19         4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20       99         46 89:21 90:17       9         134:1       9th 55:4,20         9.30 1:2 161:8,10       92.1 14:3         5 3:3,4       98 3:19         5-watt 95:12       99 4:1         5.10 161:9       99 4:1				
11:15 62:12       83 80:22         4.00 87:7 150:8       84 3:16         4.01 137:13       85 3:17         4.23 137:15       87 14:19         4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
4.01 137:13       85 3:17         4.23 137:15       87 14:19         4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20       9         46 89:21 90:17       9         134:1       9th 55:4,20         9.30 1:2 161:8,10       92.1 14:3         92.1 14:3       98 3:19         99 4:1       99 4:1				
4.23 137:15       87 14:19         4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20       9         46 89:21 90:17       9         134:1       9th 55:4,20         9.30 1:2 161:8,10       92.1 14:3         98 3:19       98 3:19         99 4:1       99 4:1				
4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20	<b>4.01</b> 137:13	<b>85</b> 3:17		
4.30 102:9       89 3:18         40 43:20	<b>4.23</b> 137:15	<b>87</b> 14:19		
40 43:20				
46 89:21 90:17       9         134:1       9th 55:4,20         9.30 1:2 161:8,10       9.30 1:2 161:8,10         92.1 14:3       98 3:19         5-watt 95:12       99 4:1         5.10 161:9       99 4:1				
134:1     9th 55:4,20       9.30 1:2 161:8,10       92.1 14:3       98 3:19       99 4:1       50 97:21 106:20		9		
5         9.30         1:2         161:8,10           92.1         14:3         92.1         14:3           5.3:3,4         98         3:19         99           5.10         161:9         99         4:1           50         97:21         106:20         99         4:1				
5         92.1 14:3           5 3:3,4         98 3:19           5-watt 95:12         99 4:1           5.10 161:9         99 4:1           50 97:21 106:20         99	134:1			
5 3:3,4     98 3:19       5-watt 95:12     99 4:1       5.10 161:9     99 4:1				
5-watt 95:12     99 4:1       5.10 161:9     50 97:21 106:20				
5-watt 95:12     99 4:1       5.10 161:9     50 97:21 106:20	<b>5</b> 3:3,4	<b>98</b> 3:19		
<b>5.10</b> 161:9 <b>50</b> 97:21 106:20				
<b>50</b> 97:21 106:20				
<b>500</b> 20.4 25.0 40:12				
	<b>300</b> 20:4 23:6 40:12			